

Novae Motuum Coelestium Ephemerides Brandenburgicae, Annorum LX, incipientes ab anno 1595, & desinentes in annum 1655 (calculo duplici luminarium, Tychonico & Copernicaeo ...) — Editorial footnotes (draft)

David Origanus (David Tost, 1558–1628), professor of Greek and mathematics, Viadrina University, Frankfurt an der Oder. Drafted editorial footnotes for review before publication. Each note is keyed to its anchor in the **manuscript-grade complete edition** — the collated output that retains the per-batch/page scaffolding the anchors reference (produced by ms). The reading in the main text is **preserved as printed/transcribed** and is not altered by these notes. The note text below is a **draft** — review and edit before publication.

The notes are classified as follows:

- **Category B — source compositor error.** A printing, compositor, or scribal error in the source itself (a wrong letter or digit, a dropped or doubled word, a non-resolving citation). The likely intended reading is noted, but the main text preserves exactly what is printed.
- **Category C — author/source anomaly.** An anomaly originating with the author or the source rather than the typesetting (a factual or geographic slip, a misattribution, an internal inconsistency, or a deliberate but unusual feature). Recorded as written.

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
1	batch_004 / p.10 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle)	C	The Salzburg antipodes affair dated 'circa annum Christi 745'
2	batch_007 / p.21 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle)	B	Press variant: 'prodeuntia nascentia' / 'prodeuntia & nascentia'
3	batch_007 / p.21 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle)	B	Printed 'auguftiori' where the sense requires 'anguftiori' (narrower)
4	batch_007 / p.21 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle)	C	Greek συνοικέσιον printed with omicron in both copies
5	batch_010 / p.29 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle)	B	Line-end division 'or-' / 'um' for 'ortum' (east)
6	batch_011 / p.31 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle)	C	75,079 miles 'at ten a day' said to take 203 years; it takes about 20½

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
7	batch_015 / p.43 (unpaginated)	C	First lunar table: the Composite column's centurial step is not constant
8	batch_015 / p.44 (unpaginated)	B	Second lunar table (years 1–99): ten cells printed in error, each with [recte:]
9	batch_015 / p.45 (unpaginated)	B	Third lunar table (months): three bissextile cells printed in error, each with [recte:]
10	batch_015 / p.45 (unpaginated)	B	Fourth lunar table (days): day 22 Composite printed above 360°
11	batch_016 / p.47 (unpaginated)	B	Sixth lunar table: row 90, column 50 printed 4° 17', the table's one large outlier
12	batch_016 / p.47 (unpaginated)	C	Signature '(e) 4' on the fourth leaf of gathering (d)
13	batch_018 / p.52 (unpaginated)	B	Sixth lunar table: row 0, column 360 printed 8° 30'; row 360 prints 9° 30'
14	batch_018 / p.54 (unpaginated)	B	Proportional-part table: three cells printed in error, each with [recte:]
15	batch_021 / p.62 (unpaginated)	C	Magini quoted: Reinhold's tables suit 'some other meridian, one of 45° 45''; his own words elsewhere give 46° 45'
16	batch_022 / p.64–65 (unpaginated)	B	Catchword 'præ' does not answer the next page's first word 'pro'
17	batch_022 / p.65 (unpaginated)	B	Magini's list, item 7: a 'corrected' (Gregorian) year printed 1527
18	batch_022 / p.65 (unpaginated)	B	Magini's list, item 11: an 'error of 14 minutes' between 21° 22' 11" and 11° 8'
19	batch_023 / p.67 (unpaginated)	C	Origanus on Magini's Mars for 1614: 'runs ahead by 4' and 5"', but the specimen is 15' behind
20	batch_025 / p.73 (unpaginated, errata leaf)	C	The book dated 'in the Julian year 1654', which the same leaf equates with 1609
21	batch_026 / p.76 (unpaginated, commendatory verses)	C	Crugerius's poem: 'Quæ tener, inventis æquiparanda patrum' — 'tener' does not construe
22	batch_026 / p.78 (unpaginated, index)	C	Index: Merodach 'vel Bynafta Babyloniorum' — the word does not construe
23	batch_030 / p.88 (unpaginated, index)	B	Index cites p. 389 for the foundation of the polar-number table; it stands on p. 289
24	batch_031 / p.92 (unpaginated, index)	C	Index: three long-s sorts with points ('f. f. f.') that do not construe
25	batch_032 / p.96 (unpaginated, index)	B	Index: the winds entry cites 'p. 47' among references to pp. 495–497
26	batch_035 / p.103 (unpaginated, index)	B	Index: 'pp. 634 & 535' for 634 & 635
27	batch_035 / p.104 (unpaginated, index)	C	Index: the significators of religion enumerated '2 ... 3' with no '1'
28	batch_038 / p.113 (printed 1)	B	Margin keyword printed 'Almauah' for 'Almanah'
29	batch_038 / p.114 (printed 2)	C	Plato, Timaeus, quoted with ἐπίπλην for ἐπίκλην
30	batch_039 / p.117 (printed 5)	C	Diocletianic 1312 alongside Dionysian 1606 — 294 years apart, against the page's own 284
31	batch_041 / p.121 (printed 9)	C	Nicephorus and Eusebius 'put the figure at 2243' — the column and the following page give 2242

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
32	batch_041 / p.121 (printed 9)	B	Press variant: 'commentum' (our copy) / 'communem' (the other copy), the reading the errata corrects
33	batch_041 / p.123 (printed 11)	C	Moses 80 at the Exodus cited as 'Gen 7, verf: 7'
34	batch_041 / p.123 (printed 11)	C	The judges' list sums to 472 (473 with Solomon's 'about 4'); the page states 480
35	batch_042 / p.124 (printed 12)	C	End of Solomon's reign cited as '2 Paral. cap. 4 verf. 31'
36	batch_042 / p.125 (printed 13)	B	Table of the kings: 'Anni Mundi' 2044 for 3044
37	batch_044 / p.131 (printed 19)	C	The Babylonian reigns 'make 70', but the figures printed sum to 59
38	batch_044 / p.132 (printed 20)	B	Persian reigns: 'Sub Arſe 32' for 3
39	batch_047 / p.139 (printed 27)	B	Civil beginning of the Hegira: 'à 16 Iunii' for 16 July
40	batch_048 / p.142 (printed 30)	B	Al-Farghānī's interval between Philip and Yazdegird printed 455 for 955
41	batch_048 / p.143 (printed 31)	C	Astronomical epoch of Alexander's death set at 'anni mundi 3647'; the book elsewhere gives 3627
42	batch_049 / p.145 (printed 33)	B	Catchword 'Publici' does not answer the next page's 'Politici'
43	batch_050 / p.148 (printed 36)	B	'à 46 die Octobris' for the 26th of October
44	batch_050 / p.148 (printed 36)	C	The Council of Nicaea dated 'Anno Christi 324'; printed p. 24 dates it June 325
45	batch_051 / p.151 (printed 39)	B	Mean synodic month: fourths printed 58; p. 50 and its own day-fraction give 38
46	batch_051 / p.152 (printed 40)	C	Ovid's distich on Numa cited as 'Metamorphoses 1'
47	batch_053 / p.159 (printed 47)	B	Dionysian table, row 9: 'Anni Christi' 542 for 540
48	batch_054 / p.160 (printed 48)	B	Ecclesiastical calendar, 7 August: dominical letter printed 'h' for b
49	batch_054 / p.160 (printed 48)	C	Ecclesiastical calendar, 19 September: no dominical letter printed
50	batch_054 / p.161 (printed 49)	C	The following golden number anticipates by '12 integris Horis' and later by '12 diebus'
51	batch_054 / p.162 (printed 50)	B	Table of anticipation, row 228: hours printed 27 for 17
52	batch_055 / p.163 (printed 51)	B	Second Ecclesiastical calendar: three dominical letters printed 'h', 'h' and 'ç'
53	batch_055 / p.165 (printed 53)	C	Greek σαββατηκῆς printed with η for ι
54	batch_057 / p.170 (printed 58)	C	First particular table: the bissextile pair at cycle number 17 printed 'C D', inverted
55	batch_058 / p.174 (printed 62)	B	Tabella Epactarum, golden number 8: 'XXVII' for XXVIII
56	batch_058 / p.174 (printed 62)	B	Tabula Lunationum, golden number 12: end of year '9' for 8 and epact 'XXIII' for XXIII
57	batch_058 / p.174 (printed 62)	C	Tabula Lunationum, golden number 18: three successive 29-day lunations and a year of 383 days

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
58	batch_059 / p.175 (printed 63)	C	Moon's age: 'formerly 40 were cast out' where a lunation (29 or 30) is meant
59	batch_059 / p.175–176 (printed 63–64)	C	The June day on which epacts 25 and 24 coincide: prose '2 Iunii', table day 3
60	batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64)	B	Calendarium novum, February 14–15: epacts 14 and 15 transposed
61	batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64)	B	Calendarium novum, August 16: epact printed 6 for 9
62	batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64)	B	Calendarium novum, November and December days 26–27: the two columns' entries exchanged
63	batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64)	B	Calendarium novum: the right-hand day column prints 17 at day 27
64	batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64)	C	Lunations 'plenæ & novæ' — 'novæ' does not construe; rendered 'hollow'
65	batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64)	C	Calendarium novum, April 4: no dominical letter printed
66	batch_060 / p.178 (printed 66)	B	Tabula Epactarum expansa, column i, rows g and f: 14 and 15 transposed
67	batch_060 / p.178 (printed 66)	B	Tabula Epactarum expansa, row q, column viii: 11 for 10
68	batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70)	B	Table of the equation of the epacts: 'D 22502' for 22500
69	batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70)	B	Press variant: years before Christ, line 28, '4601' in our copy, '4001' in the other
70	batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70)	B	Table of the equation of the epacts, line 33: 'Interc. omiff.' printed o for i
71	batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70)	C	Table of the equation of the epacts, line 1: 'Biff.' with no figure
72	batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70)	B	Table of the equation of the epacts: row F 1000, second year-column printed 10000 for 11000
73	batch_062 / p.186 (printed 74)	C	Feast VII: a stray 'de' that construes with nothing
74	batch_063 / p.187 (printed 75)	B	'menfis primi Thebeth' — the author's errata reads 'decimi'
75	batch_063 / p.188 (printed 76)	C	'fides ex auditu' cited as Romans 10:10; the familiar reference is 10:17
76	batch_063 / p.189 (printed 77)	C	Council of Laodicea cited as 'Canone 20'; the canon usually cited is 29
77	batch_064 / p.190 (printed 78)	C	Ash Wednesday credited to 'Gregorio Maximo'
78	batch_064 / p.190–191 (printed 78–79)	C	Psalm numbers for the Lenten and Easter-season Introits
79	batch_064 / p.191 (printed 79)	C	1 Peter 2:2 quoted 'sine dolore' for the Vulgate 'sine dolo'
80	batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80)	C	Council of Caesarea dated 'Anno Christi 190'
81	batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80)	C	Ambrose's Easter letter cited as 'Epistola 83'
82	batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80)	B	'verum' equinox — the author's errata reads 'vernum'
83	batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80)	C	Reference mark * with no answering mark on the leaf

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
84	batch_065 / p.193 (printed 81)	B	'14 Martii' for 4 April in the Easter count for 1595
85	batch_065 / p.193 (printed 81)	C	'citimum' used for the latest Easter
86	batch_066 / p.196–197 (printed 84–85)	C	The 27–Sunday bound: prose one day more generous than the table
87	batch_066 / p.197 (printed 85)	C	'23 Greg:' at 23 April in the Easter column
88	batch_067 / p.199 (printed 87)	C	The limits of the Dog Days stated four ways
89	batch_067 / p.201 (second table, $\vartheta/\mathcal{M}$, Gr II)	B	Day-length table (Tychonic): '13 48 38' for 14h 48m 38s
90	batch_068 / pp.203–204 (printed 91–92)	C	Semidiurnal-time tables: two cells out of sequence
91	batch_069 / pp.205–206 (printed 93–94)	B	Semidiurnal-time tables, lat. 54° – 80° : four hours figures emended from the table's own rows
92	batch_069 / p.205 (printed 93)	B	Semidiurnal-time table: two printed values fixed only to a range
93	batch_069 / p.206 (printed 94), second grid, lat. 81° , φ 21°	C	A one-figure minutes entry '10 2' in the polar grid
94	batch_069 / p.206 (printed 94)	B	'in Antalib.' — the author's errata reads 'Australibus'
95	batch_069 / p.207 (printed 95)	C	The four beginnings of the civil day numbered Primo, 2, 3, 3
96	batch_070 / p.208 (printed 96)	C	Greek 'προθαφερέσεις' printed with ϵ for α
97	batch_071 / p.212 (printed 100)	B	Equation-of-time table (Tychonic): three minutes figures emended from the column; one confirmed by the author's errata
98	batch_072 / p.214 (printed 102, not set on the leaf)	B	Equation-of-time table for the syzygies: two cells emended from the column
99	batch_072–074 / pp.215–220 (printed 102–108)	C	Folio numbers in the catalogue of places run 102, 104, 105, 108, 107, 108
100	batch_072 / p.216 (printed 104)	B	Latitude of Berlin ' $52^{\circ} 40''$ ' — the author's errata gives $52^{\circ} 28'$
101	batch_073 / pp.217–219 (printed 105, 108, 107)	C	Catalogue of places: column heads transposed against their fields
102	batch_073 / p.218 (printed 108)	B	Latitude of Frankfurt an der Oder ' $42^{\circ} 20''$ ' — the author's errata gives $52^{\circ} 20'$
103	batch_075 / p.225 (printed 113)	B	Frankfurt–Hven difference: 'subtract ... three minutes' — the author's errata reads 'add ... seven'
104	batch_077 / p.231 (printed 119)	C	Centaurus (ancients): stated total 38 against an itemization of 37
105	batch_080 / p.240 (printed 128)	C	The air trigon called 'humida & sicca'
106	batch_081 / p.243 (printed 131)	B	'Si 8s vel 94' — a letter s set among figures
107	batch_083 / p.248 (printed 136)	C	Table of the planets' daily motions: perigee slower than apogee for Mars and Venus
108	batch_084 / p.250 (printed 138)	C	Descending-node sign ϑ where the passage speaks of the Dragon's Head
109	batch_084 / p.251 (printed 139)	C	Hourly-motion example: 'diem 13 Iunii' for an example of 13 August
110	batch_085 / p.253 (folded plate)	B	Folded plate of proportional parts: two cells emended from the plate's own steps

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
111	batch_086 / p.257 (printed 145)	C	'ad Februarii diem' with no day figure printed
112	batch_086 / p.258 (printed 146)	B	Canon of the Moon's latitude, row 14: '4° 28' 16''' for 3° 28' 16'''
113	batch_087 / p.260 (printed 148), Table of Sines, Gradus 6, row 12	B	Table of Sines: '1079996' wrong in its last place; value fixed only to 1079993/4
114	batch_088 / pp.262–264 (printed 150–152), Table of Sines, 7°–17°	B	Table of Sines: twelve values and one argument label emended from the columns' own steps
115	batch_088–089 / pp.264–265 (printed 152–153), Table of Sines, 15° 0'	C	Sine of 15° printed '2756774' at both of its printings
116	batch_089 / pp.265–267 (printed 153–155), Table of Sines, 14°–27°	B	Table of Sines: eight values emended from the columns' own steps; one figure in the worked example
117	batch_090 / pp.268–270 (printed 156–158), Table of Sines, 28°–41°	B	Table of Sines: four values emended from the columns' own steps; one fixed only to a range
118	batch_091 / p.273 (printed 159)	B	Versed-sine example: quadrant '30°' and result '112° 60'' for 90° and 122° 56'
119	batch_092 / pp.274–276 (printed 160–162), Table of Sines, 49°–59°	B	Table of Sines: six values emended from each column's two neighbours
120	batch_092 / p.276 (printed 162)	C	Tangent rule worded 'ad finum rectum arcus propofiti'
121	batch_093 / pp.277–279 (printed 163–165)	B	Secant example '500000'; Table of Sines: a run of eleven leading 4s for 9, and three further values
122	batch_094 / pp.280–282 (printed 166–168), Table of Sines, 70°–83°	B	Table of Sines: seven values emended from the columns' own differences; one fixed only to a range
123	batch_095 / pp.283–285 (printed 169–171), Table of Sines, 77°–90°	B	Table of Sines: three values and one difference field emended; one value fixed only to a range
124	batch_096 / p.288 (printed 174), first table of northern stars, row 13	B	Copernican longitude minutes: a single '2' set in the tens place, units place empty
125	batch_096 / p.288 (printed 174), first table of northern stars	C	Catalogue numbers 2 and 1 set in that order
126	batch_098 / pp.293–294 (printed 179–180), catalogue of stars within the zodiac	C	Tychonic longitudes out of order in two runs of rows
127	batch_098 / p.294 (printed 180), row 208; batch_108 row 208; batch_123 / p.368 row 11 and p.369 row 30	C	Star name 'In drace finifra priori' — 'drace' does not construe
128	batch_099 / p.295 (printed 181), rows 268–270 and 275	C	Star names 'in ricta V8' and 'Contignarum' do not construe
129	batch_099 / p.297 (printed 183), foot	B	Catchword '398 Argo' where the next leaf opens at row 368
130	batch_099 / p.297 (printed 183), rows 335–336	C	An opening parenthesis never closed
131	batch_100 / p.298 (printed 184), rows 402–403	C	'Septimus Spondylus' set before 'Sextus Spondylus'
132	batch_100 / p.300 (printed 186), Canon declinationum	B	Canon of declinations: two values emended from the columns' own runs
133	batch_101 / p.301 (printed 187)	C	The sine of the declination printed 3841125 and 3841124

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
134	batch_101 / p.302 (printed 188), third block (II $\propto$), Arcus row 30	C	Declination canon: row 30 printed '23 51.' against an obliquity of 23° 31' 30"
135	batch_101 / p.302 (printed 188), third block, rows 0→1	C	Declination canon: 97989 + Diff 121 does not reach the printed 98111
136	batch_102 / p.304 (printed 190)	B	Palilicium example: the arc called northern 'propter II signum Austral.'
137	batch_102 / p.305 (printed 191)	B	'latus K I' for K L in the spherical-triangle example
138	batch_103 / pp.308–309 (printed 194–195), $\partial/\approx$ Diff., row 13	C	The two printings of one difference disagree: 60' 5" and 60' 3"
139	batch_104 / pp.310–312 (printed 196–198), canon of ascensions for 23° 31' 30"	B	Canon of ascensions: eight values emended from the page's own columns and facing half
140	batch_104 / p.310 $\odot$ Diff. A row 21; p.312 ∂ Radix row 28	B	Canon of ascensions: two printed values confirmed in both copies, emended from the canon's own run
141	batch_105 / pp.313–315 (printed 199–201), canon of ascensions, right half	B	Canon of ascensions (right half): seven values emended from the leaf's own columns
142	batch_105 / p.313 (printed 199)	C	Sign heads $\wp$ and $\wp$ set over the $\underline{\text{u}}$ and m , rows
143	batch_106 / p.317 (printed 203)	B	Sine of the arc of the difference printed '59874' for 99874
144	batch_106 / p.317 (printed 203)	C	'ingreffus arealis in tabulam' — 'arealis' does not construe
145	batch_106 / p.318 (printed 204), catalogue of stars by right ascension	C	Tychonic and Copernican entries that the leaf does not reconcile
146	batch_106–109 / pp.318–327 (printed 204–213), catalogue of stars by right ascension	C	Catalogue practice: rows set for one authority only; 'Temp.' over the right-ascension fields
147	batch_107 / pp.319–321 (printed 205–207)	C	Star catalogue: identical value pairs on neighbouring rows; opposite hemisphere letters
148	batch_108 / pp.322–324 (printed 208–210)	C	Star catalogue: opposite declination letters; catalogue number 64 used twice; identical Copernican pair
149	batch_109 / p.325 (printed 211), row 241	C	'Cor III ' in the name of a Scorpion star
150	batch_109 / pp.325–327 (printed 211–213)	C	Star catalogue: opposite declination letters; identical values on adjacent rows; culmination not tracking right ascension
151	batch_110 / p.329 (printed 215), row 298	C	Tychonic right ascension '340° 0" out of its column's run
152	batch_110 / p.330 (printed 216)	C	Latitude of Frankfurt given in 'seconds', its complement in minutes
153	batch_110–111 / pp.330–333 (printed 216–219)	C	Two catchwords at a prose-to-canon turn do not answer the next leaf
154	batch_111 / pp.331–333 (printed 217–219), canons of rising amplitudes and ascensional differences	B	Canons of amplitudes and ascensional differences: four values emended from the columns
155	batch_112 / pp.334–336 (printed 220–222), canon of oblique ascensions at 52° 20'	B	Canon of oblique ascensions: ten values emended from the canon's own columns
156	batch_113 / p.337 (printed 223), $\propto$ 14° Temp.	B	Canon of oblique ascensions: '385° 11' 46"' for 285°

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
157	batch_115 / pp.343–345 (printed 229–231), table of oblique ascensions and descensions of the fixed stars	B	Star table: three descensions emended from the row relation
158	batch_116 / p.347 (printed 233), row 277, Tyconic Defc. Ob	B	Star table: descension '388° 45' for 288° 45'
159	batch_118 / pp.353–354 (printed 239–240)	C	One angle printed 16° 2' 58" on p. 353 and 16° 2' 50" on p. 354
160	batch_119 / pp.355–357 (printed 241–243), table of true risings and settings	C	Catalogue numbers: one star numbered 219 and 319; the number 154 on two different stars
161	batch_121–123 / pp.361–369 (printed 247–255), table of true risings and settings	C	Descents in the sorted Copernican column, confirmed as printed
162	batch_125 / p.373 (printed 257, sic)	B	Folio printed 257 where the sequence requires 259; 258 and 259 do not appear
163	batch_125 / p.375 (printed 261)	B	Author's errata corrects p. 261, line 7 from the foot (the Abyssinian-Coptic calendar clause)
164	batch_126 / p.378 (printed 264)	B	Author's errata: Greek <i>μηκοπλάτες</i> (p. 264 and following) to be read <i>μηκοπλάτης</i>
165	batch_127 / p.380 (printed 266)	C	Partial eclipses called twofold, then three members given; the digit series 1, 2, 3 aut 5 omits 4
166	batch_128 / p.382 (printed 268)	B	Both ends of the Moon's line printed 'versus sinistram'; the first should be toward the right
167	batch_128 / p.384 (printed 270), fig-p384-1	C	House VIII printed as IIX in the figure of the twelve houses
168	batch_129 / p.385 (printed 271)	B	Circle of latitude printed F E C; the paragraph's own definitions require A E C
169	batch_129 / p.386 (printed 272)	B	Lower quadrant printed E G; the division of line A C at E requires E C
170	batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275), table of longitudes	B	Second row's date printed 21 (for 24) and Venus's diurnal part printed 3° 13' (for 1° 13')
171	batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275)	B	Jupiter's proportional part printed 4'; the page's rule and printed result give 3'
172	batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275)	B	'secundum latitudinem' for 'secundum longitudinem' — confirmed by the author's errata
173	batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275), table of latitudes	B	Interval of days printed 29; the table's dates and the prose give 10
174	batch_130 / p.389→390 (printed 275→276)	B	Catchword Erit; the next page opens Exit
175	batch_130 / p.390 (printed 276)	C	Mars's latitude called northern ascending in the prose; the table head on p. 275 gives M D
176	batch_130 / p.390 (printed 276)	C	Precepts numbered 1 and 3; the middle precept carries no number
177	batch_131 / pp.392–393 (printed 278–279), proportional table for the equation of the 10th house, head column 9	B	Head value of column 9 printed 2 56 on both halves of the canon; the canon requires 3 56
178	batch_131 / pp.392–393 (printed 278–279), proportional table for the equation of the 10th house, body cells	B	Ten body cells of the canon printed with a wrong minutes figure; each corrected from its own column
179	batch_132 / p.394 (printed 280), proportional table, argument 47, column 4 ^m 18 ^s	B	Cell printed 2 22; the table's rule gives 3 22

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
180	batch_132 / p.394 (printed 280)	C	Table title printed only as 'Tabula proportionalis inserviens', not completed on the facing page
181	batch_132 / p.396 (printed 282), proportional table for the equation of the horoscope	B	Eighteenth column head printed 65 (for 66); row 26 under 78' printed 24 (for 34)
182	batch_132 / p.396 (printed 282)	B	Press variant: horoscope degree 2° 22' in our copy, 3° 22' in BSB 10501686
183	batch_133 / p.397 (printed 283), proportional table for the equation of the horoscope (continued)	B	The eighteenth column head is printed 65 again on the continuation leaf; its row 60 prints 66
184	batch_133 / p.399 (printed 285)	B	Worked example prints '60 minuta'; the sentence's own figures require 16
185	batch_134 / pp.400–401 (printed 286–287), proportional table for the equation of the horoscope and the houses	B	Two cells printed with a wrong degree figure (3° 6' for 1° 6'; 2° 45' for 1° 45')
186	batch_134 / p.401 (printed 287), proportional table, row 59, column 2° 22'	B	Cell printed 2° 10', out of sequence; the intended value is not fixed by the page
187	batch_134 / p.401 (printed 287), proportional table, column head 2° 44'	B	Column head printed 1 44 among heads of 2°; the facing half of the table prints 2 44
188	batch_134 / p.402 (printed 288), table of the rational houses	B	Right-hand half of the table heads its second column 'XI & II' for 'XII & II'
189	batch_135 / p.403 (printed 289)	C	In the triangle computation the sine of 51° is labelled 'BA, the elevation of the pole', though BA is stated as 39°
190	batch_135 / p.403 (printed 289)	C	The angle ABF called a right angle; the right angle of the triangle is BAF
191	batch_135 / p.405 (printed 291)	C	Campanus example: 'interval of the X and XII house' where the rule names the interval of X and XI
192	batch_135 / p.405 (printed 291)	C	A quadrant 'divided into three quadrants'
193	batch_136 / p.406 (printed 292), table of houses according to Campanus and Gazulus	C	Two interval cells break their columns' run (lat. 55: 19 20; lat. 44: 28 29)
194	batch_136 / p.407→408 (printed 293→294)	B	Catchword XII 345; the next page opens XII 354
195	batch_138 / p.412 (printed 298)	C	Castor's place after Tycho printed 14° 2'; the page's own correction of 39' applied to 14° 43' does not give it
196	batch_138 / p.412 (printed 298)	B	Author's errata: 'monuisse sufficient' to be read 'monuisse sufficiat' (near the foot)
197	batch_138 / p.414 (printed 300), table of houses, Sun in ☿, latitude 8°	B	Twelfth-house column head printed 22; the table's own structure requires 12
198	batch_139 / p.415 (printed 301), table of houses, Sun in ♀	B	Two horoscope cells printed with a wrong degree (26° 40' for 27° 40'; 28° 30' for 20° 30')
199	batch_139 / p.416 (printed 302), table of houses, Sun in ☿, latitude 16°, row 30	B	Last row printed 20, 20 in houses 2 and 3; the columns and the reprint of the row on p. 303 give 29, 29
200	batch_140 / p.418 (printed 304), table of houses, Sun in ♀, time from noon, row 27	B	Time printed 11 ^h 48 ^m 0 ^s ; both neighbouring rows with their printed differences give 11 ^h 49 ^m 0 ^s

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
201	batch_140 / p.419 (printed 305), table of houses, Sun in $\underline{\Omega}$, latitude 16° , horoscope column, row 8	B	Sign sort printed $\mathfrak{G}$ where the column passes from $\mathscr{X}$ into $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{S}$
202	batch_142 / p.424 (printed 310), table of houses, Sun in $\mathcal{H}$, time from noon, row 28 (tenth house 27)	B	Time printed $23^h 48^m 0^s$; the neighbouring rows and printed differences give $23^h 49^m 0^s$
203	batch_142 / p.426 (printed 312), table of houses, Sun in $\mathcal{X}$, latitudes 24° and 30°	B	Two column heads set wrongly: lat. 30° house 12 headed 22; lat. 24° Horosc. headed $\mathfrak{G}$ where the column runs in $\mathfrak{d}$
204	batch_142 / p.426 (printed 312), title	C	Title set 'Tabulae domorum ad latitudinem Eclipticae' (also pp. 324, 336) where the run sets 'ad obliquitatem'
205	batch_143 / p.427 (printed 313), table of houses, Sun in Π , latitude 24° , horoscope column	C	Two breaks in the rising horoscope column (rows 7–8: $6^\circ 27'$ then $6^\circ 24'$; rows 12–13: $10^\circ 15'$ then $12^\circ 13'$)
206	batch_147 / p.440 (printed 326), table of houses, latitude 40° , house 12, rows 15–16	B	Two cusp cells printed 28 and 29; the column requires 18 and 19
207	batch_147 / p.441 (printed 327), table of houses, latitude 37° , house 12, row 31	B	Cusp printed 37 (a degree above 30); the column and the reprint of the row give 27
208	batch_148 / p.444 (printed 330), table of houses, latitude 43° , horoscope minutes, row $14^h 58^m 4^s$	B	Press variant: horoscope minutes a blotted sort + 2 in our copy; a clean 47 in BSB 10501686
209	batch_150 / p.448 (printed 334), table of houses, Sun in $\mathcal{H}$, time from noon, row 12	B	Hour printed 21 among 22s; the neighbouring rows and printed differences give $22^h 49^m 55^s$
210	batch_160 / p.480 (printed 346), table of houses, Sun in $\mathcal{H}$, latitudes 45° – 51° , time from noon, row 28	B	Time printed $23^h 48^m 0^s$; the neighbouring rows and printed differences give $23^h 49^m 0^s$ (as at pp. 304, 310, 358)
211	batch_161 / p.481 (printed 347), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\mathfrak{P}$, house 3, rows 22–24	C	Three house–3 cusps stand $10'$ below the values the column's printed differences give
212	batch_161 / p.482 (printed 348), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\mathcal{X}$, house 3, row Π	B	Cusp printed $7^\circ 27'$ between $5^\circ 37'$ and $7^\circ 17'$; the printed differences give $6^\circ 27'$
213	batch_162 / p.484 (printed 350), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\mathfrak{G}$	B	Four cells corrected from the table's own figures (house 12 row 29; house Π row 18; house 3 row 0; house–2 sign)
214	batch_162 / p.485 (printed 351), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\mathfrak{d}$	B	Three cells corrected from the table's own figures (time difference row 18; house Π row 4; last-row argument)
215	batch_163 / p.487 (printed 353), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\underline{\Omega}$, house Π , row 1	B	Cusp printed $29^\circ 11'$; both neighbouring rows with their printed differences give $26^\circ 11'$
216	batch_163 / p.488 (printed 354), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\mathbb{M}$, house 3, row 0	B	Boundary row printed $28^\circ 48'$ here and $26^\circ 48'$ as the last row of p. 353; the table's figures give $26^\circ 48'$
217	batch_164 / p.490 (printed 356), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{S}$	B	House Π row 29 printed $18^\circ 20'$ (for $18^\circ 28'$); house–2 differences at rows 19 and 30 printed $65'$ and $56'$ (for $66'$)
218	batch_164 / p.491 (printed 357), table of houses for latitude $52^\circ 20'$, Sun in $\approx$	B	Time row 15 printed with hour Π (for 21); horoscope row 29 printed $5^\circ 52'$ (for $4^\circ 52'$)

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
219	batch_164 / p.492 (printed 358), table of houses for latitude $52^{\circ} 20'$, Sun in $\mathcal{H}$, time from noon, row 27	B	Time printed $23^{\text{h}} 48^{\text{m}} 0^{\text{s}}$; the neighbouring rows and printed differences give $23^{\text{h}} 49^{\text{m}} 0^{\text{s}}$ (as at pp. 304, 310, 346)
220	batch_164 / p.492→493 (printed 358→359), title	C	The title half on p. 358 is not completed on the facing page, which carries a different title half
221	batch_165 / p.493 (printed 359), table of houses for latitudes 54° – 60° , Sun in $\mathcal{P}$, latitude 60° , house 12 head	B	Twelfth-house column head set with the Π sort; the column's run and the reprinted row require $\mathfrak{D}$
222	batch_165 / pp.494–495 (printed 361, 337)	B	Misnumbered pages: the opening after p. 359 is numbered 361 and 337 (for 360 and 361)
223	batch_166 / p.498 (printed 364), table of houses for latitudes 54° – 60° , Sun in $\Pi\mathcal{P}$, latitude 60° , house 12, row 30	B	Last row printed 14 after 9; the same row printed again at the head of p. 365 reads 10
224	batch_168 / p.504 (printed 370), title	C	The last table title of the run breaks off; the facing page opens Caput XII
225	batch_169 / p.506 (printed 372), Trutina Hermetis, precept III	C	The paired rules for subtracting and adding days use the same terms in both halves
226	batch_172 / p.516 (printed 382), last line	C	'ad radics Δ & $\ast$ in radice': the word radics does not construe
227	batch_173 / p.519 (printed 385), prose below the Mirror of the aspects	B	Author's errata: 'in 10 domum incidit' — the repeated 'in domum' to be deleted
228	batch_173 / p.519 (printed 385), Astrological Mirror of the aspects, row $14^{\circ} 39'$	B	The same node sort ($\mathfrak{U}$) printed in both the $\mathfrak{D}$ and the $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{S}$ cells
229	batch_174 / p.520 (printed 386), worked example for Arcturus	C	Example's equation $5^{\circ} 17'$ disagrees with the table's own value for latitude 31° ($5^{\circ} 41'$)
230	batch_175 / p.523 (printed 389), table of the time of direction, row 10	B	Minutes of time printed 8; the table's own rows require 0
231	batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Mid-heaven, row 1	B	Author's errata: ' $\mathfrak{D}$ cum latit. $\mathfrak{U}$:' to be read ' $\mathfrak{D}$ cum latit. Merid.'
232	batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Mid-heaven, row 20	B	Author's errata: ' Δ $\mathfrak{D}$ ' to be read ' $\ast$ $\mathfrak{D}$ ' (the Moon in $6^{\circ} 25' \approx$ is in sextile to $6^{\circ} 25' \mathcal{P}$)
233	batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Mid-heaven, row 22	B	Term of $\mathfrak{U}$: right ascension $13^{\circ} 48'$ and direction $64^{\circ} 45'$ disagree with the table's rule
234	batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Horoscope, row 18	B	' $\ast$ $\mathfrak{Q}$ ' at $0^{\circ} 14' \mathfrak{Q}$ for ' $\ast$ $\mathfrak{U}$ ' — confirmed by the author's errata and by the Mirror table
235	batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), prose after the tables	B	Author's errata: 'quadrantes anni antevvertunt' — the Moon's directions precede by three quarters of a year
236	batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Horoscope, rows 4–5	C	Two promissors set out of order ($\square \mathfrak{A}$ at $25^{\circ} 57'$ after the Term of $\mathfrak{G}$ at $26^{\circ} 0'$)
237	batch_176 / p.527 (printed 393), the third rule of direction	C	The third rule joins 'the product' and 'the distance of the promissor' with h.e. ('that is')
238	batch_177 / p.529 (printed 395), second worked example	B	Author's errata: the direction of the $\odot$ to the $\square$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ falls in 1589 (not 1590), February 5
239	batch_177 / p.530 (printed 396), Directions of the $\oplus$ against the order of the signs	C	Distance from the M. C. printed $26^{\circ} 18'$ and row-12 direction $37^{\circ} 40'$: the author's working values
240	batch_177 / p.530 (printed 396), Directions of the $\oplus$, row 17 (Term of $\mathfrak{A}$ $19^{\circ} 0'$)	C	Right ascension $290^{\circ} 35'$ and distance $17^{\circ} 39'$ fall $20'$ short of the table's constant $308^{\circ} 34'$
241	batch_179 / p.535 (printed 401)	B	Author's errata: 'robor' to be read 'robur' (line 28)

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
242	batch_180 / p.539 (printed 405)	B	Author's errata: 'passio' to be read 'passo' (line 13)
243	batch_180 / pp.540–541 (printed 406–407), marginal enumerations	C	Marginal numbers run one ahead of the body's enumeration of the fixed stars' differences
244	batch_182 / p.545 (printed 411), third line	B	'signa medietatis descendentis ♄ ... ♀': the second half should be the ascending one
245	batch_182 / p.545 (printed 411)	B	Author's errata: 'manuetudo' to be read 'mansuetudo' (lines 9–10)
246	batch_183 / p.548 (printed 414), the solar and lunar halves of the zodiac	C	The half from ♄ to ♄ 'agrees with the solar nature and is called Lunaris'
247	batch_185 / p.553 (printed 419)	C	Greek ὀρηκράτορας printed with η where the usual compound is ὀροκράτορας
248	batch_185 / p.555 (printed 421), Ptolemaic table of terms, ♄ row	B	Last term of the left half printed 3; the row's own running sums require 5
249	batch_192 / pp.575–576 (printed 441–442)	C	Marginal series for the three zones numbered 1, (none), 3
250	batch_192 / p.576 (printed 442)	B	The Moon's half of the zodiac printed as ending at Sagittarius; recte Aquarius
251	batch_193 / p.577 (printed 443), fig-p577-1	C	Quadrant figure: two vertices labelled with letters other than those the text cites
252	batch_197 / p.589 (printed 455), fig-p589-1	C	Eclipse figure of 1607: both lunar nodes printed with the ascending-node sign ♀
253	batch_202 / p.604 (printed 470)	C	Spring tables: sub-block heads and sums-table labels name the two lunations in opposite order
254	batch_202 / p.605 (printed 471)	B	Autumn postventional table: column heads printed 5 4 3 1 1 for 5 4 3 2 1
255	batch_202 / p.606 (printed 472)	B	Grand-total table of strengths: the Moon's total printed 35; recte 32
256	batch_207 / p.621 (printed 487)	B	in aliis calidiuſculum — the author's errata reads in alis ("in the wings")
257	batch_210 / p.629 (printed 495)	B	Signature printed Sf 2 within gathering Tt
258	batch_213 / p.638 (printed 504)	C	Fixed-star calendar: April entries headed VI, II, V in that order
259	batch_214 / p.641 (printed 507)	C	Fixed-star calendar: an entry dated XXIII Iulii stands among the June entries
260	batch_218 / p.652 (printed 518)	B	exclusus à domino — the author's errata reads à dominio ("from the dominion")
261	batch_222 / p.665 (printed 531)	C	Planetarum convenientiam, debilitatem ... contrariorum vero fortitudinem does not construe cleanly
262	batch_224 / p.672 (printed 538)	B	fuo impetu fieri — the author's errata reads ferri ("is carried by its own impulse")
263	batch_226 / p.676 (printed 542)	C	Mars strength table: the items printed add to 17, the sum is printed 16
264	batch_229 / p.687 (printed 553)	B	Hylegial places: five + 15 degrees against the 30 stated; the second copy reads 25
265	batch_230 / p.688 (printed 554)	C	Alcochodon etymology: two of the Hebrew words do not assemble as printed
266	batch_231 / p.691 (printed 557)	B	The Moon called ruler of Taurus; recte Cancer

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
267	batch_231 / p.691 (printed 557)	B	Press variant: Cum vero vitalis virtutis (ours) / Cum vero de vitalis virtutis (second copy)
268	batch_231 / p.692 (printed 558)	C	Strengths table of the second example: six debility values set against seven planets
269	batch_231 / p.692 (printed 558)	C	The Moon's place printed 28° 32' in one table and 28° 31' in another
270	batch_232 / p.694 (printed 560)	B	The place opposite 12° 42' 8 printed in Virgo; recte Scorpio
271	batch_232 / p.695 (printed 561)	C	natum eadem loca editum effe does not construe
272	batch_236 / p.706 (printed 572); catchword at the foot of p.705 (printed 571), batch_235	B	Catchword $\mathfrak{M}$ For- not answered by the next page's $\mathfrak{M}$ Pollicetur
273	batch_237 / p.709 (printed 575), fig-p709-2	C	Figure of a hunchbacked youth (1550): both lunar nodes printed $\mathfrak{U}$
274	batch_237 / p.709 (printed 575), fig-p709-4	C	Melanchthon's figure (1497): both lunar nodes printed $\mathfrak{U}$
275	batch_237 / p.710 (printed 576)	C	Series of testimonies numbered 1, 3, 4, 5 — no 2
276	batch_239 / p.716 (printed 582), with fig-p717-1	C	Barbaro's birthday: 20 May in the text, 21 in the figure
277	batch_239 / p.717 (printed 583), fig-p717-1	B	Press variant in Barbaro's figure: $\mathfrak{U}$ (ours) / $\mathfrak{H}$ (second copy)
278	batch_240 / p.718 (printed 584), with fig-p719	C	Trapezuntius: the hour 12 ^h 30 ^m in the text, 12 ^h 20 ^m in the figure
279	batch_243 / p.729 (printed 595)	C	Scorpio named among the airy signs
280	batch_244 / p.731 (printed 597)	B	Nodi quoq; $\mathfrak{Q}$ & $\mathfrak{Q}$ his — the author's errata reads $\mathfrak{U}$ for the second
281	batch_244 / p.732 (printed 598)	C	Martem vero in $\mathfrak{II}$ domicilio $\mathfrak{Q}$ — Gemini is Mercury's domicile, not Venus's
282	batch_246 / p.736 (printed 602)	C	$\mathfrak{D}$ infirmitatem, & comos facit — comos does not construe
283	batch_246 / p.737 (printed 603), fig-p737-4	C	Brother Cornelius's figure: both lunar nodes printed $\mathfrak{Q}$
284	batch_246 / p.737 (printed 603), fig-p737-1	C	Erasmus's figure: the two nodes carry the same minutes but different degrees (7 and 1)
285	batch_246 / p.738 (printed 604)	B	A list of four signs printed with the ascending-node sort as its third member
286	batch_248 / p.742 (printed 608)	C	Felicity rules numbered 5, 6, 8 — no 7
287	batch_248 / p.743 (printed 609), fig-p743-1	C	Figure 1: both lunar nodes printed $\mathfrak{Q}$
288	batch_249 / p.746 (printed 612)	C	Rules on the constitution of Jupiter: rule 6 printed twice, no 7
289	batch_255 / p.764 (printed 630)	C	"These four" significators of the father, followed by five
290	batch_261 / p.782 (printed 648)	C	Table 1 labels a row Dominus $\mathfrak{fext}\mathfrak{a}$ where the list names the lord of the seventh
291	batch_261 / p.783 (printed 649)	C	$\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{U}$ debilitatis $\mathfrak{fint}$ 8: the class word names the wrong class for its count

#	Anchor	Cat.	Item
292	batch_263 / p.787 (printed 653)	B	Press variant (one sort): Maretn. (ours) / Marern. (second copy) for Firmicus Maternus
293	batch_263 / p.787 (printed 653)	C	Citation Haly part. cap. 1 — no part number printed
294	batch_263 / p.788 (printed 654), fig-p788-1	B	Kotzen's figure (1578): a cusp printed ♄ 31 1 — 31 degrees exceeds a sign
295	batch_263 / p.789 (printed 655)	C	Wounds paragraph: items numbered 2 and 3, no 1
296	batch_264 / p.791 (printed 657)	C	Epilepsy items numbered 1–4, an unnumbered sentence, then 3–10
297	batch_265 / p.795 (printed 661); catchword at the foot of p.794 (printed 660)	C	Catchword Hinc not answered by the next page's Huc
298	batch_268 / p.802 (printed 668)	B	Occidental houses listed 9, 8, 12, 1, 2, 3; recte 7 for 12
299	batch_270 / p.808 (printed 674), fig-p808-1	C	Figure 1 of p. 808: both lunar nodes printed ♌
300	batch_270 / pp.808–810 (printed 674–676); page of the margin series to be confirmed from the batch file	C	Marginal numerals set 1, 3, 2, 4
301	batch_275 / p.825 (printed 691)	C	Mercury's combinations numbered 1–4; the next carries no numeral 5
302	batch_278 / p.833 (printed 699), with p.834 (printed 700)	C	Section headings numbered I, Secundo, Tertio, 4; the Moon section carries no ordinal
303	batch_286 / p.858 (printed 724), fig-p858-1	C	Bredenrodus's figure: both lunar nodes printed ♌
304	batch_286 / p.858 (printed 724), caption of fig-p858-2	B	Press variant: Regiomontanus's birth year 1556 (ours) / 1536 (second copy)
305	batch_292 / p.874 (printed 740)	C	honerata does not construe
306	batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), left table row 8	B	Directions table: ♄ ♀ sinister longitude printed 27° 33' (repeating row 5); recte 6° 40'
307	batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), right table row 8	B	Directions table: direction of the term of ♂ 25° 0' printed 30° 18'; recte 31° 18'
308	batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), right table row 12	B	Directions table: oblique ascension of the term of ♀ 7° 0' printed 142° 11'; recte 147° 11'
309	batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), left table row 7; p.893 (printed 759), left table rows 7 and 21	B	Directions tables: three values printed out of order, not fixable from the page
310	batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758)	C	Printed dittography: à se invicem distant set twice
311	batch_298 / p.893 (printed 759), left table rows 6 and 15	C	Two directions differ from the book's proportional method by 10' and 20'
312	batch_300 / p.899 (printed 765)	B	Profection arithmetic: abjectis 22 printed for 12
313	batch_301 / p.901 (printed 767); catchword at the foot of p.900 (printed 766), batch_300	C	Catchword thema, set only as a catchword; the running text on p. 901 resumes with postea
314	batch_305 / p.914 (printed 780)	C	Revolution of 1609: prose and figure disagree at three values

1. batch_004 / p.10 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source prints 745 for the year in which Virgil of Salzburg preached on the antipodes, citing Aventinus's annals. The figure is secure on the leaf (all three digits clear; confirmed by the Stage-4 rerun). The affair is conventionally dated a few years later; the book offers no internal check on the date, so the figure is kept as the author's and nothing is corrected. (Editor to decide whether a historical-chronology note is wanted here.)

2. batch_007 / p.21 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle) — Category B — source compositor error

Press variant. Our copy (BSB bsb11218440, Augsburg 4 Math 421) prints *prodeuntia naſcentia* on line 4, with a plain word space and clean paper between the two words; the other copy (BSB 10501686) prints *prodeuntia & naſcentia*, the line reset tighter (*mata, &*) to make room, with lines 3 and 5 identical in both. The translation follows our copy; the other state is given in the text's [ed.:] note. Both states were read firm in each copy (two-copy unit T2). The ink does not show which state is the earlier.

3. batch_007 / p.21 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints in *auguftiori & centro terræ propiori circulo*. The second sort is a u (closed at the foot like the u of *quod* on the same line, not arched like the n of *in* beside it; Stage-4 rerun, 3× crop), so the word as printed does not construe. The sentence's own contrast with *in ampliori* in the next clause requires *anguftiori*, 'narrower', and the translation ('a narrower circle') follows that sense. No bracket is carried in the text, which holds no Latin copy of the word.

4. batch_007 / p.21 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The Greek word glossed 'close association' is printed with an omicron where the standard form has an omega (*συνοικείωσιν*). Both copies (ours and BSB 10501686) set a single closed oval at that position, against the omega of the same page (two-copy unit T1). The printed letter is kept in the text; the book itself does not prove the standard spelling, so no correction is made.

5. batch_010 / p.29 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle) — Category B — source compositor error

The source divides *agitata terra ab occafu in or- / um, nubes* at a line end, with no t on either side of the break: the line end is intact (a heavy hyphen, then clean paper). Preserved as printed, with [recte: *ortum*] in the text. Warrant: the same sentence sets the parallel *ab ortu in occafum*, which fixes the word; the absence of the t was settled at 3× crop by the Stage-4 rerun (item 9), which first established the emendation.

6. batch_011 / p.31 (unpaginated, dedicatory epistle) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source states that in a sixtieth of an hour the fixed star covers as many miles as a man doing ten a day (*dena*) can scarcely complete in two hundred and three years (*ducentis & tribus annis*), namely 75,079. At ten miles a day 75,079 miles take 7,508 days, about 20½ years, not 203. All three elements are secure as printed: the figure was confirmed at native crop (Stage-4 rerun, item 3), and the two word-form quantities are construed from the Latin. The figure 75,079 agrees with the page's own hourly distance ($4,504,762 \div 60 = 75,079.4$), but the page does not show whether the slip is in the days or the years, so nothing is corrected; the text carries an [ed.:] note.

7. batch_015 / p.43 (unpaginated) — *Category C — author/source anomaly*

In the first table of the Moon's equal motions (centurial years 1500, 1600, 1700), the Composite column steps $307^{\circ} 23' 27''$ from 1500 to 1600 but $307^{\circ} 21' 42''$ from 1600 to 1700, a discrepancy of $1' 45''$, while the Centre and Anomaly columns of the same three rows are constant to $1''$. All values read as printed and kept; three rows do not show which cell is at fault, so no correction is made (Stage-4 rerun).

8. batch_015 / p.44 (unpaginated) — *Category B — source compositor error*

In the second table of the Moon's mean motions (single Julian years 1–99) ten cells break their column's constant yearly step and are preserved as printed with [recte:] in the text: year 19 Composite $264^{\circ} 10' 30''$ [recte: $4^{\circ} 10' 30''$]; year 20 Composite $33^{\circ} 33' 32''$ [recte: $133^{\circ} 33' 32''$]; year 38 Composite $8^{\circ} 20' 50''$ [recte: $8^{\circ} 21' 0''$]; year 46 Centre $337^{\circ} 53' 16''$ [recte: $337^{\circ} 53' 6''$]; year 60 Anomaly $119^{\circ} 6' 29''$ [recte: $119^{\circ} 6' 35''$]; year 70 Anomaly $325^{\circ} 29' 35''$ [recte: $325^{\circ} 29' 38''$]; year 77 Anomaly $252^{\circ} 39' 12''$ [recte: $252^{\circ} 39' 22''$]; year 85 Anomaly $368^{\circ} 32' 15''$ [recte: $268^{\circ} 32' 15''$] (the printed value exceeds 360°); year 89 Anomaly $278^{\circ} 28' 41''$ [recte: $276^{\circ} 28' 41''$]; year 92 Centre $292^{\circ} 23' 19''$ [recte: $291^{\circ} 23' 19''$]. Warrant: each column is an arithmetical progression (yearly steps $88^{\circ} 43' 8''$ in the Anomaly, $129^{\circ} 23' 2''$ in the Composite, $259^{\circ} 14' 45''$ in the Centre, each enlarged by one day's motion across a bissextile year), and the step closes to within $1''$ on every other row of the table; each corrected value restores the step from both neighbours. The printed sorts were read and the emendations established by the Stage-4 rerun (rerun_015 items 4–12, 14, 15, 17).

9. batch_015 / p.45 (unpaginated) — *Category B — source compositor error*

In the third table (mean motions by month), each bissextile entry stands exactly one day's motion ahead of its common-year partner from March onward ($13^{\circ} 3' 54''$ in the Anomaly, $13^{\circ} 10' 35''$ in the Composite). Three cells break that relation and are preserved as printed with [recte:]: April, bissextile Composite, printed $109^{\circ} 3' 7''$ [recte: $119^{\circ} 3' 7''$] ($105^{\circ} 52' 32'' + 13^{\circ} 10' 35''$); August, bissextile Anomaly, printed $252^{\circ} 50' 29''$ [recte: $262^{\circ} 50' 29''$] ($249^{\circ} 46' 35'' + 13^{\circ} 3' 54''$). Established from the table's own figures by the Stage-4 rerun (rerun_015). A third, November, bissextile Composite, is printed $53^{\circ} 48' 1''$ [recte: $58^{\circ} 48' 1''$] ($45^{\circ} 37' 26'' + 13^{\circ} 10' 35''$; the printed October and December cells satisfy the same relation); both copies print 53, read blind on 2026-10-08.

10. batch_015 / p.45 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

In the fourth table (mean motions by day), day 22 Composite is printed $376^{\circ} 42' 15''$, a value above 360° in a column whose every other entry is below it; preserved as printed with [recte: $276^{\circ} 42' 15''$]. Warrant: the column's constant daily step of $13^{\circ} 10' 35''$ from day 21 ($263^{\circ} 31' 40''$) gives $276^{\circ} 42' 15''$, and the next step reaches day 23 ($289^{\circ} 52' 50''$) as printed. Established by the Stage-4 rerun (rerun_015).

11. batch_016 / p.47 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

In the sixth table (equations of the Moon), the cell at mean centre 90, anomaly 50, is printed $4^{\circ} 17'$. Its column neighbours are $4^{\circ} 18'$ (row 80) and $4^{\circ} 0'$ (row 100), its row neighbours $4^{\circ} 26'$ (col 45) and $3^{\circ} 51'$ (col 55); its second difference is -16 down the column and -17 across the row, where nowhere else on the two leaves of the table does a second difference exceed 11. The printed value was confirmed at 5–6× by the Stage-4 rerun. It is very probably a fault of the print, but the book does not fix the intended value, so it is kept as printed with no correction.

12. batch_016 / p.47 (unpaginated) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The foot of PDF p. 47 is signed (e) 4 (the letter has a bowl with a bar and no ascender; confirmed as printed by the Stage-4 rerun). The gathering opens with (d) at PDF p. 41 and continues (d) 2 at p. 43 and (d) 3 at p. 45; pp. 49 and 51 are unsigned, and the bare (e) that opens the next gathering stands at p. 53. The leaf is therefore the fourth of gathering (d), signed with the wrong letter. Recorded as printed.

13. batch_018 / p.52 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

In the last panel of the sixth table, the cell at mean centre 0, anomaly 360, is printed $8^{\circ} 30'$; preserved as printed with [recte: $9^{\circ} 30'$]. Warrant: the row for mean centre 360 repeats the row for 0 cell for cell throughout the table, and at this column it prints $9^{\circ} 30'$; the column below runs $9^{\circ} 17'$, $9^{\circ} 4'$, so $8^{\circ} 30'$ breaks it by a whole degree (second difference $60'$, where the rest of the leaf never exceeds $7'$). The printed sort was read and the emendation established by the Stage-4 rerun.

14. batch_018 / p.54 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

The table of the proportional part (the 'penultimate table') is a plain multiplication: each cell is the difference times the intercepted degrees, at $6''$ a unit. Three cells on p. 54 fail that relation and are preserved as printed with [recte:]: difference 39, column 4, printed $14' 36''$ [recte: $15' 36''$]; difference 44, column 9, printed $39' 38''$ [recte: $39' 36''$]; difference 53, column 5, printed $27' 30''$ [recte: $26' 30''$]. Each printed sort was read (a 4, an 8 and a 7) and the emendations established by the Stage-4 rerun (items 9–11); the column and row steps around each cell agree with the corrected values.

15. batch_021 / p.62 (unpaginated) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In Magini's words as Origanus quotes them, the meridian to which Reinhold's tables are said really to agree is printed as $45^{\circ} 45'$. The second figure was read as a 5 at 6× (flat head, descender, no ascender), against the 6 of 46, 45

/ in the first line of the same quoted block (Stage-4 rerun, item 5). Everywhere else Magini's quoted words give $46^{\circ} 45'$ (twice on p. 61, once on p. 63). The sentence speaks of 'some other meridian' and no sum on the page fixes what is meant, so the figure is kept as printed, with an [ed.:] note in the text.

16. batch_022 / p.64–65 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

The catchword at the foot of PDF p. 64 is *præ*; the next page opens with *pro* (*unum, qui meritò pro reliquis omnibus sufficere possit*). The Stage-4 rerun (item 15) confirmed both: the catchword's æ ligature is clear, and *pro* stands whole at the head of p. 65. It is not a partial word or a contraction. The phrase construes with either word. The translation follows the page's own first word.

17. batch_022 / p.65 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

In Magini's list of Origanus's errors, as quoted, item 7 is dated 'in the corrected year 1527'. The figure is secure (Stage-4 rerun, item 4: the 5 identified against 1625, the 6 excluded against 1626 and 1623). A reformed-calendar year before the reform of 1582 is impossible, and the list otherwise runs 1621–1630 (the adjacent items are 1626 and 1628). Which year was meant cannot be fixed from the page, so no correction is made; the text carries an [ed.:] note.

18. batch_022 / p.65 (unpaginated) — Category B — source compositor error

Item 11 of Magini's list, as quoted, charges an error of 14 minutes, Origanus having set the Moon in $21^{\circ} 22' \text{M}$, 'when she is in fact in $11^{\circ} 8''$ '. The two places as printed differ by $10^{\circ} 14'$, not $14'$. The leading 2 of 21 is secure (Stage-4 rerun, item 6, at $2.5\times$ and $6\times$, with the adjacent 22 as control). The stated error suggests that one degree figure is wrong, but the page does not show which, so nothing is corrected; the text carries an [ed.:] note.

19. batch_023 / p.67 (unpaginated) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In Origanus's own list of Magini's errors, the Mars entry for 1614 says that from 22 July to the end of August the motion in longitude 'runs ahead by $4'$ and $5''$ ', and then, introduced by *imò* ('indeed'), gives 1 August as $7^{\circ} 20'$ in both editions 'when it ought to be $7^{\circ} 35''$ '. That is a gap of $15'$, and in the opposite direction (Magini's value is the lower). Both figures are secure (Stage-4 rerun, item 7). The *imò* may introduce a worse case rather than restate the same quantity. The page does not show whether any figure slipped, so nothing is corrected.

20. batch_025 / p.73 (unpaginated, errata leaf) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The errata leaf closes with a dating formula, 'in the Julian year M. DC. LIV' (1654), followed by a concordance of the same day in other eras ending 'from the Christian Dionysian epoch, 1609'. The dedication is dated the same way (PDF p. 33, batch_011). The numeral is secure: the V's diagonals meet at the baseline, excluding X (Stage-4 rerun, item 15). It is not an error. The phrase is *Anno Iuliano*, and the leaf counts each era from its own origin. Counted from Caesar's reform of the calendar (45 BC), AD 1609 is the 1654th Julian year.

21. batch_026 / p.76 (unpaginated, commendatory verses) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Verse 16 of Pancratius Crugerius's poem is printed *Quæ tener, inventis æquiparanda patrum*. The word is *tener*: the *t* of a possible *tenet* is excluded by the absence of a crossbar, checked against *inventis* on the same line (Stage-4 rerun, item 1). As printed it does not construe in the relative clause. Only the printed form is legible at the locus, so no correction is made; the text keeps *tener* with an [ed.:] note.

22. batch_026 / p.78 (unpaginated, index) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The index entry on Nabonassar reads *Nabonaffar vel Baladan fuit pater Merodachi vel Bynaftha Babyloniorum*. The initial is a *B*: its upper bowl is narrower than the lower, as in the *B* of *Babyloniorum* on the same line, and *D* is excluded by the *Dhilkarnain* control on the same leaf (Stage-4 rerun, item 7). As printed, the word does not construe. *Dynastia* ('ruler') would, but it is not on the page and is not adopted; the text keeps *Bynaftha* with an [ed.:] note.

23. batch_030 / p.88 (unpaginated, index) — Category B — source compositor error

The index entry 'The foundation of that table, which contains the polar numbers' cites p. 389, in a block that otherwise cites pp. 288–299. The figure is confirmed as printed: the first sort is the fount's descending 3, and 2 is excluded by the 2s of p. 288 and p. 290 in the same passage (Stage-4 rerun, item 2, 1× and 4×). Printed p. 289 (PDF p. 403) carries the margin note *Fundamentum tabulæ domorum rationalis*, directly after the polar-number table of p. 288, so the reference is very probably a compositor's slip for 289. Printed p. 389 itself has not been read, so the slip is not proved and no [recte:] is given; the text carries an [ed.:] note.

24. batch_031 / p.92 (unpaginated, index) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the entry 'The first house begins from the eastern horizon ... and is commonly called the horoscope', the print sets three long-s sorts, each followed by a point (f. f. f.), between *orientali* and *& vocatur*. They are type, in the text fount and on the text baseline, and darker than the reader's underline on the line above (Stage-4 rerun, item 3). They do not construe as Latin, and no expansion is printed. The translation leaves them unrendered and marks the place.

25. batch_032 / p.96 (unpaginated, index) — Category B — source compositor error

The index entry 'Venti collaterales qui fiant & quomodo appellantur' cites p. 47, two figures where its neighbours carry three (495, 496, 47, 497). The line stands whole in two views, with no ink, space or trimmed edge where a third figure could have stood (first-pass report; Stage-4 rerun, item 14). Printed p. 47 (PDF p. 159) is the Dionysian paschal table of Part 1, which has nothing on

the winds, so the reference cannot be right as printed. The intended page is not shown, and no correction is made.

26. batch_035 / p.103 (unpaginated, index) — Category B — source compositor error

The index entry on the birth and what accompanies it cites p. 634 & 535, in a column otherwise running 630–643. It is preserved as printed with [recte: 635]. The printed 535 was confirmed at 7× (Stage-4 rerun, item 1; the first and third sorts are the same sort and differ from the 6 of 634 on the same line). Warrant: printed p. 635 carries the matter the entry indexes.

27. batch_035 / p.104 (unpaginated, index) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The entry *Significatores religionis sunt planetæ in nona vel tertia domo, 2 nona domus eiusque Dominus, 3 Iupiter ...* prints the enumerators 2 and 3 but no 1. The gap between *sunt* and *planetæ* is an ordinary word space, mid-column and clear of any cut, so no sort failed to ink there (first-pass report, anomaly c). Nothing is supplied; the text carries an [ed.:] note at the place.

28. batch_038 / p.113 (printed 1) — Category B — source compositor error

The third margin note on the page, a keyword repeating the body word six lines above, is printed *Almauah.*; preserved as printed with [recte: *Almanah*]. Warrant: the body of the same page prints *Almanah* with an unambiguous n, and the note's fourth sort is a u (bowl at the base, open top), matching the u of *unde* in the same margin column (Stage-4 rerun, item 3, 5× crop). The emendation rests on that n/u divergence alone; a turned n is a possible mechanism, but it is not asserted.

29. batch_038 / p.114 (printed 2) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the quotation from Plato's *Timæus* the word broken across the line, ἐπίπλην, is printed with a π, not a κ, in its second syllable: a flat top bar over two legs, the design of the π of πέντε on the same leaf (Stage-4 rerun, item 5). The received text of the passage reads ἐπὶ κλην ('by name': 'the five other stars, which have the name of planets'), and the author's own Latin rendering beneath it gives that sense ('which are commonly called the wandering stars'). The printed form is kept; the expected form is legible nowhere on the leaf, so no [recte:] is given.

30. batch_039 / p.117 (printed 5) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page introduces the Christian era in the year of Diocletian 248, which it numbers as the year of Christ 532: an interval of 284 years. It then says that Diocletianic 1312 is currently running alongside Dionysian 1606, and those figures stand 294 years apart. All the figures are confirmed by their forms at 5–6×; the third sort of 1312 matches the first sort of the same number (Stage-4 rerun, item 16), and 248 is printed identically twice. The page does not show which figure is at fault, so nothing is corrected; the text carries an [ed.:] note.

31. batch_041 / p.121 (printed 9) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page says that Nicephorus and Eusebius put the interval from the Creation to the Flood at 2243 years, 'as the sum of the numbers on the right

shows'. The figure is confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun, item 4: last sort the descending old-style 3). The right-hand column as printed ($230 + 205 + 190 + 170 + 165 + 162 + 165 + 167 + 188 + 600$) sums to 2242, and the next page twice implies 2242 ($3399 - 1157$; $3259 - 1017$). The first sort of the Seth cell (230) is not settled on this copy between 1 and 2, and the page itself uses a one-higher 'current' count (1656 complete, 1657 current), so 2243 is not proved an error. Kept as the author's; no correction.

32. batch_041 / p.121 (printed 9) — Category B — source compositor error

Our copy (BSB, Augsburg 4 Math 421) prints *commentum*; the other copy of this printing (BSB 10501686) prints *communem*, which a later reader has struck through in pen with *commentum* written in the margin. The author's errata leaf (PDF p. 73: 'p. 9 — for *communem* read *commentum*') corrects exactly this word, so our copy carries a stop-press correction of the forme. Read blind in both copies, 2026-10-08. The text follows our copy; an [ed.:] note gives the other state.

33. batch_041 / p.123 (printed 11) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page cites Gen 7, verſ: 7 for Moses being 80 years old at the departure from Egypt; the three sorts Gen are secure (Stage-4 rerun, item 9). The statement stands at Exodus 7:7. The book gives no internal proof of the intended reference, so the citation is kept as printed, marked [as printed] in the text.

34. batch_041 / p.123 (printed 11) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page derives the 480 years from the Exodus to the foundation of Solomon's Temple (1 Kings 6:1) from a list of the judges and kings. As the edition reads it, the list sums to 472 ($40 + 18 + 40 + 80 + 40 + 40 + 3 + 25 + 22 + 6 + 7 + 10 + 8 + 20 + 40 + 30 + 40 + 3$), or 473 with Solomon's 'about 4', and the page states 480 twice. Tola's 25 was settled and Samuel and Saul's 30 confirmed as printed by the Stage-4 rerun (items 10, 12). The figures stand as printed; the shortfall is the author's reckoning as set.

35. batch_042 / p.124 (printed 12) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page cites 2 Paral. cap. 4 verſ. 31 (2 Chronicles 4:31) for the end of Solomon's reign. The chapter figure is the open-top 4, identified against the 4 of 42 on the same line (Stage-4 rerun, item 6). The familiar reference is 2 Chronicles 9:31; 2 Chronicles 4 has no verse 31. There is no book-internal proof, so the citation is kept, marked [as printed] in the text.

36. batch_042 / p.125 (printed 13) — Category B — source compositor error

In the *Tabula collationis Regum Juda & Israel*, the row for Jehoshaphat's 17th year (Ahab's 20th) prints the year of the world as 2044; preserved as printed with [recte: 3044]. The leading sort is a 2, of the same construction as the 2 of 3028 in the same column; 3 is excluded (Stage-4 rerun, item 8, native and 4×). Warrant from the table's own figures: Jehoshaphat's first year is 3028, so his 17th is 3044; Ahab's first year is 3025, so his 20th is 3044; the next page's first row (Jehoshaphat's 18th) is 3045. As printed, 2044 would fall before the Temple's foundation (2933).

37. batch_044 / p.131 (printed 19) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page fills the seventy years of the Babylonian captivity with the reigns from the deportation: Nebuchadnezzar 35 years, Evil-merodach 2, Neriglissar/Belshazzar 5, Nabonnedus 7, and Cyrus 'about 10', and concludes 'All these years gathered into a single sum make 70'. As printed they sum to 59. Every figure is firm on the leaf (Stage-4 rerun, item 10). The page does not show which term differs, so nothing is corrected.

38. batch_044 / p.132 (printed 20) — Category B — source compositor error

The page prints Sub Arfe 32 for the reign of Arses; preserved as printed with [recte: 31]. The 2 was read at 5× against the 2 of 23 on the same line (Stage-4 rerun, item 13). Warrant from the page itself: the Persian kingdom lasts 43 years from the 32nd year of Artaxerxes, made up of Artaxerxes 11 + Ochus 23 + Arses + Darius Codomannus 6, which leaves 3 for Arses; $49 = 43 + 6$ to Alexander's death, and 49 is used again in the page's closing sums ($49 + 12 + 343 = 404$), which close. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) makes the same correction: 'p. 20, line 26 — for *sub Arfe* 32 read *sub Arfe* 3'.

39. batch_047 / p.139 (printed 27) — Category B — source compositor error

The page prints à 16 Iunii die anni jam dicti for the civil beginning of the Hegira; preserved as printed with [recte: 16 July]. The month word was read at 3.5×: two-minim n, no ascender, against the full-height l of Iulii on the same page (Stage-4 rerun, item 4). Warrant from the same page: it sets the civil beginning of the Hegira at More vero Politico à 16 Iulii feria 6, and this sentence gives the civil day as the 21st of Rabi' I against the astronomers' 22nd. That is a one-day shift, the 15 to 16 July offset, not a month.

40. batch_048 / p.142 (printed 30) — Category B — source compositor error

The page reports al-Farghānī as putting 1379 years between Nabonassar and Yazdegird, and between Philip and Yazdegird 455; preserved as printed with [recte: 955]. The lead sort is the angular crossbarred 4: the tailed 9 of 1379 on the line above excludes 9, and the 4s of 424 and 114 identify 4 (Stage-4 rerun, item 2, 1.8×). Warrant from the sentence itself: the smaller interval taken from the greater is to leave 424, the years from Nabonassar to the Philippic era, and $1379 - 424 = 955$, whereas $1379 - 455 = 924$. The leaf does not show whether the slip is the compositor's or the author's.

41. batch_048 / p.143 (printed 31) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page sets the astronomical epoch of Alexander's death at 12 November of the year of the world 3647. The figure is firm (Stage-4 rerun, item 10). On the book's own figures the year is 3627: the preceding page puts the epoch of Nabonassar at the year of the world 3203, and Alexander's death 424 years later, which gives 3627; and printed p. 20 (PDF p. 132) states 'Alexander died in the year of the world 3627'. Kept as printed; no correction.

42. batch_049 / p.145 (printed 33) — Category B — source compositor error

The catchword at the foot of printed p. 33 is Publici: seven italic sorts, firm at 5× (Stage-4 rerun, item 10). The next page opens Politici, so the catchword does not answer the following leaf. The correct form does not stand at the catchword's own locus, so it is recorded as printed and not emended.

43. batch_050 / p.148 (printed 36) — Category B — source compositor error

The page prints à 46 die Octobris; preserved as printed with [recte: 26th]. The leading sort is a firm 4, by the design of the 4 of per 4, in the line above, and not the fount's z-shaped italic 2 (Stage-4 rerun, item 2). Warrant from the page's own figures: the rule gives 32 days counted backwards, arriving at 24 September; 24 September + 32 days = 26 October (6 days to 30 September, 26 into October); no count of 32 from '46 October' lands on 24 September, and October has 31 days. The author's errata leaf (PDF p. 73) makes the same correction: 'p. 36, line 12 — for 46 die read 26'.

44. batch_050 / p.148 (printed 36) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page dates the Council of Nicaea Anno Chrifiti 324. The third sort is a firm 4, and the fount's 5 is excluded (Stage-4 rerun, item 1). Printed p. 24 (PDF p. 136, batch_046) places the council, on Socrates' authority, on 19 June 'as the year 325 was running out', and 325 is the usual date. The page's centenary arithmetic comes out the same for 324 and 325, so nothing on the leaf proves a misprint; kept as printed.

45. batch_051 / p.151 (printed 39) — Category B — source compositor error

The length of the mean synodic month is printed here as 29 d 12 h 44' 3" 10^{'''} 58^{'''} 10^v, in both copies. The book states it again on printed p. 50 (PDF p. 162) as ... 10^{'''} 38^{'''} 10^v, and gives there also the day-fraction 29 d 31' 50" 7^{'''} 56^{'''} 35^v 24^{vi}, which converts to 12 h 44 m 3 s 10^{'''} 38^{'''}: the book's own figures fix the fourths at 38 (3 and 5 are this fount's confusable pair, but both copies print 5 here). The text carries 58^{'''} [recte: 38^{'''}].

46. batch_051 / p.152 (printed 40) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page introduces the distich on Numa adding January and February to the year ('But Numa passed over neither Janus nor the ancestral shades ...') as Ovid, 1 Metam.:. The lines are from Ovid's *Fasti*, book 1 (lines 43–44), not the *Metamorphoses*. The first-pass reader also records that the print's second line, 'addidit ille duos' ('he it was who added those two'), differs from the received text (*praeposuitque duos*). The book gives no internal proof, so both are kept as printed (first-pass report; not re-read by the Stage-4 rerun).

47. batch_053 / p.159 (printed 47) — Category B — source compositor error

In the Dionysian (paschal) table, row 9 of the Anni Chrifiti column prints 542; preserved as printed with [recte: 540]. The printed 542 was read at 5× against an identifying control in the same column (Stage-4 rerun, item 13). Warrant from the table's own figures: rows 8 and 10 print 539 and 541 at full weight, 542 recurs at row 11, and the dominical letter B at row 9 stands in the B run of rows 1, 5, 9, 13, 17 (532, 536, 540, 544, 548, the leap years).

48. batch_054 / p.160 (printed 48) — Category B — source compositor error

In the first Ecclesiastical Calendar, row 7 of the August column prints an h-form sort for the dominical letter; preserved as printed with [recte: b]. The sort was read at 2×, 4× and 8× against the closed-bowl b of row 14 in the same column: it has no closed bowl, and its straight right leg stands apart on the baseline (Stage-4 rerun, item 7). Warrant from the calendar's own structure:

the dominical letters run the fixed cycle A b c d e f g, and the column prints A at row 6 and c at row 8, so row 7 can only be b. The letter h is outside the cycle's alphabet.

49. batch_054 / p.160 (printed 48) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the first Ecclesiastical Calendar the letter cell for 19 September is empty: clean paper in both sub-fields, with the rule to its left broken at this height (Stage-4 rerun, item 8, 3×). The letter cycle would give c (b at the 18th, d at the 20th). Nothing is supplied in the text. The leaf does not show whether the sort fell out or was never set.

50. batch_054 / p.161 (printed 49) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In explaining why a greater golden number follows a lesser only after an empty day, the page says that the next golden number anticipates the preceding lunation 'by a full 12 hours' (12 integris Horis, line 16), and later that without the empty day the lunations of the following number 'would be anticipated by 12 days' (12 diebus, line 37). diebus is clear on the leaf (Stage-4 rerun, item 17). The English follows each statement as printed; the inconsistency is the author's.

51. batch_054 / p.162 (printed 50) — Category B — source compositor error

In the left block of the table of anticipation, row 228 prints 27 in the hours column; preserved as printed with [recte: 17]. The 27 was read at 2.5× against the 2s of 228 and 209 in the same passage (Stage-4 rerun, item 5). Warrant from the table's own figures: the row's own minutes, seconds and thirds (30 40 4) agree with 17 hours; row 209 (16 3 6 44) plus one cycle (1h 27m 33s 20") gives 17h 30m 40s 4"; and the table's closing row sets 24 hours at 312½ years, so no earlier row can exceed 24. The author's errata leaf (PDF p. 73) makes the same correction: 'p. 50, in the table at the year 228 — for 27h 30m read 17h 30m'.

52. batch_055 / p.163 (printed 51) — Category B — source compositor error

In the second Ecclesiastical Calendar three letter cells are preserved as printed with [recte:]. July 3 and August 7 print h [recte: b], and September 19 prints Ç, a c with a cedilla [recte: c]. Warrant from the calendar's own structure: each dominical-letter column runs a–g in unbroken sequence (a on 2 July and c on 4 July; a on 6 August and c on 8 August; b on 18 September and d on 20 September), and p. 165 names the seven weekday letters A–G; h is outside the cycle. The sorts were read on native crops against closed b's in the same passage, the two h cells being alike in design and weight (Stage-4 rerun, items 3–4).

53. batch_055 / p.165 (printed 53) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the phrase ἀποκατάστασιν τῆς σαββατικῆς ἡμέρας ('the restoration of the sabbath day'), the leaf prints the seventh sort of the adjective as η: a right stem that descends, with 1 excluded (Stage-4 rerun, item 15, at 2.5–4.5×). The standard form is σαββατικῆς. The printed letter is kept in the reader text; only the Greek word itself proves the standard spelling, not the page, so no [recte:] is given.

54. batch_057 / p.170 (printed 58) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the lower bank of the first particular table of the Solar Cycle (1500–1700), the two letters of the bissextile year at cycle number 17 are printed C above and D below. Every other pair in this table and in the second table has the next letter downward in the alphabet beneath (D above, C below would be expected here). Recorded as printed (first-pass report); not emended.

55. batch_058 / p.174 (printed 62) — Category B — source compositor error

In the *Tabella Epactarum*, the cell under golden number 8 prints five sorts, X X V I I, with the V and first I set high; preserved as printed with [recte: XXVIII]. Warrant from the rule stated on p. 173 (add 11, cast out 30) and the table's own neighbours: XVII (golden number 7) + 11 = XXVIII, and XXVIII + 11 – 30 = IX, which is printed at golden number 9 (XXVII would give VIII) (Stage-4 rerun, item 6).

56. batch_058 / p.174 (printed 62) — Category B — source compositor error

Two cells on the row for golden number 12 of the *Tabula Lunationum* are preserved as printed with [recte:]. (1) The end of the twelfth lunar month prints a firm 9 [recte: 8]. The row's own values bring the year to 8 December (beginning 20 December, plus the 354 days the row gives), and row 13 begins on the 9th. The printed 9 repeats row 1's end date; whether the slip is the compositor's or the author's cannot be told from the leaf (Stage-4 rerun, item 13). (2) The epact prints XXIIII (X, X, I, I, a word space, I, I) [recte: XXIII]. The year so ended leaves 23 days, and the add-11, cast-out-30 series from row 11's XII gives XXIII (rerun, item 12). The author's errata leaf (PDF p. 73) makes the same correction: 'p. 62 — at golden number 12 ... on the right-hand side, not XXIIII but XXIII'.

57. batch_058 / p.174 (printed 62) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the *Tabula Lunationum*, the row for golden number 18 gives three consecutive 29-day lunations (the sixth, seventh and eighth), where every other row alternates 29 and 30, and its embolismic year is given as 383 days against 384 on every other embolismic row. The row is consistent with its own printed values (first lunation 12 + 323 = day 335 = 1 December; + 30 = 31 December; Stage-4 rerun, item 17), so this is the author's arrangement, not a misprint. Recorded as printed.

58. batch_059 / p.175 (printed 63) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the rule for finding the Moon's age from the epacts, the page says the number collected is reduced 'after first casting out 29 in our own time, whereas formerly 40 were cast out' (cùm olim 40 rejecti fuerint). The 40 is firm: its first sort matches the 4 of 354 on the same leaf, and the 3 is excluded. In context the quantity cast out is a lunation, 29 or 30. There is no book-internal proof of the intended figure, so it is kept as printed (Stage-4 rerun, item 1).

59. batch_059 / p.175–176 (printed 63–64) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The prose on p. 175 lists the six days on which the epacts XXV and XXIIII are written together as 5 February, 5 April, 2 June, 1 August, 29 September and 27 November. The printed 2 before Iunii is a flat-based 2, controlled by the

2s of 27 Novembris and 29 Septemb on the same line. The *Calendarium novum* on p. 176 sets the paired 25 24 at June day 3, with XXV 26 at day 2. The other five dates agree between prose and table. Both are transcribed as printed; no correction (Stage-4 rerun, item 5).

60. batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64) — Category B — source compositor error

In the *Calendarium novum* the February column prints 14 at day 14 and 15 at day 15; preserved as printed with [recte: 15] and [recte: 14]. Warrant: the epacts run in strict retrograde order down each column, as p. 175 states, and February day 13 = 16 and day 16 = 13 are read firm. Day 14 was read at 6× (a crossbarred 4). Day 15 was read on one lightly inked view (a f-like 5 body; same-crop control April day 14, 15) (Stage-4 rerun, items 8–9).

61. batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64) — Category B — source compositor error

The August column prints 6 at day 16; preserved as printed with [recte: 9]. Warrant: the retrograde run of the column, August day 15 = 10 and day 17 = 8, read firm. Both passes read a 6 against same-frame 9s (Stage-4 rerun, item 16). Since 6 and 9 are one sort turned through 180°, a turned sort is possible, but it is not asserted as the cause.

62. batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64) — Category B — source compositor error

At days 26 and 27 the November and December columns carry each other's entries. They are preserved as printed with [recte:]: November 26 XXV 25 [recte: XXV 26] and December 26 XXV 26 [recte: XXV 25]; November 27 24 [recte: 25 24] and December 27 25 24 [recte: 24]. Warrant: November day 25 = 27 and day 28 = 23; December day 25 = 26 and day 28 = 23. The prose on p. 175 names 27 November as one of the six days on which the epacts 25 and 24 are written together, with XXV set beside 26 in those six months and beside 25 in the others (Stage-4 rerun, items 18–19; cell assignment by the column rule at 2×).

63. batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64) — Category B — source compositor error

The day-of-month column at the right of the *Calendarium novum* prints 17 on the line for day 27; preserved as printed with [recte: 27]. Warrant: the column runs 1–31 in order (26 above, 28 below), and the left-hand day column prints 27 on the same line. (△ For the editor: no rerun or apply note accounting for this bracket was found among the batch's QA notes; its warrant is the construction stated here.)

64. batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page says that without the doubling of epacts all twelve lunations would come out full, instead of alternis plenæ & novæ, that is, of 30 and 29 days. novæ ('new') does not construe with months of 29 days; the translation renders the sense, 'hollow', as if cavæ, and the text carries an [ed.:] note. No cause is asserted (Stage-4 rerun, item 7).

65. batch_059 / p.176 (printed 64) — Category C — author/source anomaly

March and April carry their dominical letters in the same cell as the epact. At April day 4, the cell carries XXV 26 and no letter. The letter the a–g run puts there (c) is absent: clean paper beside the figures, not abraded (first-pass report;

confirmed by the Stage-4 rerun). Nothing is supplied; the text carries an [ed.:] note.

66. batch_060 / p.178 (printed 66) — Category B — source compositor error

In the expanded Table of Epacts, column i prints 14 on row g and 15 on row f; preserved as printed with [recte: 15] and [recte: 14]. Warrant from the table's construction: on every other line, column i = column ij - 11 = column XIX + 12 (mod 30). Row g (XIX 3, ij 26) therefore gives 15, and row f (XIX 2, ij 25) gives 14; and column i carries every epact exactly once. Both figures were read firm by both passes, the 4 by its crossbar and the 5 by its flag and descending bowl (Stage-4 rerun, items 7/7a).

67. batch_060 / p.178 (printed 66) — Category B — source compositor error

On row q of the expanded Table of Epacts, column viii prints 11 (two uprights of the 1 design, no ring; read at 1.5×, 3× and 5×); preserved as printed with [recte: 10]. Warrant: row q runs VII 29, then viii (29 + 11 - 30 = 10), then IX 21, and column viii descends by one a line to row p's 9 (Stage-4 rerun, item 2). Whether a 1 and a 0 were exchanged with row r is held for the second copy and not asserted.

68. batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70) — Category B — source compositor error

In the third year-column, line 26 prints D 22502; preserved as printed with [recte: 22500]. The terminal sort was read as a 2 by design: flat foot and curved neck, which exclude o (Stage-4 rerun, item 3). Warrant: the column is a strict run of hundreds (22400 on line 25, 22600 on line 27), the same line reads 2500, 12500 and 32500 in its parallel columns, and no year in the grid ends in 02.

69. batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70) — Category B — source compositor error

Press variant. At line 28 of the last year-column (the years before Christ), our copy (BSB bsb11218440, Augsburg 4 Math 421) prints s 4601; the second sort is read as a 6 by its rising stroke, matching the 6 of 6000 and 16000 on the same line (Stage-4 rerun, item 5, 5×). The other copy (BSB 10501686) prints 4001 (second-copy pass). The text carries our copy's figure with [recte: 4001], which is proved by the table itself. The sub-run steps -100 on every line (3901 ... 3501, continuing on p. 183 to 101 and 0), and the line's Biff fits only 4001. The ink does not itself show which state is the earlier, though the right value stands in the second copy.

70. batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70) — Category B — source compositor error

In the right-hand Interc. omiff. column, line 33 prints o with no Biff; preserved as printed with [recte: 1]. Warrant: the three letter-columns on that line each descend one letter (c→b, A→u, r→q), which the three rules printed on p. 181 permit only with the intercalary day omitted (1) and the lunation column o; every other o in the column stands in a Biff year.

71. batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70) — Category C — author/source anomaly

On line 1 of the left-hand Interc. omiff. column the word Biff: stands with the figure position blank, where every other marker cell carries a figure (1 or 0). The table leaves it out; nothing is supplied (Stage-4 rerun, item 7).

72. batch_061 / p.182 (printed 70) — Category B — source compositor error

In the row for F 1000, the second year-column is printed m 10000 in both copies, while the column reads 10900 above and 11100 below, and the same row reads 1000, 21000 and 31000 in the neighbouring columns: the column's own run requires 11000. The text carries 10000 [recte: 11000].

73. batch_062 / p.186 (printed 74) — Category C — author/source anomaly

After the citation Levit: 23 verſ. 24 & Num. 29 v. 1: the print sets *de*, two sorts (d and e, settled against *dies* and *ille* on the same line), before & *dies ille*. It construes with nothing. No right form is legible and no compositor's error is asserted; the text carries an [ed.:] note (Stage-4 rerun, item 10).

74. batch_063 / p.187 (printed 75) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *die 10 menſis primi Thebeth*, "on the 10th day of the first month, Tebeth". The same sentence calls this fast last in the order of the months, and Tebeth is the tenth month. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: "p. 75, line 21 — for *menſis primi Thebeth* read *menſis decimi Thebeth*") corrects it to "the tenth month". The English now follows the errata ("the tenth month, Tebeth"; errata-leaf apply, 2026-10-08). Warrant: the errata leaf. *primi* was confirmed on the leaf at 2.2× (five sorts, p with its descender; *decimi* excluded by count and ascender; Stage-4 rerun item 15).

75. batch_063 / p.188 (printed 76) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source cites "faith comes from hearing" as *ad Rom: 10 verſ: 10*. The familiar reference is Romans 10:17. The final figure is a closed oval, unlike the italic 7 (flat bar, long descending stroke) of *cap: 17* and *verſ: 57* on the same leaf, and the Stage-4 rerun confirmed the reading (item 13). Translated as printed.

76. batch_063 / p.189 (printed 77) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source cites the Council of Laodicea's enactment against Judaizing and idleness on the Sabbath as *Canone 20*. The canon usually cited for it is 29. The figure was confirmed at 2×: the second sort is heavily inked and has no descender, where the italic 9 carries one (Stage-4 rerun item 2). The book gives no internal proof of another number. Translated as printed.

77. batch_064 / p.190 (printed 78) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source credits the consecration of the ashes to *Gregorio Maximo*, "Gregory the Greatest"; the pope usually named here is Gregory the Great, *Gregorius Magnus*. The word was confirmed as printed, six sorts with a crossed x (Stage-4 rerun item 3). The text keeps the printed name with an [ed.: so printed] note. The proof is external only, so no [recte:] is given.

78. batch_064 / p.190–191 (printed 78–79) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source cites the Introit *Invocavit me & ego exaudiam eum* as Psalm 90, verses 3 and 4, although the clause stands at verse 15 of that psalm (Vulgate 90, Hebrew 91). It cites Reminiscere, Iudica and Exaudi as Psalms 25, 43 and 27, where the Vulgate numbers these psalms 24, 42 and 26. The other Introits on these leaves (Psalms 30, 32, 65, 97) carry Vulgate numbers. The figures

were confirmed on the leaf (Stage-4 rerun items 7 and 14). The book gives no internal proof, so all are translated as printed.

79. batch_064 / p.191 (printed 79) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source quotes the Introit of Quasimodogeniti (1 Peter 2:2) as *rationabiles sine dolore lac concupiscite*, "without pain"; the Vulgate reads *sine dolo*, "without guile". *dolore* was confirmed as printed, six sorts (Stage-4 rerun item 13). The English translates the print.

80. batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source dates the council at Caesarea in Palestine, under Theophilus, to the year of Christ 190; it is usually dated about 196. The figure was confirmed at 2.5× (5 excluded by the missing flat top bar; Stage-4 rerun item 20). The proof is external only; translated as printed.

81. batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source cites Ambrose's letter to the bishops of Aemilia on the Easter rule as *Epistola* 83; in the Maurist numbering it is Letter 23. The figure was confirmed at 1.6× (Stage-4 rerun item 21). The proof is external only; translated as printed.

82. batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *verum*, so the English reads "the true equinox also had to be tied to a fixed day". The author's errata leaf (PDF p. 73: "p. 80 ... antepenultimate line — for *verum* read *vernum*") corrects it to "the vernal equinox", and the margin beside the passage prints *æquinocetium vernum*. The English now follows the errata ("the vernal equinox").

83. batch_064 / p.192 (printed 80) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source sets a reference mark * between *de illis* and *sub Syzygiis* ("Some notice of them we do give * under the syzygies for the year 1601 ..."). No answering mark stands on the leaf. Kept as printed.

84. batch_065 / p.193 (printed 81) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints à 14 Martii die inclusive. The text reads "counted from 14 March [recte: 4 April] inclusive". Warrant (Stage-4 rerun item 2, from the leaf alone): the sentence before sets the first moon of 1595 (Julian) on 4 April. This sentence makes the fourteenth day 17 April. The rule four lines earlier starts the 14-day count on the day of the first moon. 4 April + 14 days inclusive = 17 April. The figures and the month name were read on the leaf (1 a bare stem, 4 the old-style descending form; *Martii* six sorts, no descender). The author's errata leaf does not list this locus.

85. batch_065 / p.193 (printed 81) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Twice the source uses *citimum*, classical "nearest": & *citimum* 25 die Aprilis and *citimum seu remotiffimum Pascha*. It applies the word to the latest possible Easter, 25 April, and glosses it *seu remotiffimum*, "or most remote". The English follows the author's own usage ("furthest"; Stage-4 rerun item 16).

86. batch_066 / p.196–197 (printed 84–85) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The prose on p. 196 allows 27 Sundays after Trinity for a lesser interval of up to six weeks and one day in a common year, or six weeks and two days in a leap year. The table on p. 197 gives 27 only through 6·0 (common) and 6·1 (leap), and 26 from 6·1 (common) and 6·2 (leap). Both readings are firm (Stage-4 rerun item 6). In each year-type the author's prose is one day more generous than his table. Neither is adjusted.

87. batch_066 / p.197 (printed 85) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the Easter-Sunday column of the table of movable feasts, the source sets *Greg*: beside 23 April. These are the same four sorts as the two *12 Greg* (St Gregory, 12 March) cells on the same line, not *Georg* (confirmed, Stage-4 rerun item 5). In the German calendars 23 April is ordinarily St George's day (*Georgii*). The column itself is a gapless run from 22 March to 25 April, so nothing on the page proves an error. Kept as printed.

88. batch_067 / p.199 (printed 87) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source counts 18 days before and after 1 August (*utring; ad 18 dies*) and gives the Dog Days à *14 Iulii in 18 Augusti*. But 1 August + 18 days is 19 August. The same leaf also ends the Dog Days at the Assumption (15 August, the first verse), at St Laurence (10 August, the Old-Calendar verse), and at the Sun's leaving Leo (13 August). The figures are firm (Stage-4 rerun items 8–9). The leaf does not show whether the count is inclusive on one side, so nothing is emended.

89. batch_067 / p.201 (second table, 8/M, Gr 11) — Category B — source compositor error

In the second (Tyconic) table of day-lengths, column 8/M, Gr 11, the source prints 13 48 38. The text reads 13^h 48^m 38^s [recte: 14^h 48^m 38^s]. Warrant (Stage-4 rerun item 17): the column rises strictly from 14^h 6^m 23^s to 15^h 52^m 1^s and never reaches 13^h, and its neighbours at Gr 10 and 12 read 14^h 44^m 54^s and 14^h 52^m 19^s, so the hour must be 14. The hour sort matches the 3 of 38 in the same cell; the angular 4s of rows 10 and 12 exclude 4. The first pass read it the same way.

90. batch_068 / pp.203–204 (printed 91–92) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the table of semidiurnal times, two printed minutes figures break their column's rise. (1) p. 203, lat. 36°, II 24°: 7 15 stands between 7^h 13^m (II 21°) and 7^h 14^m (II 27°), the only fall in that column. The sort is the fount's head-flagged descending 5 (5× crop; the 3 of 13 directly above excludes 3; Stage-4 rerun item 15). (2) p. 204, lat. 50°, II 15°: 7 56 stands between 7^h 57^m (II 12°) and 8^h 1^m (II 18°). The units sort is a 6-form, which a turned 9 would also show in this fount, so the ink does not separate them (rerun item 17). In neither case does the leaf prove the intended figure, so both are kept as printed.

91. batch_069 / pp.205–206 (printed 93–94) — Category B — source compositor error

In the table of semidiurnal times, four hours figures are kept as printed with a [recte:] taken from the row's own flanking entries (Stage-4 rerun items 7, 9 and 12). (1) p. 205, lat. 61° , $\Upsilon 27^\circ$: printed 8 17 [recte: $7^h 17^m$]. The 8 is clean (two closed counters, 2.6 $\times$); the row's entries at 60° and 62° , $7^h 15^m$ and $7^h 21^m$, fix the hour at 7. (2) p. 205, lat. 60° , $\Pi 18^\circ$: printed 6 9 [recte: $9^h 9^m$], between $9^h 0^m$ and $9^h 20^m$. (3) p. 205, lat. 65° , $\Pi 3^\circ$: printed 6 38 [recte: $9^h 38^m$], between $9^h 25^m$ and $9^h 54^m$. In (2) and (3) the 6 is clean (closed bowl on the baseline, no descender), unlike the descending 9s on the same lines. (4) p. 206, lat. 70° , $\Upsilon 3^\circ$: printed 9 13 [recte: $6^h 13^m$], between $6^h 12^m$ (69°) and $6^h 13^m$ (71°); a clean 9. The leaf does not show whether (2)–(4) are wrong sorts or turned sorts, and no cause is asserted.

92. batch_069 / p.205 (printed 93) — Category B — source compositor error

Two printed entries cannot stand, but the table fixes them only to a range, so the text carries an [ed.:] note and no [recte:]. (1) lat. 66° , $\Upsilon 9^\circ$: printed 6 23, below its left-hand neighbour; the row's flanking entries give $6^h 30^m$ – $6^h 33^m$ (Stage-4 rerun item 5). (2) lat. 60° , $\Upsilon 15^\circ$: printed 6 24, where the column reads $6^h 33^m$ above and $6^h 50^m$ below; the row gives $6^h 40^m$ – $6^h 43^m$ (rerun item 6). Both letterforms are clean. The rerun's modern computations ($6^h 32.3^m$, $6^h 41.5^m$) are not the book's proof and are not used.

93. batch_069 / p.206 (printed 94), second grid, lat. 81° , $\Upsilon 21^\circ$ — Category C — author/source anomaly

At lat. 81° , row 21° , the source prints 10 2, with a single figure in the units place and clean paper where the tens figure belongs (3.5 $\times$; Stage-4 rerun item 18). The column's steps run 30, 31, 32, 33, 38, 42 and then 36, so the figure is very probably defective. Which sort is missing is not shown on the leaf. Kept as printed.

94. batch_069 / p.206 (printed 94) — Category B — source compositor error

In the note beneath the table for pole elevations 67° – 80° , the source prints *in Antalib.* where the sense requires the southern signs. The text reads *in Antalib.* [recte: *Australib.*]. Warrant: the author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: "p. 94, line 6 from the foot — for *Antalib.* read *Australibus*"). The second-copy pass (BSB 10501686, unit u99) found *Antalib.* in that copy too, so this is the printed reading, not a misreading of ours.

95. batch_069 / p.207 (printed 95) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source numbers the four beginnings of the civil day *Primo*, 2, 3, 3: the fourth (the Mysians, from midnight) repeats the third's number. Confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun item 26). Rendered as printed.

96. batch_070 / p.208 (printed 96) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source spells the Greek *prosthaphaereses* with ϵ where the usual spelling $\pi\rho\omicron\sigma\theta\alpha\phi\alpha\rho\epsilon\acute{\sigma}\epsilon\iota\varsigma$ has $\alpha\iota$: an open two-arc ϵ with a middle tongue, no α bowl, no ι stroke (Stage-4 rerun item 1). The reader text gives the printed spelling. This is a spelling, not a letterform, so it is not normalised.

97. batch_071 / p.212 (printed 100) — Category B — source compositor error

In the table of the equation of time for the Tychonic solar motion, three minutes figures are kept as printed with a [recte:]. Each value is proved by the smooth canon's own neighbours (Stage-4 rerun items 3–5). (1) $\mathbb{M}$, Gradus 20: printed 22 30 [recte: 23^m 30^s]; rows 19 and 21 read 23 35 and 23 25 (ideal 2/3 control in the same column, native crop). (2) $\approx$, Gradus 16: printed 5 55 [recte: 7^m 55^s]; rows 15 and 17 read 7 50 and 8 0. The author's own errata leaf confirms this one (PDF p. 73: "p. 100, at 16° $\approx$ — for 5 55 read 7 55"). (3) $\mathbb{H}$, Gradus 12: printed 4 50 [recte: 5^m 50^s]; rows 11 and 13 read 6 0 and 5 40. In each case the printed sort matches the same column's own 2, 5 or 4 design.

98. batch_072 / p.214 (printed 102, not set on the leaf) — Category B — source compositor error

In the table of the equation of time for the syzygies, two cells are kept as printed with a [recte:] taken from the column's own neighbours (Stage-4 rerun items 6 and 7). (1) $\approx$, Gradus 27: printed 6 11 [recte: 9^m 11^s]; rows 26 and 28 read 9 20 and 9 1. The 6 was confirmed by measurement: it ascends and does not descend, unlike the 9 on the same line, so no turned sort is claimed. (2) $\mathbb{H}$, Gradus 26: printed 0 23 [recte: 1^m 23^s]; rows 25 and 27 read 1 44 and 1 3, in steps of about 20". The printed sort is a C-shaped o with no stem.

99. batch_072–074 / pp.215–220 (printed 102–108) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Printed p. 101 (PDF 213) is followed by a leaf with no folio (PDF 214), then folios 102, 104, 105, 108, 107, 108 on PDF pp. 215–220. Counted from p. 101, PDF 215 should be 103 and PDF 218 should be 106; the others are in sequence. The author's errata leaf cites these leaves by their printed numbers: its "p. 108" is the Frankfurt entry on PDF 218, and its "p. 104" the Berlin entry on PDF 216. All folios are recorded as printed.

100. batch_072 / p.216 (printed 104) — Category B — source compositor error

In the catalogue of places, the source gives Berolinum Marchiæ a latitude of 52° 40'. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: "p. 104 — the latitude of Berlin is 52° 28', not 40'") corrects it to 52° 28'. The text carries the printed figure with [recte: 52° 28']. The errata cites the leaf by its printed number, 104.

101. batch_073 / pp.217–219 (printed 105, 108, 107) — Category C — author/source anomaly

On these three leaves both ruled blocks of the catalogue head the three-field column (note letter S/A, hours, scruples, i.e. the difference in time) *Latitud. / Gr. Scr.*, and the two-field column (degrees, scruples, i.e. the latitude) *Tempus / Hor. Scr.* The heads are transposed against the data. On p. 216 (PDF) the same catalogue sets them the right way round. The heads are quoted as printed and the data are unmoved.

102. batch_073 / p.218 (printed 108) — Category B — source compositor error

In the catalogue of places, the source gives Francofordia Oderæ a latitude of 42° 20'. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: "p. 108 — the latitude

of Frankfurt an der Oder is $52^{\circ} 20'$, not $42^{\circ} 20''$) corrects it. The book itself works throughout with $52^{\circ} 20'$ for Frankfurt (p. 200 prose and the p. 201 table titles). The text carries the printed figure with [recte: $52^{\circ} 20'$]. This is also the only entry on the leaf set in roman upright type; it carries no S/A note letter and o^h o^m in the time field, Frankfurt being the meridian of the catalogue.

103. batch_075 / p.225 (printed 113) — Category B — source compositor error

The closing sentence prints *abstraherem* and *tribus tantummodo minutis*, so the English reads "if I were to subtract the 2^m by which Prague is further west than Frankfurt, Frankfurt would differ from Hven by only three minutes". As printed, the chain does not close with the leaf's own figures: Prague 5^m east of Hven, and Prague 2^m west of Frankfurt. The author's errata leaf (PDF p. 73: "p. 113, line 28 — for *abstraherem* read *addiderem*; line 29 — for *tribus tantummodo minutis* read *septem*") corrects both words. Read with the errata, the sentence says that adding the 2^m makes Frankfurt only seven minutes east of Hven ($5 + 2$), against the 12^m the author found from the eclipse of 1598. *tribus* is a word-form numeral, construed as "three". The English now follows the errata ("add ... only seven minutes"); the printed words are recorded in QA.

104. batch_077 / p.231 (printed 119) — Category C — author/source anomaly

For Centaurus, the source states 38 stars according to the ancients, but itemizes 1, 5, 7, 15, 9 = 37. All six figures were confirmed (Stage-4 rerun item 4), and the text carries [ed.: so printed; the itemized figures sum to 37, not the stated 38]. As arithmetic pressure only: p. 230 states 316 stars for the 15 common southern figures, which the first pass's count reaches with Centaurus at 37 and not at 38. No [recte:] is given, because the leaf does not show which figure is at fault.

105. batch_080 / p.240 (printed 128) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source describes the airy trigon as *Aerea seu sanguinea h. e. humida & sicca*, "moist and dry". The other three trigons carry their expected pairs, and the airy trigon would be expected to be warm and moist. *sicca* was confirmed on the leaf, its sorts separate (Stage-4 rerun item 19). The book gives no internal proof of the intended word, so it is translated as printed.

106. batch_081 / p.243 (printed 131) — Category B — source compositor error

The last line of the leaf prints *Si 8s vel 94*. The second sort is the italic lowercase s: it lacks the top bar and descender of the s of 57 on the same line and matches the s of *platicus* (Stage-4 rerun item 12). The intended figure cannot be proved from the leaf, so no [recte:] is given. A QA note at the locus records the printed form.

107. batch_083 / p.248 (printed 136) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the table of extreme daily motions, the *Tardiffimus in Perigæo* value for ♂ and ♀ is smaller than their *Tardiffimus in Apogæo* value: ♂ $0^{\circ} 17' 40''$ against $0^{\circ} 24' 15''$, ♀ $0^{\circ} 33'$ against $0^{\circ} 38'$. For ♃, ♄, ♅ and ♆ the perigee value is the larger. For the Moon, *Velociffimus in Apogæo* ($15^{\circ} 1' 50''$) stands above *in Perigæo* (14°

31' 31"). All fields were confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun item 9). The book shows no internal proof of an error, so the values stand as the author's.

108. batch_084 / p.250 (printed 138) — Category C — author/source anomaly

After *locus Planetæ &*, the source sets the descending-node sort $\mathfrak{U}$ (5× crop; Stage-4 rerun item 1), in a passage whose earlier sentence names the Moon's Dragon's Head (*Capite Draconis, Ω*) as what the Ephemerides list. Recorded as printed; no emendation and no cause is claimed.

109. batch_084 / p.251 (printed 139) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The closing line of the worked example of hourly motion prints *ad propofitum diem 13 Iunii Anni 1596*, "13 June", although the example is of 13 August (*ad 13 diem Augusti*). The reading was confirmed (Stage-4 rerun item 5). The text carries [ed.: the month is printed Iunii (June), although the example is of 13 August.]. No [recte:] is given, because the leaf does not prove which element is at fault.

110. batch_085 / p.253 (folded plate) — Category B — source compositor error

Two entries on the folded plate are kept as printed with a [recte:] (Stage-4 rerun items 7 and 9). (1) Lower-left triangle, left label 5, foot group 5: printed 0 0 2. [recte: 0 1 2.]. Along row 5 the cells step 12½" per group (0 0 12., 0 0 25., 0 0 37., 0 0 50), and down group 5 they step 12½" per row (0 1 15., 0 1 27., 0 1 40., 0 1 52.); both place the cell at 0 1 2. The middle figure was read as 0 at 2.5× against a same-line control. (2) Upper triangle, right-edge label of the second line: printed 50 [recte: 59]. Each line of that triangle opens under the head equal to its label, and this line opens under head 59. Its entries step 2' 27½" per column, between the 2' 30" of the line labelled 60 and the 2' 25" of the line labelled 58, and a genuine label 50 stands in its own place between 51 and 49. The second sort has no descender, unlike the 9 of 49 in the same column.

111. batch_086 / p.257 (printed 145) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source prints *mutetur a 26 Ianuarii die ad Februarii diem* with no day figure before *Februarii*: only a small speck at mid-height stands there, far smaller than any figure of the italic fount (Stage-4 rerun item 11). The next sentence names *1 Febr.*, and the table beneath is ruled Feb. 1. Rendered "to the day of February", as printed.

112. batch_086 / p.258 (printed 146) — Category B — source compositor error

In the *Canon latitudinis Lunæ*, row 14, middle value column, the source prints 4° 28' 16". The text reads 4° 28' 16" [recte: 3° 28' 16"]. Warrant, from the table's own structure (Stage-4 rerun item 17): the middle column is one monotone run ending at row 30 with 4° 19' 43" (repeated as the third column's row 0), which a row-14 value of 4° 28' would exceed. The minutes and seconds 28' 16" continue the run of rows 13 and 15 (3° 24' 28", 3° 32' 0"). Both passes read the printed 4 in two views each, the same design as the 4s in the same row and unlike the double-bowled, descending 3s above and below.

113. batch_087 / p.260 (printed 148), Table of Sines, Gradus 6, row 12
 — *Category B — source compositor error*

The source prints 1079996. Its last sort is a 6 at 4.5 $\times$, against three descending 9s in the same cell, and both passes read it so. The column's own neighbours prove the print wrong in its last place: rows 11 and 13 read 1077102 and 1082885 with flanking steps of 2892, and the printed figure makes the steps 2894 and 2889 (Stage-4 rerun item 7). The column fixes the value only to 1079993 or 1079994. The rerun's choice of 4 rests on a modern sine, which is not the book's proof, so the text carries [ed.: so printed; the neighbouring values of this column show the figure is wrong in its last place] and no [recte:].

114. batch_088 / pp.262–264 (printed 150–152), Table of Sines, 7°–17°
 — *Category B — source compositor error*

On these three leaves of the Table of Sines, the following printed figures are kept with a [recte:]. Each is proved by the column's own run (monotone order, or the steady step between firmly read neighbours), never by a modern sine value (Stage-4 rerun_088, items as cited; ruling 3). p. 262: col 13 row 0, 2246511 [recte: 2249511] (step from row 1; the canon's second printing of the same quantity, p. 263 col 12 row 60, reads 2249511, so the fault is confined to this printing; items 1, 21). Col 13 row 11, 2180677 [recte: 2280677] (between 2277844 and 2283509; item 4). Col 10 row 16, 1782268 [recte: 1782298] (step 2862–2864; the firmly read last sort fixes it; item 5). Col 11 row 21, 1998018 [recte: 1968018] (between 1965166 and 1970870; item 7). p. 263: col 13 row 32, 234001 with the seventh sort's head unprinted [recte: 2340110] (rows 31 and 33, step 2828 exact; item 20). Col 8 row 35, 1492277 [recte: 1492477] (steps 2876/2876; item 18). Col 7 row 55, 2377327 [recte: 1377327] (between 1374445 and 1380208; item 19). p. 264: col 15 row 7, 2607953 [recte: 2607853] (item 25). Col 15 row 15, 2630212 [recte: 2630312] (item 28). Col 18 row 18, 3139955 [recte: 3139925] (item 30). Col 14, the row printed 27, 2495340 [recte: 2495350] (minutes 26 and 28 read 2492533 and 2498167; item 32). Argument column, a label printed 24 in the run 23, 24, 24, ...: [recte: 25] (the value column proves each ruled row is one minute; item 31). Three further rectes proposed at Stage 4 (cols 9, 9 and 20) were withdrawn: the second-copy pass (units u12, u108, u109) read the doubted sort as 6, so the printed figures are right.

115. batch_088–089 / pp.264–265 (printed 152–153), Table of Sines, 15° 0'
 — *Category C — author/source anomaly*

The sine of 15° 0' is printed twice: as the last row of the 14° column (p. 265, col 15 row 60) and as the first row of the 15° column (p. 264, col 16 row 0). Both printings read 2756774, and both carry [recte: 2756374]. The column's own step proves the recte: row 1 is 2759170 and the step is 2796; on p. 265, row 59 is 2753577, a step of 3,197 against the column's 2,796–2,799 (Stage-4 rerun_088 item 24, rerun_089 item 4). Because the two settings agree, the figure probably stood so in the author's copy rather than being one compositor's slip.

116. batch_089 / pp.265–267 (printed 153–155), Table of Sines, 14°–27°
 — *Category B — source compositor error*

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the table's own column, or for the worked example by the book's own text (Stage-4 rerun_089, items as cited). p. 265: col 14 row 30, 2502800 [recte: 2503800]; the step is 3,815 against 2,810–2,816, and the canon's second printing of the same quantity (p. 264 row 30) reads 2503800, so the fault is confined to this printing (item 1). Prose, the seconds of the worked example: printed 181 [recte: 18]; the line's own product $8424 = 468 \times 18$, and p. 266 states the example as *18 fec.* (item 7). p. 266: col 21 row 20, 3637922 [recte: 3637932] (item 8); col 21 row 24, 3648788 [recte: 3648768] (item 9); col 27 row 20, 4581665 [recte: 4591665] (item 10); col 26 row 22, 4441440 [recte: 4441140] (item 11). p. 267: col 23 row 52, 4049096 [recte: 4046096] (item 19); col 22 row 53, 3888160 [recte: 3888560] (item 20); col 24 row 56, 4215624 [recte: 4215634] (item 22). In each, the break in the neighbours' steps is symmetric and the corrected value restores it. A further recte (col 27 row 24) was withdrawn: both copies print 4601998, so the figure is right (second-copy units u173, u297).

117. batch_090 / pp.268–270 (printed 156–158), Table of Sines, 28°–41°
— *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the column's own steps; none turns on a held digit design (Stage-4 rerun_090). p. 268: col 28 row 7, 4713685 [recte: 4712685]; the steps 3,566/1,565 against 2,567...2,565 become 2,566/2,565. The fourth sort is the descending 3, not the flat-footed 2 below it (item 5). Col 29 row 28, 4919471 [recte: 4919171]; steps 2,833/2,233 against 2,533 (item 6). Col 34 row 29, 4661665 [recte: 5661665]; every other cell in the column opens 56 (item 9). p. 269: col 29 row 49, 4971264 [recte: 4972264]; steps 1,524/3,523 become 2,524/2,523 (item 13). Further, p. 268 col 33 row 6 prints 5461010, whose steps (2,427 in, 2,446 out, against 2,440–2,436) are restored equally by 5461019 or 5461020. The text therefore carries an [ed.:] note, not a [recte:] (item 7). Two rectes proposed at Stage 4 (p. 269 col 29 row 57; p. 270 col 36 row 25) were withdrawn when the second-copy pass read the cells as 4992441 and 5936530 (units u173, u11).

118. batch_091 / p.273 (printed 159) — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

In the second worked example of the fourth rule for the versed sine, the source prints *ad quadrantem 30 gr* and gives the arc sought as *112 gr : 60/*. The text reads 30° [recte: 90°] and 112° 60' [recte: 122° 56']. Warrant (Stage-4 rerun items 29–30): (i) the word is *quadrantem*, and on p. 272 the book itself takes 25° 14' 4" from the quadrant and leaves 64° 45' 56", i.e. 90°. (ii) The same sentence gives the operands, the arc 32° 56' and the quadrant, whose sum is 122° 56'; a minutes figure of 60 is also out of range. Both printed readings were confirmed on the leaf.

119. batch_092 / pp.274–276 (printed 160–162), Table of Sines, 49°–59°
— *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the column's own two neighbours, never by modern sines (Stage-4 rerun_092;

apply_092). p. 274: col 49 row 27, 7698389 [recte: 7598389] (7596498 → 7598389 → 7600280, steps 1891/1891; the second sort is a closed-bowl 6 on the baseline in both passes). Col 55 row 17, 8219748 [recte: 8219784], the last two figures transposed (steps 1657/1656). Col 55 row 20, 8229751 [recte: 8224751] (steps 1655/1654; the fourth sort is a clear descending 9). p. 275: col 55 row 37, 8352778 [recte: 8252778] (steps 1643/1642). Col 55 row 32, 8244456 [recte: 8244556] (steps 1647/1646). p. 276: col 59 row 3, 8576264 [recte: 8576164] (steps 1496/1496). The first pass's group of '3-form' leading sorts on pp. 275–276 was a misreading of under-printed 8s and is not a source error.

120. batch_092 / p.276 (printed 162) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The rule printed in prose lines 3–4 reads *quæ eiusdem finus quadrantis, ad finum rectum arcus propofiti*. The rule that follows (divide by the sine of the complement) and the figure (AF : AD = AD : AG) imply "the sine of the complement". The leaf shows no visible corruption, so this is the author's wording, and it is translated as printed.

121. batch_093 / pp.277–279 (printed 163–165) — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the book's own figures (Stage-4 rerun_093; apply_093). p. 277, the worked secant example: printed 500000, an italic 5 and five ovals, two crops at ×6 [recte: 5000000]. The leaf's own dividend and quotient give 100000000000000 ÷ 20000000 = 5000000; the first pass had silently normalised it. p. 279, Table of Sines, col 66 rows 50–60: all eleven cells print a leading 4 for 9 (4193644 ... 4205049) [recte: 9193644 ... 9205049]. The column runs on from row 49 (9192499) with sorts 2–7 continuing it, and the canon's own second printing confirms it: p. 278 col 67 row 0 reads 9205049 against p. 279 col 66 row 60's 4205049. p. 279 col 69 row 39, 9355858 [recte: 9375858], and row 40, 8376869 [recte: 9376869]: steps 1012/1011/1010. Col 68 row 56, 8331628 [recte: 9331628]: steps 1046/1045; the 9 of row 55 stands directly above. In addition, the difference channel after col 69 at row 32 prints 19. 9, where the column steps (1018/1017/1017) make it about 17. That value cannot be fixed exactly, so the text carries an [ed.:] note.

122. batch_094 / pp.280–282 (printed 166–168), Table of Sines, 70°–83° — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the column's own printed differences (Stage-4 rerun_094). p. 280: col 76 row 4, 9705786 [recte: 9705766] (steps 721/680 as printed, 701/700 corrected). Col 76, the first row labelled 25, 9720393 [recte: 9720293] (784/583 → 684/683). p. 281: col 75 row 32, 9782931 [recte: 9682931] (100000 too large; 727/726 corrected). Col 73 row 37, 9493961 [recte: 9593961] (821/820 corrected; the rows above and below set 5 there). Col 75 row 34, 9684313 [recte: 9684383] (656/795 → 726/725). Col 74 row 46, 9648698 [recte: 9648638] (765/764 corrected; the break is in row 46, not 47). p. 282: col 83 row 24, 9932728 [recte: 9933728] (335/334 corrected). Further, p. 280 col 74 row 17 prints 9626139, whose run breaks by +10/–10 and

closes at 9626129 or 9626130. Since the value cannot be fixed uniquely, the text carries an [ed.:] note there. Withdrawn: a recte at p. 281 col 75 row 40 (the second copy reads 9688718, unit uIII), and the doubled argument label '25' on pp. 280 and 282 (the second copy reads 26, unit uIII).

123. batch_095 / pp.283–285 (printed 169–171), Table of Sines, 77°–90°
— *Category B — source compositor error*

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the table's own printed differences (Stage-4 rerun_095). p. 284: col 86 row 13, 9078207 [recte: 9978207]; rows 12 and 14 (9978015, 9978398) force 997..., and the second sort is a full-weight ring beside a same-cell descending 9. p. 285: col 85 row 35, 9970363 [recte: 9970303] (224/224 corrected, against 226, 225 above and 223, 222 below). Col 86 row 56, 9685680 [recte: 9985680] (156/155 corrected; the second sort is the rising 6, the same design as sort 5). The difference channel after col 89 at row 30 prints 1. 4 [recte: 0. 4]: the value step there is 25 (25/60 = 0.42), the same channel prints 0. 3 at row 34, and p. 284 prints this same field 0. 4 at its foot row. Further, p. 283 col 79 row 55 prints 9845412, which the steps (1019 over two rows) fix only to about 9845541–9845542, differing from the print at two positions. The text therefore carries an [ed.:] range note there. A further cell, p. 285, is printed 9993763 in both copies; the column's steps (104, then 103) require 9993703, and the text now carries 9993763 [recte: 9993703].

124. batch_096 / p.288 (printed 174), first table of northern stars, row 13
— *Category B — source compositor error*

In the *Prima tabula stellarum Borealiū*, row numbered 13, *Iuxta Coper. Longit* minutes, the source prints a single 2 standing in the tens place of its field, with the units place blank (native crop; Stage-4 rerun item 10). Sixteen of the seventeen values in the column end in 7. A figure was probably lost in printing, but the book gives no internal proof of the value, so no [recte:] is given. The text carries [ed.: The minutes figure stands in the tens place of its field, with the units place left empty.]

125. batch_096 / p.288 (printed 174), first table of northern stars
— *Category C — author/source anomaly*

The table's first two rows carry the catalogue numbers 2 and 1, in that order; the run then goes 3–17 without gap or repeat. The two stars (the chain-link of Andromeda and the wing-tip of Pegasus) stand in ascending order of longitude (3° 17', 3° 47'), so the rows appear to have been set in longitude order with their catalogue numbers left as they were. Kept as printed; not renumbered.

126. batch_098 / pp.293–294 (printed 179–180), catalogue of stars within the zodiac
— *Category C — author/source anomaly*

The rows of the catalogue are ordered by the Copernican longitudes, and in two places the Tycho's longitudes break their run. p. 293, rows 191–193: 25° 45', 24° 13', 25° 21'. p. 294, rows 236–238: 27° 51', 29° 20', 28° 7'. All were confirmed as printed (1.6×, 4×; Stage-4 rerun items 10 and 16). Row 191's own name field (*Tychoni in radice caudæ ☿*) places the star elsewhere for Tycho. No single cell is proved wrong; these are the author's figures.

127. batch_098 / p.294 (printed 180), row 208; batch_108 row 208; batch_123 / p.368 row 11 and p.369 row 30 — Category C — author/source anomaly

The star name *In drace finiftra priori* (δλ) is printed with *drace* in every catalogue that lists the star: p. 294 row 208, the batch_108 catalogue row 208, and p. 368 row 11 and p. 369 row 30. The word is firm sort by sort at each locus, read independently on each leaf (Stage-4 reruns 098 item 12, 123 items 6–7), and does not construe as Latin. The text carries [ed.: so printed; the word does not construe as Latin]. No [recte:] is given, because no correct form is legible at any locus and the book does not prove one.

128. batch_099 / p.295 (printed 181), rows 268–270 and 275 — Category C — author/source anomaly

Two star names on this leaf are firm sort by sort but do not construe. (1) The brace label *Ex tribus in ricta* v8 (rows 268–270): *ricta* is not a Latin form; the expected noun would be the ablative *rictu*, which is not legible at the locus (Stage-4 rerun item 3). (2) Row 275, *Contignarum sub ventre* v8 prior.: not a turned n/u. *Contignarum*, "of the adjoining ones", would construe but is not legible (rerun item 5). Both carry [ed.:] notes in the text; no [recte:].

129. batch_099 / p.297 (printed 183), foot — Category B — source compositor error

The foot catchword prints 398 Argo. The middle sort of the figure is the 9 form (closed bowl at x-height, tail below the baseline), built like the 9 of 69 in row 366 (Stage-4 rerun item 13). The next leaf opens at row 368 *Argo navis* ..., so the word answers but the figure does not. The impression does not show whether the sort is a 9 or a turned 6. Catchwords are not carried into the reader text, so no [recte:] is given.

130. batch_099 / p.297 (printed 183), rows 335–336 — Category C — author/source anomaly

Row 335's description turns over onto the next line after an opening parenthesis, (*Noe eft*, which is never closed anywhere on the leaf (Stage-4 rerun item 11). The text carries an [ed.:] note; nothing supplied.

131. batch_100 / p.298 (printed 184), rows 402–403 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the catalogue of southern stars, the source sets *Septimus Spondylus* (row 402) before *Sextus Spondylus* (row 403), while the longitudes ascend through the run. Both ordinals are word-forms and both construe. Which of the ordinals, or the ordering, is at fault is not determined. Kept as printed.

132. batch_100 / p.300 (printed 186), Canon declinationum — Category B — source compositor error

Two printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by its column's monotone run (Stage-4 rerun items 9 and 10). (1) Prior block, ♂ M., row 6: printed 13° 54' 7" [recte: 13° 34' 7"]. Rows 5 and 7 read 13° 14' 5" and 13° 53' 57"; with 3 the steps are 20' 2" and 19' 50", continuing 20' 16" and 19' 35", and no other single-sort change fits. The printed sort is the 5 design (top bar/flag,

no cusp) in three views. (2) Posterior block, ♀ $\underline{\Omega}$, row 4: printed $2^{\circ} 35' 30''$ [recte: $1^{\circ} 35' 30''$]. Rows 3 and 5 read $1^{\circ} 11' 39''$ and $1^{\circ} 59' 20''$; with 1 the steps are $23' 51''$ and $23' 50''$, continuing $24' 7''$ and $23' 48''$. The printed sort is the 2 design in two views.

133. batch_101 / p.301 (printed 187) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The same sine of the declination is printed 3841125 in the third paragraph and 3841124 in the prosthaphaeresis paragraph, and the text asserts that the second agrees with the first. Both figures were confirmed on the ink (Stage-4 rerun_101). Which one is at fault is not determined; both are translated as printed.

134. batch_101 / p.302 (printed 188), third block (II $\nearrow$), Arcus row 30 — Category C — author/source anomaly

The third block's *Arcus* column prints 23 51. at row 30, with a stray closing point that the other two blocks' row-30 cells do not carry. Rows 28 and 29 read 23 30 and 23 31, the interlinear *Di* at row 29 is printed 0., and the leaf's own head-line states the obliquity as $23^{\circ} 31' 30''$. The reading is confirmed: a flat-topped 5 (Stage-4 rerun_101). The leaf cannot fix the true value to the minute, so no [recte:] is given.

135. batch_101 / p.302 (printed 188), third block, rows 0→1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the third block, row 0's 97989 plus the printed difference 121 does not reach row 1's printed 98111 ($97989 + 121 = 98110$). All sorts are crisp, so this is a feature of the print. Which of the three figures is at fault is not determined.

136. batch_102 / p.304 (printed 190) — Category B — source compositor error

The italic example prints *qui Sept. est propter II signum Austral.*, calling the arc northern because of a sign it names southern. The text reads "on account of the sign II, southern [recte: northern]". Warrant (Stage-4 rerun_102): p. 305 states *Statuitur autem Septentrionalis, quoniam & II signum in quo stella existit, Septentrionale est*, and the parallel *Spica* clause on p. 304 gives the arc the denomination of its sign (*7 grad. 53/ Merid. propter signum $\underline{\Omega}$ Meridionale*).

137. batch_102 / p.305 (printed 191) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *Secundo latus K I hac analogia*. The text reads "the side K I [recte: K L]". Warrant, from the leaf's own text (Stage-4 rerun_102): the same analogy concludes *relinquit 21 grad, 21/, 44// arcum nempe K L* and then subtracts K H from arc K L, and no point I is drawn on the woodcut (I6-label census). The sort is a capital I (head serifs both sides, short symmetric foot serif, no arm, full weight), against the L of *A L O* on the next line.

138. batch_103 / pp.308–309 (printed 194–195), $\partial/\approx$ Diff., row 13 — Category C — author/source anomaly

The differences of this canon of ascensions are printed on both halves of the opening. At $\partial/\approx$ row 13 the two printings read $60' 5''$ and $60' 3''$. Both members read cleanly, and the leaf does not show which is at fault, so neither

is altered. The other first-pass divergences between the two printings were resolved by the Stage-4 rerun and the second-copy pass (unit u15), and the printings now agree there.

139. batch_104 / pp.310–312 (printed 196–198), canon of ascensions for 23° 31' 30" — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the leaf's own Temp./Diff. relation and, where available, by the facing half of the canon (Stage-4 rerun_104; ruling 3). p. 310: $\Pi\Pi$ Diff. A row 3, 56' 59" [recte: 56' 49"] ($\Pi\Pi$ Temp. rows 3→4 step 56' 49"; the facing H Diff. row 3 prints 56' 49"; item 6). $\Pi\Pi$ Temp. row 10, 161° 32' 42" [recte: 161° 32' 43"] (row 9 + 56' 7"; row 11 – 56' 1"; facing H Temp. 341° 32' 43"; item 13). Π Temp. row 29, 88° 54' 32" [recte: 88° 54' 33"] (facing $\times$ 268° 54' 33"; item 14). p. 311: $\approx$ Temp. row 11, 312° 28' 24" [recte: 313° 28' 24"] (row 10 + 60' 36"; facing ∂ 133° 28' 24"; item 8). $\vee$ Diff. row 28, 62' 42" [recte: 62' 43"] (item 11). $\approx$ Diff. row 29, 57' 23" [recte: 57' 22"] (item 12). p. 312: P Radix row 17, 18° 26' 59" [recte: 18° 25' 59"] (row 16 + 64' 26" and row 18 – 64' 19" agree; item 15). Π Radix row 5, 60° 50' 31" [recte: 66° 50' 31"] (row 4 + 56' 43"; the o crisply read with a 6 adjacent in the same number; item 18). The same 26' at row 17 recurs in the right half of the canon (batch_105, p. 313), so it may be the author's value or standing type rather than two independent slips.

140. batch_104 / p.310 S Diff. A row 21; p.312 ∂ Radix row 28 — Category B — source compositor error

Two cells the Stage-4 rerun judged printer's errors were held for the digit sweep. The second-copy pass then confirmed the printed figures (units u15, u16). (1) p. 310, S Diff. A row 21: printed 63' 45", where the column runs 63' 57" above and 63' 41" below and the facing half prints 63' 49". (2) p. 312, ∂ Radix row 28: printed 143° 44' 13", between 144° 42' 11" and 146° 46' 25"; the degrees field falls and then jumps, and 145 would restore the run. Both now carry a [recte:] from the canon's own run: 63' 45" [recte: 63' 49"] and 143° 44' 13" [recte: 145° 44' 13"].

141. batch_105 / pp.313–315 (printed 199–201), canon of ascensions, right half — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the group's own Radix/Diff. chain (Stage-4 rerun_105; ruling 3). p. 313: group 1 ($\underline{\text{A}}$ rows) row 17, 198° 26' 59" [recte: 198° 25' 59"]: 197° 21' 33" + 64' 26", and + 64' 19" gives row 18's 199° 30' 18" (item 2). The same 26' stands at the same row of the left half (p. 312 P row 17), so this is probably the author's value or standing type (Category C) rather than a second compositor's slip. $\vee$ rows 29 and 30, 297° 57' 6" and 298° 54' 21" [recte: 296° 57' 6"; 297° 54' 21"]: the chain closes from row 0 (270° 0' 0") to row 28 (296° 0' 0"), and row 30 must equal the next group's printed row 0 (item 5). $\approx$ row 12, 308° 33' 16" [recte: 309° 33' 16"] (item 6); $\approx$ row 16, 312° 31' 40" [recte: 313° 31' 40"] (item 7). p. 314: P row 13, 14° 7' 5" with a one-figure seconds field [recte: 14° 7' 56"]; the facing half (p. 315 row 13) prints 194° 7' 56" (item 12). p. 315: H Diff. row 28,

65' 2 with the units place blank [recte: 65' 25"]; Radix $357^{\circ} 49' 9'' \rightarrow 358^{\circ} 54' 34''$ (item 19).

142. batch_105 / p.313 (printed 199) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The first two sign groups on p. 313 carry the $\wp$ and $\wp$ sorts as heads over rows running $180^{\circ}-212^{\circ}$ and $212^{\circ}-242^{\circ}$, which are the ranges of $\underline{\Omega}$ and $\mathbb{M}$. The rows' identity is proved by the table's structure, not by the heads: the argument runs 0–30 in each group, each row 30 equals the next group's row 0, and the canon closes at $360^{\circ} 0' 0''$. p. 315 sets the correct sorts in the same positions. The text reads $\wp$ Radix [ed.: so printed; the rows are those of $\underline{\Omega}$] and $\wp$ Radix [ed.: so printed; the rows are those of $\mathbb{M}$] (Stage-4 rerun_105 item 8).

143. batch_106 / p.317 (printed 203) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 59874 as the sine found for the arc of the difference of ascension. The text reads 59874 [recte: 99874]. Warrant: the leaf's own proportion, $96031 \times 100000 \div 96152 = 99874.2$, and the figures that follow from 99874: the arc $87^{\circ} 8'$, its remainder $2^{\circ} 52'$, and $66^{\circ} 9' 53'' - 2^{\circ} 52' =$ the printed $63^{\circ} 18'$ (Stage-4 rerun item 4). The leading sort is the italic *s* in both copies and on three reads (Stage-5 apply, unit A).

144. batch_106 / p.317 (printed 203) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source prints *ingressus arealis in tabulam*. The seven sorts are confirmed and set solid (Stage-4 rerun item 6), but *arealis* does not construe; the English renders by sense. The text carries an [ed.:] note.

145. batch_106 / p.318 (printed 204), catalogue of stars by right ascension — Category C — author/source anomaly

All of the following are confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun items 14–17), and the book gives no proof of a misprint in any. Row 6 (18, *Super Cæthædra Caffiopeæ*): Tychonic right ascension $3^{\circ} 0'$ and culmination $3^{\circ} 16'$, against the Copernican $7^{\circ} 4'$ and $7^{\circ} 43'$; the declinations differ by more than 2° . Rows 12–13: Tychonic $12^{\circ} 44' / 13^{\circ} 51'$ and then $12^{\circ} 7' / 13^{\circ} 11'$, the only descent in that column; row 12's pair equals row 13's Copernican pair. The table is sorted by the Copernican right ascension, so this is not an impossibility. Row 11 (145): Copernican culmination $10^{\circ} 38'$, below the row's own right ascension of $10^{\circ} 41'$, the only such row; the tangent canon needed to test it is not on these leaves. Row 12 (304, a star of Cetus): Tycho sets the declination letter B where Copernicus has M. The tier-3 head *Temp.* stands over the right-ascension fields, which are in degrees, 0–359.

146. batch_106–109 / pp.318–327 (printed 204–213), catalogue of stars by right ascension — Category C — author/source anomaly

Throughout this catalogue, some stars are given for one authority only and the other authority's fields are left blank on the leaf. Usually only the Copernican group is set (e.g. p. 319 row 31, 309 Acarnar; on p. 321, rows 335, 343, 349, 351, 354, 357). The reverse also occurs, with the Tychonic group set alone (p. 321 rows 339, 341; p. 323 row 305; p. 326 rows 246 and 105). The blanks are printed absences and nothing is supplied. On these leaves the tier-3

head *Temp.* stands over the right-ascension fields, which are in degrees running 0–359; the head is transcribed as printed.

147. batch_107 / pp.319–321 (printed 205–207) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun_107 items 4, 5, 12, 13); nothing on the page proves either row of a pair wrong. p. 319 rows 8 and 9 (15 *Apex trianguli*; 11 *Cornu ♀ sequens*): the Copernican right ascension and culmination $24^{\circ} 6'$ | $26^{\circ} 0'$ are printed identically on both rows, and row 8 carries a stray point between 26 and 0. p. 319 rows 4 and 6 (308 *Venter Ceti*; 153 *Cornu ♀ præcedens*): the Tychonic pair $23^{\circ} 14'$ | $25^{\circ} 5'$ is printed identically on both. p. 321 rows 2 and 3 (334, 337): the Copernican pair $79^{\circ} 50'$ | $20^{\circ} 40'$ is printed identically on both. p. 320 rows 10 (322) and 15 (323): Copernicus sets the hemisphere letter M and Tycho B; both sorts are crisp.

148. batch_108 / pp.322–324 (printed 208–210) — Category C — author/source anomaly

(1) p. 324 row 380 (*Ala dextra Corvi*): Copernican M $17^{\circ} 12'$ against Tychonic B $15^{\circ} 23'$; both letters confirmed as forms (Stage-4 rerun item 9). Row 221 shows the same anomaly (M $7^{\circ} 2'$ / B $6^{\circ} 45'$; first-pass reading). (2) The catalogue number 64 is printed for two different stars with wholly different values: p. 323 *In extrema cauda Draconis* and p. 324 *Tertia ab extrema in cauda Draconis*. (3) p. 323 rows 54 and 59: identical Copernican values $149^{\circ} 5'$ | $26^{\circ} 52'$. Items (2) and (3) and row 221 rest on the first pass and were not re-read by the rerun. All are reported as printed; nothing repaired.

149. batch_109 / p.325 (printed 211), row 241 — Category C — author/source anomaly

Row 241 names the star *Cor ♀*, "the Heart of Virgo", among stars of Scorpio (rows 240 and 242 set ♀). The sign sort is a distinct design: three limbs, the last closing in a loop below the baseline, against the leaf's ♀ sorts, which end in a rising sting (Stage-4 rerun item 12). The text renders it as printed. No [recte:] is given, because the book does not prove ♀ at the locus.

150. batch_109 / pp.325–327 (printed 211–213) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun items 10 and 12); no repair. (1) p. 327 row 115 (*Antinoi manus sinistra*): Copernican B $1^{\circ} 6'$ against Tychonic M $1^{\circ} 53'$. (2) Identical values in adjacent rows: p. 325 rows 235 and 231 (Copernican $233^{\circ} 23'$, $25^{\circ} 43'$); p. 325 rows 94 and 95 (Copernican $238^{\circ} 13'$ and culmination 0 ... 23); p. 326 rows 248 and 246 ($255^{\circ} 30'$, $16^{\circ} 40'$, across the two authorities). (3) The culmination does not follow the right ascension at p. 325 rows 95 and 96 and at p. 327 row 272 (Tychonic $312^{\circ} 14'$ / $9^{\circ} 47'$).

151. batch_110 / p.329 (printed 215), row 298 — Category C — author/source anomaly

Row 298 (*Sequens in dorso ♀ antecedentis*) prints the Tychonic right ascension $340^{\circ} 0'$. The rows either side stand at 346° and 350° , the row's own Copernican value is $349^{\circ} 57'$, and the Tychonic culmination beside it ($19^{\circ} 7'$) could not

follow from 340° . The middle sort was confirmed as a 4 against the 4 of 43 in row 297 (Stage-4 rerun item 12). The book gives no proof of the intended value, so no [recte:] is given.

152. batch_110 / p.330 (printed 216) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source gives the latitude of Frankfurt as *52 grad. 20 sec.*, while its complement is given as *37 grad. 40 min.* The unit word was confirmed (Stage-4 rerun item 21), and the degrees figure was read in the second copy (unit u19). Each locus is transcribed as it prints ($20''$, $40'$) and they are not harmonised. The book's own value for Frankfurt elsewhere is $52^\circ 20'$.

153. batch_110–111 / pp.330–333 (printed 216–219) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The catchword at the foot of p. 330 reads *Tabula* (read whole in the second copy, unit u19), but p. 331 opens *Canones amplitudinum ortivarum*. The catchword at the foot of p. 332 reads *unde*, but p. 333 opens *Canones differentiarum ascensionalium*. Both fall where a prose leaf is followed by a canon. Neither catchword was corrected from its answer.

154. batch_111 / pp.331–333 (printed 217–219), canons of rising amplitudes and ascensional differences — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the canon's own column (Stage-4 rerun_III). p. 331, canon of rising amplitudes, row 27, Posterior XIII : printed $32^\circ 13' 3''$ [recte: $33^\circ 13' 3''$]. The column runs $32^\circ 47' 17''$ (row 26) and $33^\circ 38' 17''$ (row 28); with 33° the steps are $25' 46''$ and $25' 14''$, continuing the falling step (item 3). p. 333, canon of ascensional differences: row 16, Posterior $\text{II}^\times$, printed $22^\circ 58' 7''$ [recte: $32^\circ 58' 7''$]; the column has $32^\circ 46' 19''$ and $33^\circ 9' 9''$ either side (item 6). The second-copy pass (unit u19) found the leading sort a damaged 3 identical in both copies and proposed reading 32 directly; the recte form was left standing pending Stage 5. Row 28, Posterior V^u , printed $14^\circ 8' 26''$, a single 8 with the tens position clean paper [recte: $14^\circ 18' 26''$]; column $13^\circ 48' 33''$ / $14^\circ 48' 13''$ (item 7). Row 6, Posterior $\text{II}^\times$, printed $30^\circ 29' 58''$ [recte: $30^\circ 28' 58''$], found by a third-difference screen; the Posterior–Prior difference becomes $5' 10''$, between $5' 6''$ and $5' 16''$ (item 10).

155. batch_112 / pp.334–336 (printed 220–222), canon of oblique ascensions at $52^\circ 20'$ — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the leaf's own columns: each Diff. A is the difference of the two ascensions beside it, and each Temp. is held by its other neighbours (Stage-4 rerun_II2, items as cited). p. 334: X 29° Diff. A, $41' 50''$ [recte: $40' 50''$] ($29^\circ 23' 22'' - 28^\circ 42' 32''$; item 25). III 15° Diff. A, $86' 19''$ [recte: $86' 18''$]; III 17° Diff. A, $86' 18''$ [recte: $86' 14''$] (item 26). p. 335: V 1° Diff. A, $64' 0''$, a one-figure seconds field [recte: $64' 8''$] (item 24). $\times$ 27° Temp., $300^\circ 52' 0''$ [recte: $300^\circ 53' 0''$] ($299^\circ 44' 42'' + 68' 18''$ and $302^\circ 0' 29'' - 67' 29''$; item 23). III 3° Diff. A, $86' 50''$ [recte: $86' 52''$] (item 27). $\approx$ 17° Temp., $340^\circ 54' 45''$ [recte: $340^\circ 53' 45''$] (item 28). p. 336: X 28° Diff. A, $40' 7''$ [recte: $40' 9''$] (item 20). II 15° Diff. A, $52' 54''$ [recte: $52'$

52") (item 29). II 29° Diff. A, 65' 4" [recte: 65' 0"] (item 30). Items 27, 29 and 30 rest on a rerun view at 1x together with the first pass's reading. A further value, V8 7°, Temp., is printed 311° 25' (confirmed in the second copy); both routes through the canon's columns give 29', and the text carries 311° 25' [recte: 311° 29']; the routes disagree in the seconds, so the printed 55" stands. In the same V8 column the Diff. A between rows 6 and 7 is printed 59' 31" in both copies; with row 7 at 311° 29' 55" (row 8 minus its printed difference 58' 53") the difference is 59' 51", the only value with which the run of differences steps evenly; the text carries 59' 31" [recte: 59' 51"].

156. batch_113 / p.337 (printed 223), $\nearrow$ 14° Temp. — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 385° 11' 46", outside 0–360°. The text reads 385° 11' 46" [recte: 285° 11' 46"]. Warrant (Stage-5 recheck r5, blind, both copies): the hundreds sort is whole in both copies and matches the 3 of 283 stroke for stroke, and the column's own run (282, 283, —, 286) proves 285 (ruling 3: the book's own figures). The facing half of this canon at the same row (p. 335) may carry the same 385 (flagged, LEAN 3, both copies), which would make this standing type or the author's copy rather than one slip.

157. batch_115 / pp.343–345 (printed 229–231), table of oblique ascensions and descensions of the fixed stars — Category B — source compositor error

The following printed figures are kept with a [recte:], each proved by the row's own relation (for an M row, descension = ascension – 2 × difference; Stage-4 rerun_115). p. 343 row 220 (Spica), Tychonic Defc. Ob: printed 148° 19' [recte: 184° 19'], the last two figures transposed. 208° 21' – 2 × 12° 1' = 184° 19'; the difference cannot carry 36°; the Copernican descension of the row, 184° 14', agrees in sense. p. 344 row 228, Tychonic Defc. Ob: printed 306° 9' [recte: 206° 9']. 243° 51' – 2 × 18° 51' = 206° 9', and an M descension must lie below its ascension. Both passes read the leading sort as 3 and exclude 2, the only reading that would dissolve the recte. p. 345 row 395, Copernican Defc. Ob: printed 136° 5' [recte: 146° 5']. 290° 3' – 143° 58' = 146° 5'; both passes read the second sort as 3 and exclude 4.

158. batch_116 / p.347 (printed 233), row 277, Tychonic Defc. Ob — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 388° 45', a degrees figure above 360. The text reads 388° 45' [recte: 288° 45']. Warrant, the row's own relation (Stage-4 rerun_116): for an M row, 348° 37' – 2 × 29° 56' = 288° 45', and the printed minutes agree. The row's Copernican half closes exactly as printed (348° 35' – 2 × 29° 41' = 289° 13'). Both passes read the leading sort as 3 and exclude 2, the only reading that would dissolve the recte.

159. batch_118 / pp.353–354 (printed 239–240) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The same angle is printed 16 grad. 2/, 58 sec. on p. 353 (italic) and ut anguli 16 grad. 2/ 50// Sinus R. 27643 on p. 354 (roman). Both readings were confirmed

(Stage-4 rerun_118 items 16 and 19; on p. 354 the sort is an x-height o, the ascending 8 of this old-style fount being excluded), and the divergence was confirmed on both leaves as the book's own. The sine 27643 printed beside the second answers to 58", and p. 353's subtraction ($70^{\circ} 32' 26'' - 54^{\circ} 29' 28''$) gives 58". No correct form stands at the p. 354 locus, so no [recte:] is given.

160. batch_119 / pp.355–357 (printed 241–243), table of true risings and settings — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

(1) *Quarta alæ finiftra* ♀ is numbered 219 at p. 355 row 31 and 319 at p. 356 row 23. Each leading sort was read on its own leaf; p. 356's was read in two views, showing two stacked bowls and no base bar, which excludes 2. The number 319 also stands at p. 357 row 9 on a wholly different star, an Eridanus star whose neighbours are 314–323. (2) The number 154 stands on two different stars on one leaf, p. 357 rows 10 (*Præcedens in juba Ceti*) and 30 (*Cornu ♀ præcedens prima*). Both readings are first-pass and were not re-adjudicated. This table deliberately enters a star once per phenomenon, so a number recurring on the same star is expected; these two cases are not of that kind. Reported as printed; nothing renumbered.

161. batch_121–123 / pp.361–369 (printed 247–255), table of true risings and settings — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

The table is ordered by its Copernican column, and in these places that column falls without a sign change to explain it. Each descent was re-read and confirmed as printed (Stage-4 reruns 121 items 1, 3, 8; 122 item 7; 123 items 10, 12): p. 361 rows 27→28, $11^{\circ} 55' \rightarrow 11^{\circ} 53'$; p. 362 rows 24→25, $19^{\circ} 17' \rightarrow 19^{\circ} 16'$, and rows 26→27, $19^{\circ} 50' \rightarrow 19^{\circ} 24'$; p. 363 rows 16→17, $26^{\circ} 48' \rightarrow 26^{\circ} 47'$; p. 366 rows 25→26, $26^{\circ} 35' \rightarrow 26^{\circ} 34'$; p. 367 rows 15→16, $2^{\circ} 0' \rightarrow 1^{\circ} 59'$; p. 368 rows 4→5, $8^{\circ} 18' \rightarrow 8^{\circ} 17'$. Nothing in the book proves the intended values, so all are kept as printed. Two further descents on p. 367 (rows 23/24) and p. 369 (rows 7/8) rest on held digit readings and are not included.

162. batch_125 / p.373 (printed 257, sic) — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The folio of this leaf (PDF p. 373) is printed 257, a figure that already stands on an earlier leaf; the next two leaves are numbered 260 and 261, so 258 and 259 do not appear in the series. Read sort by sort on its own head band; nothing has been renumbered. The second-copy pass did not address the folio, so whether the other copy (BSB 10501686) shares the misnumbering is not recorded.

163. batch_125 / p.375 (printed 261) — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) gives for p. 261, line 7 from the foot, the reading *quod idem licet mensium nominibus discrepet, tamen quoque Aethiopum &c.*; the translation already follows that reading ('which, though it disagrees in the names of the months, is the same calendar, and is also that of the Ethiopians'). In our copy the relative pronoun of this clause is set as three sorts, q-u-d, with no o (recorded at the locus; whether a light impression or a defective setting

is not settled on this copy). The errata does not say which word of the line it corrects. No [recte:] stands in the text.

164. batch_126 / p.378 (printed 264) — Category B — source compositor error

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that on p. 264 and following the Greek word printed μηκοπλατες be read μηκοπλατης (the correction alters only the ending). At this locus the print sets the word broken at the gutter, -τες, and the text carries it as printed (μηκοπλ[?]τες); the form recurs on the following page (PDF p. 379, printed 265), where it is printed μηκοπλατες twice and likewise carried as printed. Each locus now carries [recte: μηκοπλατης] after the printed form.

165. batch_127 / p.380 (printed 266) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The print calls partial eclipses *duplices* ('of two kinds') and then sets out three members (*Aut ... Aut ... Aut*: less than half, exactly half, more than half). In the first member the series reads *I, 2, 3 aut 5 digitorum*, with no 4. Both are confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun, item 9) and translated as printed; the book offers no internal proof of what was intended, so no correction is made.

166. batch_128 / p.382 (printed 268) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *versus finifram* ('toward the left') in both members of the correlative *cuius alterum extremum versus finifram, initium ad occasum ... alterum versus finifram, finem ad ortum*, read whole at both places (nine sorts each; *dextram* excluded by the count). The two members are the opposite ends of one line, and the woodcut on the same page sets *Ortus* on the left and *Occasus* on the right, so the end showing the beginning in the west lies toward the right. Preserved as printed, with [recte: toward the right] on the first member (Stage-4 rerun, item 7). Whether another copy carries a press correction to *dextram* was not established: the second-copy pass did not report this locus.

167. batch_128 / p.384 (printed 270), fig-p384-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the square figure of the twelve houses the eighth compartment is numbered with three sorts, I I X, set with a gap after the first I; it is rendered 'IIX', the printed subtractive form, and not normalised to VIII (Stage-4 rerun, item 15). The house series I–XII is otherwise complete and in order.

168. batch_129 / p.385 (printed 271) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *circulo latitudinum F E C* for the circle of latitude that cuts the ecliptic at the horizon. The paragraph itself defines the poles of the zodiac as A and C, and a circle of latitude passes through both poles; F and E both lie on the ecliptic half F G, so a circle through F and E would be the ecliptic itself. The circle of latitude through E is therefore A E C. Preserved as printed, with [recte: A E C] (Stage-4 rerun, item 1; the letter F confirmed against same-passage controls). The proof rests on the text's definitions, not on the woodcut.

169. batch_129 / p.386 (printed 272) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *A E superiorem, E G inferiorem* for the two quadrants into which the meridian and horizon divide the line A C. Since A C is divided at E and one quadrant is A E, the other must be E C. Preserved as printed, with [recte: E C] (Stage-4 rerun, item 3; the G confirmed by its spur against the C of the line above). The proof rests on the sentence, not on the woodcut.

170. batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275), table of longitudes — Category B — source compositor error

In the table of longitudes for 1554 the second row's date is printed 21, and the Venus entry in the *Diur. par.* row is printed $3^{\circ} 13'$. The table's own construction proves both wrong: in every column the *Diur. par.* row equals the second row less the first (the Sun $12^{\circ} 0'$ to $12^{\circ} 58' = 58'$, which the prose gives as the motion of 24 hours), so the second row stands one day after the 23rd, i.e. the 24th; and Venus's two rows, $5^{\circ} 18'$ and $6^{\circ} 31'$, differ by $1^{\circ} 13'$. The prose on the same page confirms the latter: it applies to Venus a proportional part of $52'$ for $17^{\text{h}} 11^{\text{m}} 40^{\text{s}}$ and places her at $6^{\circ} 10' 8'' = 5^{\circ} 18' + 52'$, which is $1^{\circ} 13'$ pro rata. Both printed sorts confirmed at native resolution (Stage-4 rerun, items 5 and 6); preserved as printed with [recte: 24] and [recte: $1^{\circ} 13'$].

171. batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *In Iove 4 min. ut 24 fit in 25 grad. 57 min. 11p.* Jupiter is retrograde (R 4' in the table), so the part is subtracted from $26^{\circ} 0'$: $26^{\circ} 0' - 4'$ would give $25^{\circ} 56'$, while the printed result $25^{\circ} 57'$ implies 3'. The page's own rule ($24^{\text{h}} : \text{diurnal part} = 17^{\text{h}} 11^{\text{m}} 40^{\text{s}} : x$) gives about 3' for a diurnal part of 4' (and gives the printed 4' for Saturn's 5'). Preserved as printed, with [recte: 3'] (Stage-4 rerun, item 8). The page does not itself show which member slipped; if the editor prefers not to choose, the alternative is a note that $26^{\circ} 0' - 4'$ gives $25^{\circ} 56'$ and the printed result implies a part of 3'.

172. batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *non sufficiat nota esse loca Planetarum secundum latitudinem, sed requiratur quoque cognitio latitudinis eorum*, with *latitudinem* in both members (11 sorts, confirmed at native resolution). The correlative requires the first member to be other than latitude, and the page computes the places by longitude first and the latitudes second. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) confirms the correction: 'p. 275, line 33 — for *secundum latitudinem* read *secundum longitudinem*'. Preserved as printed, with [recte: longitude] in the text (Stage-4 rerun, item 9; errata).

173. batch_130 / p.389 (printed 275), table of latitudes — Category B — source compositor error

In the table of latitudes the difference row is headed *Differ. dier. 29*, under rows dated 21 April and 1 May, ten days apart; the prose beside the table works the proportion from ten days (*10 Dies dant in 4 min.*). The printed 29 was

confirmed at 5× against the 29 of the Mercury cell in the same table (Stage-4 rerun, item 10). Preserved as printed, with [recte: 10].

174. batch_130 / p.389→390 (printed 275→276) — Category B — source compositor error

The catchword of p. 275 is printed *Erit*; p. 276 opens *Exit*. Both read on their own strokes, and the divergence confirmed as one of the print, with nothing lost or doubled at the turn (Stage-4 rerun, item 11). The text follows the page's own first word.

175. batch_130 / p.390 (printed 276) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The prose gives Mars's latitude as *o grad. 5 min. Sept. Asc.* (northern, ascending), while the head of the latitudes table on the preceding page sets *♂ M D* (southern, descending); for the other four planets prose and table head agree. Both are as printed; the book does not show which is intended, and neither has been corrected from the other.

176. batch_130 / p.390 (printed 276) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the precepts for erecting the figure in the equal mode the print numbers the first precept 1 and the third 3; the middle one opens *Deinde* with no figure (confirmed, Stage-4 rerun, item 18). Rendered as printed; no number has been supplied.

177. batch_131 / pp.392–393 (printed 278–279), proportional table for the equation of the 10th house, head column 9 — Category B — source compositor error

On both halves of the *Tabula proportionalis inserviens aequationi 10 domus* the ninth head value is printed 2 56 (scrupula prima and secunda of time), between 3 54 and 3 58, where the head tier otherwise rises by 2 seconds from 3 40 to 4 10. The canon itself proves 3 56: row 30 of that column prints 1 58 (half the head), and row 60, the whole degree, prints 3 56. Preserved as printed on both pages, with [recte: 3^m 56^s] (Stage-4 rerun, items 1–2; the printed 2 confirmed at 3× and 6×). Because the same wrong figure stands on both halves of the opening, it may be the author's copy or standing type rather than two independent compositor's slips.

178. batch_131 / pp.392–393 (printed 278–279), proportional table for the equation of the 10th house, body cells — Category B — source compositor error

Ten cells of this proportional canon print a minutes figure that breaks its column, which rises steadily by the canon's construction; each printed sort was confirmed on the leaf and is kept, with the value the column's neighbours require in [recte:] (Stage-4 rerun, items 3–7, 9, 11–14). p. 278: row 5, column 2 58, printed 1 20 (rows 4 and 6: 0 16, 0 24) → 0 20; row 5, column 4 6, printed 8 20 (0 16, 0 25) → 0 20; row 18, column 3 54, printed 2 10 (1 6, 1 14) → 1 10; row 22, column 2 58, printed 3 27 (1 23, 1 31) → 1 27; row 27, column 2 58, printed 2 47 (1 43, 1 51) → 1 47. p. 279: row 38, column 3 50, printed 3 26 (2 22, 2 29) → 2 26; row 48, column 3 54, printed 2 7 (3 3, 3 11) → 3 7; row 53, column 3 46, printed 2 20 (3 16, 3 23) → 3 20; row 56, column 3 54, printed 2 38 (3 34, 3 42) →

3 38; row 57, column 3 48, printed 3 27 (the column 3 33 → 3 40; the row 3 35 → 3 38) → 3 37.

179. batch_132 / p.394 (printed 280), proportional table, argument 47, column 4^m 18^s — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 2 22 at argument 47 under the head 4^m 18^s, between 3 18 (row 46) and 3 26 (row 48) in the column and between 3 21 and 3 24 in the row. Each cell of this table is the head taken argument/60 times (the rule the book states on p. 281, and row 60 of the column prints the head 4 18): $47/60 \times 258^s = 202^s = 3^m 22^s$. The printed 2 confirmed by its flat foot-bar (Stage-4 rerun, item 2); preserved as printed, with [recte: 3^m 22^s].

180. batch_132 / p.394 (printed 280) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The display title over the proportional table on p. 280 stops at *Tabula proportionalis inferviens*; the facing page carries prose and a figure, not the completion (on pp. 278–279 the same title is completed across the opening, ... *æquationi 10 domus*). Reported as set; nothing has been supplied.

181. batch_132 / p.396 (printed 282), proportional table for the equation of the horoscope — Category B — source compositor error

In this table the column heads step by 2 from 32' to 80', but the eighteenth is printed 65, between 64 and 68; row 30, which is half the head throughout, reads 33 under it, half of 66. In row 26 the cell under 78' is printed 24, between 33 and 35 in the row and 32 and 35 in the column; $78 \times 26/60 = 33.8$, rounded 34 as the column rounds elsewhere. Both printed forms confirmed on the leaf (the 5 of 65 identified against the 5s of the heads 50–58 on the same line; the 2 of 24 by its flat foot) and preserved as printed, with [recte: 66'] and [recte: 34] (Stage-4 rerun, items 17 and 21).

182. batch_132 / p.396 (printed 282) — Category B — source compositor error

In the sentence *Tempori vero ... in horoscopo ... 22 /* the degree figure is a whole 2 in our copy (BSB bsb11218440, Augsburg 4 Math 421; confirmed against the italic 22 of the next line) and a whole descending 3 in the other copy of this printing (BSB 10501686). The text keeps our copy's 2° 22' and gives the other reading in an [ed.:] note. The ink does not show which state is the earlier.

183. batch_133 / p.397 (printed 283), proportional table for the equation of the horoscope (continued) — Category B — source compositor error

On the leaf continuing the table of p. 282 (arguments 31–60) the eighteenth column head is again printed 65, between 64 and 68, while row 60 of the same column — the whole degree, equal to the head — prints 66. The same wrong head on both leaves suggests standing type or the author's copy rather than two independent slips (cf. the note at p. 282). Here, as on p. 282, the head now carries 65 [recte: 66] (the heads step by 2, and row 60 of the column prints 66).

184. batch_133 / p.399 (printed 285) — Category B — source compositor error

In the worked example below the table the source prints *60 minuta* as the minutes which, joined to $2^{\circ} 32'$, give the horoscope $2^{\circ} 48'$ ☉ (stated twice). The sentence's own figures fix the quantity at $2^{\circ} 48' - 2^{\circ} 32' = 16'$; the table itself gives the same (19 minutes under the difference 50': $50 \times 19/60 \approx 16$). The printed 60 was confirmed as two clean sorts by both readings (Stage-4 rerun, item 36). Preserved as printed, with [recte: 16].

185. batch_134 / pp.400–401 (printed 286–287), proportional table for the equation of the horoscope and the houses — Category B — source compositor error

A proportional column cannot fall as its argument rises. On p. 286, row 24 under the head $2^{\circ} 44'$ is printed $3^{\circ} 6'$, where that column reads $1^{\circ} 3'$ at row 23 and $1^{\circ} 22'$ at row 30; on p. 287, row 45 under $2^{\circ} 20'$ is printed $2^{\circ} 45'$, between $1^{\circ} 43'$ (row 44) and $1^{\circ} 47'$ (row 46). In both the degree must be 1. The printed sorts were confirmed by both readings; preserved as printed, with [recte: $1^{\circ} 6'$] and [recte: $1^{\circ} 45'$] (Stage-4 rerun, items 4 and 15).

186. batch_134 / p.401 (printed 287), proportional table, row 59, column $2^{\circ} 22'$ — Category B — source compositor error

Row 59 under the head $2^{\circ} 22'$ is printed $2^{\circ} 10'$, between $2^{\circ} 17'$ (row 58) and $2^{\circ} 22'$ (row 60), so it breaks the column's rise. The page does not fix the value: $2^{\circ} 20'$ if the tens sort is wrong, $2^{\circ} 18'$ or $2^{\circ} 19'$ if the units. The printed 10 was confirmed (Stage-4 rerun, item 22); it is kept, with an [ed.:] note in the cell that it is out of sequence, and no [recte:].

187. batch_134 / p.401 (printed 287), proportional table, column head $2^{\circ} 44'$ — Category B — source compositor error

On p. 287 the head over the column for $2^{\circ} 44'$ is printed 1 44, among heads that otherwise all read 2 xx; the other half of the same table on p. 286 prints this head 2 44. Confirmed as printed by both readings and by the second-copy pass (Stage-4 rerun, item 12); carried as printed, without a [recte:].

188. batch_134 / p.402 (printed 288), table of the rational houses — Category B — source compositor error

The table of the rational houses is set in two halves side by side with identical column heads, but the right half heads its second polar-number column *XI & II Domus* where the left half sets *XII & II Domus*; the prose beside the table speaks of the 11th and 3rd and the 12th and 2nd houses. Reported as set and translated as printed ('XI & II').

189. batch_135 / p.403 (printed 289) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page sets out that BA is the elevation of the equator, 39° , and DL the elevation of the pole, 51° . In the third step of the first computation it nevertheless writes *ad BA elevationis poli ... finum 77714* — 77714 being the sine of 51° , the pole's elevation, not of BA. The value used is the right one; the label is the author's slip. Translated as printed.

190. batch_135 / p.403 (printed 289) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the second computation the print reads *ad anguli recti A B F finum 93989*, whose arc it then gives as $70^{\circ} 2'$; the right angle of the triangle is BAF (so

named in the same sentence), and ABF is the angle being found. Translated as printed. In the same computation the steps are numbered 1 and 3, the middle step opening *Deinde* with no figure.

191. batch_135 / p.405 (printed 291) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the worked example of Campanus's method the print sets *interstitium X & XII domus 19 grad. 58 minut.* for the first interval (confirmed as set, Stage-4 rerun, item 28), where the rule paragraph on the same page names the first interval as that of the tenth and XI; the example then adds it to the M. C. to give the ascension of the XI house, so the interval of X and XI is meant. The text carries it as printed, with [ed.: as set].

192. batch_135 / p.405 (printed 291) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The print reads *Sit A B quadrans circuli verticalis divisus in tres quadrantes A E, E F & F B* — a quadrant divided into three 'quadrants', where three equal parts are meant (confirmed as set, Stage-4 rerun, item 28). The author's wording; translated as printed, with [ed.: as set].

193. batch_136 / p.406 (printed 292), table of houses according to Campanus and Gazulus — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the right block of the table, the interval of X & XI (III & IV) at latitude 55 is printed $19^{\circ} 20'$, between $18^{\circ} 45'$ (lat. 54) and $17^{\circ} 54'$ (lat. 56) in a column that falls steadily; and the interval of XI & XII (II & III) at latitude 44 is printed $28^{\circ} 29'$, between $28^{\circ} 47'$ (lat. 43) and $28^{\circ} 32'$ (lat. 45). Both confirmed as printed on two views and on re-reading (Stage-4 rerun, item 9). The book offers no second printing of these rows that would fix the intended values, so they are carried as printed, without [recte:].

194. batch_136 / p.407→408 (printed 293→294) — Category B — source compositor error

The catchword of p. 293 is printed *XII 345*; p. 294 opens *XII 354gr. 49'*, the second and third figures standing in the other order. Both confirmed as printed on their own leaves (Stage-4 rerun, item 8). The worked example (the oblique ascension of the XII house, $327^{\circ} 19' + 27^{\circ} 30' = 354^{\circ} 49'$) follows the page's 354; the catchword is the compositor's slip.

195. batch_138 / p.412 (printed 298) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The page reduces Tycho's star places to 1554 by subtracting $39'$ (about 46 years of precession): for the right shoulder of Orion it gives $23^{\circ} 12' - 39' = 22^{\circ} 33'$ II, which closes. For Castor it states Tycho's place as $14^{\circ} 43'$ ☽ and the result as *14 grad. 2 min.* ☽; $14^{\circ} 43' - 39'$ is $14^{\circ} 4'$. Both figures read on the leaf (the 2 a z-form 2, 4 excluded; Stage-4 rerun, item 5). The disagreement holds however the second figure of 43 is read (3 would give $14^{\circ} 4'$, 5 would give $14^{\circ} 6'$); whether the slip is the author's or the compositor's cannot be told from the book. The printed $14^{\circ} 2'$ stands; a [recte:] is held pending the reading of that figure.

196. batch_138 / p.412 (printed 298) — Category B — source compositor error

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that on p. 298, near the foot, *monuiffē sufficient* be read *monuiffē sufficiat*. The locus is the closing sentence of the admonition on erecting figures, rendered 'And let this suffice as advice on erecting figures'; the English is unaffected by the correction. No [recte:] stands in the text.

197. batch_138 / p.414 (printed 300), table of houses, Sun in δ , latitude 8° — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude- 8° group of the table of houses (Sun in δ) the head over the twelfth-house column is printed 22 (two z-form sorts, unlike the upright 1 of the adjacent 11). Every other group on this page and all three groups on the facing p. 299 head their columns 11, 12, Horosc., 2, 3, and this group sets 11, this head, Horosc., 2, 3. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 12] (Stage-4 rerun, item 25).

198. batch_139 / p.415 (printed 301), table of houses, Sun in Π — Category B — source compositor error

In the right-sphere *Horosc.* column, row 2 is printed $26^\circ 40'$: the column runs $25^\circ 31'$, $26^\circ 35'$, (row 2), $28^\circ 46'$, $29^\circ 52'$, steps of $64'$ – $67'$, which with $27^\circ 40'$ continue evenly and with the printed figure become $5'$ then $126'$. In the latitude- 16° *Horosc.* column, row 21 is printed $28^\circ 30'$, between $19^\circ 27'$ and $21^\circ 33'$ in a column stepping $63'$ throughout, so $20^\circ 30'$. Both printed degree figures confirmed on two views; the minutes kept as printed; preserved with [recte: $27^\circ 40'$] and [recte: $20^\circ 30'$] (Stage-4 rerun, items 3 and 6).

199. batch_139 / p.416 (printed 302), table of houses, Sun in $\mathfrak{G}$, latitude 16° , row 30 — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude- 16° group, the last row (tenth house 30) prints 20 under house 2 and 20 under house 3 (lightly inked in our copy; read 20, 20 from the other copy, BSB 10501686). Both columns run 27, 28 in the rows above, and the same moment, printed again as the first row of the next table on p. 303, reads 29 under both houses. Both cells now carry 20 [recte: 29].

200. batch_140 / p.418 (printed 304), table of houses, Sun in $\mathfrak{M}$, time from noon, row 27 — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 11 48 0 for the time from noon at tenth house 27 (the 8 whole on two views). Row 26, $11^h 45^m 20^s$, plus the printed difference $3^m 40^s$ gives $11^h 49^m 0^s$, and row 28, $11^h 52^m 40^s$, less its printed difference $3^m 40^s$ gives the same; two independent routes agree. Preserved as printed, with [recte: $11^h 49^m 0^s$] (Stage-4 rerun, item 8). The same minutes figure 48 is printed at the corresponding place of the $\mathfrak{H}$ table, twelve hours later ($23^h 48^m 0^s$ for $23^h 49^m 0^s$), in each of the three sets of tables of houses in this part (pp. 310, 346 and 358); a value repeated in separately set tables suggests the author's own computation rather than independent compositor's slips.

201. batch_140 / p.419 (printed 305), table of houses, Sun in $\mathfrak{Q}$, latitude 16° , horoscope column, row 8 — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude-16° *Horofc.* column, headed $\nearrow$, the degrees run 29° 18' (row 7) to 0° 9' (row 8), so the sign sort set at row 8 marks the sign after $\nearrow$, which is $\vee 8$; the continuation of the column on p. 306 is headed by a $\vee 8$ sort. The print sets a whole, firmly inked $\odot$ (confirmed at 7×). Preserved as printed, with [recte: $\vee 8$] (Stage-4 rerun, item 16).

202. batch_142 / p.424 (printed 310), table of houses, Sun in $\mathbb{H}$, time from noon, row 28 (tenth house 27) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 23 48 0 (the 8 with two closed bowls, against the open-tailed 9 of the same column). The row above, 23^h 45^m 20^s, plus the printed difference 3^m 40^s gives 23^h 49^m 0^s, and the row below, 23^h 52^m 40^s, less its printed difference 3^m 40^s gives the same. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 23^h 49^m 0^s] (Stage-4 rerun, item 6). The same 48 stands at this place in the $\mathbb{H}$ tables of the other two sets (pp. 346, 358) and, twelve hours earlier, in the $\mathbb{M}$ table (p. 304, $\mathbb{M}$ 48^m 0^s); see the note at p. 304.

203. batch_142 / p.426 (printed 312), table of houses, Sun in $\mathbb{X}$, latitudes 24° and 30° — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude-30° group the head over the twelfth-house column is printed 22 (the first sort a hooked 2, against the straight 1 of $\mathbb{M}$ beside it), where the other two groups set 12. In the latitude-24° group the *Horofc.* head carries the $\odot$ sort, although the column passes directly into $\mathbb{M}$ at row 31, as do the latitude-30° and 34° columns, which are headed $\odot$. Both confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun, item 16); carried as printed, without [recte:].

204. batch_142 / p.426 (printed 312), title — Category C — author/source anomaly

The title line of this opening reads *Tabulæ domorum ad latitudinem Eclipticæ*, 'for the latitude of the ecliptic', where p. 310 and the other openings of the run set *ad obliquitatem Eclipticæ*; the same wording recurs at p. 324 (PDF p. 438) and p. 336 (PDF p. 450). Each read as printed; the translation keeps 'latitude'. The book gives no proof beyond the parallel settings, so no [recte:] is made.

205. batch_143 / p.427 (printed 313), table of houses, Sun in $\mathbb{M}$, latitude 24°, horoscope column — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the latitude-24° *Horofc.* column, which rises steadily, row 7 reads 6° 27' and row 8 6° 24' (a fall), and row 12 reads 10° 15' and row 13 12° 13' (the 11th degree skipped). All four cells confirmed as printed on two views (Stage-4 rerun, item 8). The column does not prove which figure in each pair is wrong, so they are carried as printed, without [recte:].

206. batch_147 / p.440 (printed 326), table of houses, latitude 40°, house 12, rows 15–16 — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude-40° twelfth-house column, rows 15 and 16 are printed 28 and 29 (the tens sort a 2 at 4×, against same-line controls). A cusp column cannot run backward within one sign: row 14 reads 17 and rows 17–18 read 20, with no sign sort between, and the legible units 8 and 9 leave only 18 and 19. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 18] and [recte: 19] (Stage-4 rerun, item 11).

207. batch_147 / p.441 (printed 327), table of houses, latitude 37°, house 12, row 31 — Category B — source compositor error

The last row of the latitude-37° twelfth-house column is printed 37, the first sort the descending 3-form 3 (confirmed at 4× against the 3 of 30 on the same line). A cusp degree within $\underline{2}$ runs 0–30; the column stands at 26 in rows 29–30, with no sign sort following, and the units 7 is legible, so 27. The same moment is printed again as the first row of the next table (p. 442), where the cell is recorded as 27. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 27] (Stage-4 rerun, item 16).

208. batch_148 / p.444 (printed 330), table of houses, latitude 43°, horoscope minutes, row 14^h 58^m 4^s — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude-43° *Horofc.* column at the row 14^h 58^m 4^s, our copy (BSB bsb11218440, Augsburg 4 Math 421) prints a blotted descending sort followed by a whole 2; the other copy of this printing (BSB 10501686) prints a clean 47. The text carries 20° [?]2' with an [ed.:] note giving the other copy's reading; the neighbouring cells, 19° 40' and 21° 55', are consistent with 47. The ink does not show which state is the earlier.

209. batch_150 / p.448 (printed 334), table of houses, Sun in ♄, time from noon, row 12 — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 21 49 55 for the time from noon at row 12, in a column that runs monotonically from 22^h 8^m 25^s to 24^h 0^m 0^s. Row 11, 22^h 46^m 11^s, plus the printed difference 3^m 44^s gives 22^h 49^m 55^s, and row 13, 22^h 53^m 39^s, less the printed difference 3^m 44^s gives the same. The printed 1 was read on three views (flag head, short foot; the 2 excluded on a full-weight sort). Preserved as printed, with [recte: 22^h 49^m 55^s] (Stage-4 rerun, item 3).

210. batch_160 / p.480 (printed 346), table of houses, Sun in ♄, latitudes 45°–51°, time from noon, row 28 — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 23 48 0 (the minutes' second sort the old-style 8, two closed bowls, read at 4×). Row 27, 23^h 45^m 20^s, plus the printed difference 3^m 40^s gives 23^h 49^m 0^s, and that plus row 29's printed difference 3^m 40^s gives the printed row-29 time 23^h 52^m 40^s; keeping 48 would need both printed differences to be wrong. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 23^h 49^m 0^s] (Stage-4 rerun, item 29). The same wrong minutes figure stands at this place in the ♄ tables of the other sets (pp. 310, 358) and in the ♄ table (p. 304); see the note at p. 304.

211. batch_161 / p.481 (printed 347), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ♄, house 3, rows 22–24 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the house-3 column, rows 22, 23 and 24 each stand 10' below the chain built from row 21 with the printed differences, and the step from row 24 to row 25 is 10' larger than its printed difference, so the column recloses at row 25. All four values confirmed as printed (Stage-4 rerun). No single correction is proved by the book; the text carries the values as printed with a reader note.

212. batch_161 / p.482 (printed 348), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ☿, house 3, row 11 — Category B — source compositor error

The house-3 column runs 5° 37' (row 10), then the printed 7° 27' (row 11), then 7° 17' (row 12) — a fall where the column rises; the column's own printed differences take 5° 37' to 6° 27' and 6° 27' to 7° 17'. The printed degree confirmed on the leaf; preserved as printed, with [recte: 6° 27'] (Stage-4 rerun).

213. batch_162 / p.484 (printed 350), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ☿ — Category B — source compositor error

Each printed form below was confirmed on the leaf and is kept, with the value the table's own figures require in [recte:] (Stage-4 rerun): (1) house 12, row 29, printed 1° 51' — rows 28 ($\underline{\Omega}$ 0° 5') and 30 (1° 37') bracket it, so the degree can only be 0, and row 30's printed difference 46' gives 0° 51' (row 29's own printed difference, 45', would give 0° 50', a 1' residual left unresolved); (2) house 11, row 18, printed 25° 58' — 24° 54' + 54' and 26° 42' — 54' both give 25° 48'; (3) house 3, row 0 (6^h 0^m 0^s), printed 20° 33' — 20° 23' plus the printed 54' gives row 1's 21° 17', and the same boundary row printed as the last row of the facing p. 349 reads 20° 23', so the book prints this row two ways; (4) house 2, the sign sort between rows 10 and 11, printed with the $\mathbb{M}$ design (confirmed at 4x) — the column is headed $\underline{\Omega}$ and runs 29° 51' to 0° 38', and its continuation on p. 351 is headed $\mathbb{M}$, so [recte: $\mathbb{M}$].

214. batch_162 / p.485 (printed 351), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ♄ — Category B — source compositor error

Each printed form was confirmed on the leaf and is kept, with the value the table's own figures require in [recte:] (Stage-4 rerun, items 10–12): (1) the difference of time at row 18 is printed 2 57 among values of 3 5x; the times 9^h 17^m 53^s and 9^h 21^m 50^s differ by 3^m 57^s; (2) house 11, row 4, printed 10° 56' between 9° 4' and 10° 48' — both printed differences, 52' and 52', give 9° 56'; (3) the tenth-house argument of the last row is printed 20 after a column running 0 to 29; the row returns as argument 0 at the head of p. 352, so 30.

215. batch_163 / p.487 (printed 353), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in $\underline{\Omega}$, house 11, row 1 — Category B — source compositor error

The house-11 cell at row 1 is printed 29° 11' (the first sort faint in our copy; 29 in the other copy, BSB 10501686). Row 0, 25° 24', plus the printed difference 47' gives 26° 11', and row 2, 26° 57', less the printed difference 46' gives the same. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 26° 11'] (Stage-4 rerun).

216. batch_163 / p.488 (printed 354), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in $\mathbb{M}$, house 3, row 0 — Category B — source compositor error

The first row of this table (13^h 51^m 35^s) repeats the last row of the table on p. 353, and the book prints its house-3 cusp two ways: 26° 48' on p. 353 and 28° 48' here. The figures of this leaf prove the former: row 1, 28° 15', less the printed difference 87' gives 26° 48'. The printed 28 confirmed on the leaf; preserved as printed, with [recte: 26° 48'] (Stage-4 rerun).

217. batch_164 / p.490 (printed 356), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ♊ — Category B — source compositor error

Each printed form was confirmed on the leaf and is kept, with [recte:] (Stage-4 rerun, items 9–11): (1) house 11, row 29, printed 18° 20' — 17° 6' plus the printed difference 82' gives 18° 28', as does 19° 50' less 82'; (2) the house-2 difference into row 19 is printed 65', while the positions 19° 1' and 20° 7' differ by 66' (and close with their other neighbours by the printed 67' and 65'); (3) the house-2 difference into row 30 is printed 56', while 0° 13' (♌) and 1° 19' differ by 66'; 1° 19' is printed again as the first row of p. 357 and closes from there with the printed 56'. For (3) the recte is the minimal single-figure correction; the column of printed differences runs smoothly (58, 57, 57, 56, 56, 55) while the positions jump by 66' at this step, so a 10' slip in the author's positions, carried into p. 357, is the alternative — it would need three figures changed.

218. batch_164 / p.491 (printed 357), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ♋ — Category B — source compositor error

Each printed form was confirmed on two views and is kept, with [recte:] (Stage-4 rerun, items 15 and 17): (1) the time from noon at row 15 is printed 11^h 9^m 56^s in a column whose hours run 20 to 22 on every other row; 21^h 5^m 56^s plus the printed difference 4^m 0^s gives 21^h 9^m 56^s, which plus 3^m 59^s gives the printed row 16, 21^h 13^m 55^s; (2) the horoscope at row 29 is printed 5° 52', so that the column falls at row 30 (5° 41'); 4° 2' plus the printed 50' gives 4° 52', as does 5° 41' less the printed 49'.

219. batch_164 / p.492 (printed 358), table of houses for latitude 52° 20', Sun in ♌, time from noon, row 27 — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints 23 48 0. Row 26, 23^h 45^m 20^s, plus the printed difference 3^m 40^s gives 23^h 49^m 0^s, and row 28, 23^h 52^m 40^s, less 3^m 40^s gives the same. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 23^h 49^m 0^s] (Stage-4 rerun, item 20). This is the fourth table of houses in this part to print 48 at this place; see the note at p. 304.

220. batch_164 / p.492→493 (printed 358→359), title — Category C — author/source anomaly

On the two preceding openings the title *Tabula domorum ad latitudinem grad. 52,20 min.* & on the verso is completed on the recto by *λόξωσιν Eclipticæ Tychonianam 23 grad. 31'30"*. Here the verso p. 358 sets the same first half, but the facing p. 359 opens instead *maximam 23 grad. 31 min. 30 sec. construct...* over a table for latitudes 54°, 57° and 60°. The text carries a reader note that the title breaks off; whether the two halves were meant to join is not shown by the book.

221. batch_165 / p.493 (printed 359), table of houses for latitudes 54°–60°, Sun in ♍, latitude 60°, house 12 head — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude-60° group the head over the twelfth-house column is the II-form II sort (confirmed as printed), while the latitude-57° twelfth-house head beside it is ☿. The column runs 9 to 29 with no sign sort, and its last row, printed again as the first row of the next table (p. 361), stands there under a ☿ head with ♀ following. Preserved as printed, with [recte: ☿] (Stage-4 rerun, items 1–3).

222. batch_165 / pp.494–495 (printed 361, 337) — Category B — source compositor error

The leaf after p. 359 is numbered 361 and its facing recto 337, so that 360 does not appear and 337 stands out of sequence; the two leaves form one opening, the table title running across them. Each figure read sort by sort on its own head band; nothing has been renumbered.

223. batch_166 / p.498 (printed 364), table of houses for latitudes 54°–60°, Sun in ♍, latitude 60°, house 12, row 30 — Category B — source compositor error

In the latitude-60° twelfth-house column the last row (tenth house 30) is printed 14, directly after 9 at row 29, in a column that otherwise steps by 0 to 2. The same moment (12^h 0^m 0^s) is printed again as the first row of the next table, p. 365, where this cell reads 10. The printed 14 is confirmed in both copies; the text carries 14 [recte: 10] (the column runs 6–9). In the same repeated row the latitude-54° tenth-house cell reads 30 on p. 364 and 0 on p. 365: the same point, 30° ♍ = 0° ♌, not a defect.

224. batch_168 / p.504 (printed 370), title — Category C — author/source anomaly

The title line *Tabulae domorum ad obliquitatem Eclipticæ* over the last table of the run (Sun in ♈, latitudes 54°–60°) is not completed: the facing recto, p. 371, opens *Caput XII* rather than the usual *maximam 23 grad. 31 min. 30 sec. constructæ*. The text carries an [ed.:] note that the title breaks off as printed (Stage-4 rerun, item 3).

225. batch_169 / p.506 (printed 372), Trutina Hermetis, precept III — Category C — author/source anomaly

As printed, both the subtracting and the adding rule read *ab ortu infra horizontem versus occasum* (with *distet* and *removeatur*), and the closing clause reads *si Luna supra terram sit ... subtrahuntur, si vero supra terram deprehenduntur, adduntur* — the same term in both halves of each pair, where the rule requires contrasting terms (confirmed on the leaf, Stage-4 rerun, item 11). Translated as printed. The table beside the rules fixes the intended sense: with the Moon above the earth, reckoned from the west, 258 to 273 days; below the earth, reckoned from the ascendant, 273 to 288.

226. batch_172 / p.516 (printed 382), last line — Category C — author/source anomaly

The last line of the page sets *ad radices* △ & * *in radice*, the word read sort by sort as r-a-d-i-c-s (the c identified against the closed o of *profectiones* on the same line). *Radices* does not construe; *radios* ('rays') would, but no other form

is printed at the locus and the book offers no proof, so no correction is made. The text carries the printed form in a bracket with a flag (Stage-4 rerun, item 17).

227. batch_173 / p.519 (printed 385), prose below the Mirror of the aspects — Category B — source compositor error

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that on p. 385, line 24 and following, the text read *in 10 domum incidit*, the repeated *in domum* being deleted. At this locus (the right $\square$ of the $\odot$ at $12^\circ 42' \approx$ falling into the 10th house) the print sets *domum* twice across the line turn; the text renders 'falls into the 10th house' and carries an [ed.:] note that the print sets *domum* twice. No [recte:] stands in the text.

228. batch_173 / p.519 (printed 385), Astrological Mirror of the aspects, row $14^\circ 39'$ — Category B — source compositor error

In the row for the nodes ($14^\circ 39'$) the table sets the same node design — two small closed loops above a larger bowl, i.e. $\wp$ — in both the $\odot$ and the $\wp$ columns, in the same orientation (confirmed at 3.5 $\times$, Stage-4 rerun, items 15–17); the prose of the same page prints the other node design for Ω . The text carries $\wp$ in both cells, as printed. The author's own charts of this nativity (pp. 290 and 294) set $\Omega 14^\circ 39'$ in $\odot$ and $\wp 14^\circ 39'$ in $\wp$, so one cell of the table should carry Ω ; no correction has been made in the table.

229. batch_174 / p.520 (printed 386), worked example for Arcturus — Category C — author/source anomaly

The worked example for Arcturus (1554; latitude $31^\circ 2' 30''$) takes the equation *5 grad. 17'* from the table of the equation of the aspects printed on the same page, whose row 31 reads $5^\circ 41'$ (row 30, $5^\circ 16'$). Both figures read on the leaf (Stage-4 rerun, items 4 and 8) and confirmed in the other copy; the page does not show which value the author intended, so no [recte:] is made.

230. batch_175 / p.523 (printed 389), table of the time of direction, row 10 — Category B — source compositor error

In the *Tab. temporis direct.* every row equals its argument times row 1 ($6^d 2^h 6^m$), so row 10 should read $60^d 21^h 0^m$; the minutes field is printed 8 (read as 8 by both passes, at $3\times$). Row 20, printed 121 18 0, is exactly twice $60^d 21^h 0^m$ (twice the printed row would give 121 ^{d} 18 ^{h} 16 ^{m}), and row 60, 365 6 0, is six times row 10 only with minutes 0. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 0] (Stage-4 rerun, item 4).

231. batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Mid-heaven, row 1 — Category B — source compositor error

The first row of the table of the directions of the mid-heaven prints the Moon 'with latitude', followed by a sort of the horned $\wp$ design and a colon, then $1^\circ 52'$; the text carries the $\wp$ with a flag, its function in a latitude phrase not construed. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) corrects p. 390, line 6: 'for $\wp$ cum latit. $\wp$: read $\wp$ cum latit. Merid.' — the Moon with southern latitude,

as the table sets for h and a further down. The text now carries [recte: Merid., southern] after the printed sort.

232. batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Mid-heaven, row 20 — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The row at $6^\circ 25' \text{P}$ is printed ΔD . The Moon stands at $6^\circ 25' \approx$ (row 1 of the same table), two signs from P , which is a sextile; the author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) corrects p. 390, line 26: 'for ΔD read $\ast \text{D}$ '. The text carries Δ [recte: $\ast$] D .

233. batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Mid-heaven, row 22 — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

In every other row of this table the direction equals the right ascension less the mid-heaven's $308^\circ 34'$ (a circle added once the ascensions pass $\text{P } 0^\circ$). For the Term of Q at $15^\circ 0' \text{P}$ the printed right ascension $13^\circ 48'$ gives $65^\circ 14'$, while the printed direction is $64^\circ 45'$. Both figures confirmed on the leaf (Stage-4 rerun, item 11); the page does not show which field is in error, so the text carries both as printed with an [ed.:] note in the cell.

234. batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Horoscope, row 18 — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The source prints $\ast \text{Q}$ at $0^\circ 14' \text{Q}$, the sort a plain Venus in both copies (confirmed against the different Mercury design on the same page; two-copy read T3-01). The book's own Mirror of the aspects (p. 385) puts Mercury, not Venus, at $0^\circ 14'$ of its sign (Venus stands at $6^\circ 11'$), so the sextile at $0^\circ 14' \text{Q}$ is Mercury's; and the author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) corrects p. 390, line 24: 'for $\ast \text{Q}$ read $\ast \text{Q}$ '. Preserved as printed, with [recte: Q] (Scott ruling R15). An early reader of the second copy (BSB 10501686) altered the sort to Q in pen (corroboration only).

235. batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), prose after the tables — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The sentence on the Moon's directions says they come before those of the mid-heaven 'by nearly three quarters' (*ad tres fere quadrantes*, the line end cut at the gutter in our copy). The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that p. 390, line 31 read *quadrantes anni antevvertunt* — three quarters of a year. The English now follows the errata ("three quarters of a year").

236. batch_175 / p.524 (printed 390), Directions of the Horoscope, rows 4–5 — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

In the table of the directions of the horoscope, which runs in order of longitude and oblique ascension, row 5 ($\square \text{a}$, $25^\circ 57'$, oblique ascension $53^\circ 10'$) stands after row 4 (Term of Q , $26^\circ 0'$, $53^\circ 13'$). Reported as set; nothing has been moved.

237. batch_176 / p.527 (printed 393), the third rule of direction — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

The third rule reads *tum productum, h.e. distantia promissoris a M. vel I. C aufertur*, joining 'the product' and 'the distance of the promissor' with *h.e.* ('that is'), where the operation subtracts the one from the other. *h.e.* is what is printed

(not à; Stage-4 rerun, item 10). The wording is elliptical as printed; the English follows the print and no correction is offered.

238. batch_177 / p.529 (printed 395), second worked example — *Category B — source compositor error*

The example ends: the direction falls 'in the 35th year of the native's age, on the 287th day from the nativity, that is, in the year of Christ 1590, February 5'. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) corrects p. 395, line 26: 'read *annum 1589 diem Febr. 5*'. The book's own figures agree with the errata: the nativity is 1554 April 23, so the 35th year runs from 23 April 1588, and its 287th day falls in early February 1589. The text carries 1590 [recte: 1589].

239. batch_177 / p.530 (printed 396), Directions of the $\oplus$ against the order of the signs — *Category C — author/source anomaly*

The data line of the right-hand table gives the distance of the $\oplus$ from the M. C. as *26 gr. 18* / beside its right ascension *335 grad. 52* /; the table's own relation (A. R. less $308^{\circ} 34'$) gives $27^{\circ} 18'$, and batch_175's table prints $27^{\circ} 18'$ for the same quantity. In row 12 the direction is printed $37^{\circ} 40'$ between 22 and 30, where the row relation gives $27^{\circ} 40'$. Both are printed so in both copies (two-copy read T3-04), and the table is computed throughout on $26^{\circ} 18'$; they are the author's own working values, not compositor's errors, so no [recte:] is made (Scott ruling R15).

240. batch_177 / p.530 (printed 396), Directions of the $\oplus$, row 17 (Term of $\sphericalangle 19^{\circ} 0'$) — *Category C — author/source anomaly*

Below the M. C. the right-hand table keeps A. R. + distance = $308^{\circ} 34'$ in every testable row; at the Term of $\sphericalangle (19^{\circ} 0')$ the printed $290^{\circ} 35'$ and $17^{\circ} 39'$ give $308^{\circ} 14'$, $20'$ short. Both cells read on the leaf (the 39 at 7x, Stage-4 rerun, item 16); the book does not show which cell carries the slip, so both stand as printed.

241. batch_179 / p.535 (printed 401) — *Category B — source compositor error*

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that on p. 401, line 28, *robor* be read *robur* ('strength'). The slip is in a Latin word-form only. No [recte:] stands in the text.

242. batch_180 / p.539 (printed 405) — *Category B — source compositor error*

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that on p. 405, line 13, *paſſio* (printed there with the β ligature) be read *paſſo*; the errata entry itself sets the line reference twice (*v. v. 13*). The slip is in a Latin word-form only. The text now carries [recte: *paſſo*] after the printed form.

243. batch_180 / pp.540–541 (printed 406–407), marginal enumerations — *Category C — author/source anomaly*

On p. 406 the body enumerates the differences of the fixed stars as *1 Magnitudine*, *2 Lumine*, *3 Loco*, while the margin beside them prints 2, 3, 4 (the first marginal figure read as 2 on both copies, Stage-5 recheck r10; the 3 confirmed by its descending bowl). On p. 407 the series continues 2, 3, 4, 5 beside the

body's *Secundo, Tertio, Quarto, Ultimo*, the last two again one place ahead (the 5 not fully settled). Recorded as printed; nothing has been renumbered.

244. batch_182 / p.545 (printed 411), third line — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The first line of the leaf names *signa medietatis descendentis* ☿, ♀, ♄, ☊, ♀, ♀ & ♂ (the straight signs); the third line names the complementary six, ♄, ♀, ♀, ♀, ♀, ♀ & ♀ and ♀ (the crooked signs), with the same word *descendentis*, read whole at both places (Stage-4 rerun, item 16). Two disjoint sets that together make up the zodiac cannot both be the descending half; the complement is the ascending half. Preserved as printed, with [recte: ascending] (Scott ruling 6).

245. batch_182 / p.545 (printed 411) — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73) directs that on p. 411, lines 9 and 10, *manuetudo* be read *manfuetudo* ('gentleness'). The slip is in a Latin word-form only. No [recte:] stands in the text.

246. batch_183 / p.548 (printed 414), the solar and lunar halves of the zodiac — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

The sentence reads *Medietas verò quæ ♀ sequitur, & ♀, ♄, ☊, ♀, ♀ & ♄ continet, naturæ Solari convenit, & Lunaris dicitur*; the last word is printed *Lunaris* (read whole, seven sorts; Stage-4 rerun, item 13), where the sense of the sentence expects *Solaris*. The same leaf's marginal note (*Medietas zodiaci Leonem antecedens, ☊ affribitur. Cancrum sequens Soli.*) and the captions of the figure-table on the next page (*Medietas Lunaris* beside ☊–☿, *Medietas Solaris* beside ♀–♄) both assign this half to the Sun. Translated as printed ('lunar [Lunaris]'); no [recte:] has been made.

247. batch_185 / p.553 (printed 419) — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

The lords of the terms are called ὀρηκράτορας (eta in the third place, confirmed as printed, Stage-4 rerun, item 1); the usual compound is ὀροκράτορας. Carried as printed; no error is claimed.

248. batch_185 / p.555 (printed 421), Ptolemaic table of terms, ♀ row — *Category B* — *source compositor error*

In the *Tabula finium Ptolomaica* the left half of each row gives the degrees each planet holds, the right half the running ends of the terms. In the ♀ row the left half reads ♄ 6, ♀ 7, ♀ 6, ♄ 6, ♂ 3, which sum to 28; the right half's running sums 6, 13, 19, 25 agree, and it closes ♂ 25 → 30, a span of 5. In the other eleven rows the two halves agree throughout. The printed sort is a flat-topped 3 (against the hooked-top 5 of the fount, adjacent-row control; Stage-4 rerun, item 14). Preserved as printed, with [recte: 5].

249. batch_192 / pp.575–576 (printed 441–442) — *Category C* — *author/source anomaly*

The marginal notes for the three zones are numbered 1 In torrida zona. (p. 575), then In frigida zona. with no number, then 3 In temperata. (p. 576): no 2 is printed. The 3 was read on the second copy (BSB 10501686) and stands. Rendered as printed; nothing supplied.

250. batch_192 / p.576 (printed 442) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints ♐ (Sagittarius) as the sign at which the Moon's half of the zodiac ends, counted from ♎ against the order of the signs; the passage's own parallel requires ♒ (Aquarius). Preserved as printed, with [recte: ♒] in the text. Warrant: the same passage gives the Sun's half as running from ♏ in the order of the signs to ♎ — six signs — so the Moon's complementary six, counted from ♎ against the order, end at ♒. The sort was read as ♐ in our copy (BSB bsbII218440) and confirmed as ♐ in the second copy (BSB 10501686), which also settled the starting sign as ♎; the emendation was entered under the ruling that a [recte:] stands only on the book's own proof (2026-10-08).

251. batch_193 / p.577 (printed 443), fig-p577-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

The italic text names the vertices of this figure in Greek letters, and nine times cites the centre, where the internal triangles meet, as κ (α κ, κ γ, β κ, δ κ, ε θ κ, ...). The figure block does not set κ at the centre: it sets a sort of the italic x / χ design, and the κ design is excluded on the ink (the two shapes x and χ cannot be told apart in this fount). The top-left corner, which the text calls α, is labelled in the figure with a roman a, confirmed on the second copy (BSB 10501686). Both are given as printed; the text's own letters are not imported into the figure.

252. batch_197 / p.589 (printed 455), fig-p589-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the figure of the eclipsed full Moon of 1607, the node entry ♌ 2 49 stands in the left compartment and again, as ♌ 2 49, in the right compartment opposite it. Both are set in the ascending-node design (♌), upright in their lines; at the second position, opposite the first, the descending node ♍ would be expected, since the two nodes are by definition diametrically opposite. Recorded as printed; the correct sort is not on the page, so no [recte:]. This is one of a series of figures in Part III in which both nodes carry the same sign (see also pp. 709, 737, 743, 808, 858); the author's own errata leaf corrects one such slip in the running text (printed p. 597, ♌ for ♍).

253. batch_202 / p.604 (printed 470) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the spring dignity tables the second and third sub-blocks are headed In plenilunio præventionali and In novilunio postventionali (the preventional full moon, the postventional new moon), but the spring sums table labels the same two rows Novilun. præv. and Plenilun. postv. (preventional new moon, postventional full moon). The argument follows the sub-block heads. For summer, autumn and winter the heads and the sums labels agree. Both are carried as printed.

254. batch_202 / p.605 (printed 471) — Category B — source compositor error

The strengths columns of the autumn third sub-block (In plenilunio postventionali) are headed 5 4 3 1 1: the fourth head prints a second 1 where all five

other blocks on pp. 604–605 set 2 (the weights run house 5, exaltation 4, triplicity 3, term 2, face 1). Both is were read on two views. Carried as printed in the table head.

255. batch_202 / p.606 (printed 472) — Category B — source compositor error

In the grand-total table of testimonies (*Testimonia fortitudinis / Debilitatis*), the unlabelled sum row prints 35 under the Moon's strengths; the column's own four rows (spring 4, summer 27, autumn 1, winter 0) make 32. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 32] in the text. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): the four $\mathbb{D}$ cells are whole and clean; every other column of the same sum row equals its four rows, so the row is a column total; and the four $\mathbb{D}$ rows agree with the seasonal sums tables of pp. 604–605 (spring $12 - 8 = 4$, summer 27, autumn $6 - 5 = 1$, winter $15 - 18 \rightarrow 0$), so the error lies in the total. The author carries the printed total into his argument: his residue $\mathbb{D}$ 32 below the table is $35 - 3$; computed from the rows it would be 29. That figure is left as printed.

256. batch_207 / p.621 (printed 487) — Category B — source compositor error

In the catalogue of the parts of the signs the source prints in *aliis calidiuſculum*, which the text renders "in other respects rather warm". The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: p. 487 — for in *aliis* read in *alis*) corrects it to in *alis*, "in the wings" — a part of the constellation figure, like the in *ala finiftra & crure* of the same leaf. The English now follows the errata ("in the wings, rather warm"; errata-leaf apply, 2026-10-08).

257. batch_210 / p.629 (printed 495) — Category B — source compositor error

The signature at the foot of p. 629 is printed Sf 2 (a full S-curve with no T head-bar, a long s, a 2; confirmed on a 7× crop). The neighbouring signed leaves read Tt (p. 627) and Tt 3 (p. 631), so the sequence calls for Tt 2. Recorded as printed; no correction is made in the text, where the signature is not a reader-facing value.

258. batch_213 / p.638 (printed 504) — Category C — author/source anomaly

On p. 638 the April entries of the fixed-star calendar are headed VI, II, V, in that order, before the catchword VII *Apri-*. The heads are clean and whole on the leaf (first pass; not re-tested by the Stage-4 rerun, and the second copy's read of this page left them unchanged). Recorded as printed; nothing reordered.

259. batch_214 / p.641 (printed 507) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the calendar of the risings and settings of the fixed stars, the entry set between XXI *Iunii* and XXIX *Iunii* is dated XXIII *Iulii* ("July 23"); the month word has an l, not an n (confirmed on the full-width view and a 1× crop). Every neighbouring entry is in June, and the July entries begin only after the centred title *Iulius*, so the calendar's own order implies 23 June (XXIII *Iunii*). Rendered as printed; no [recte:], since the intended form is not printed at the locus.

260. batch_218 / p.652 (printed 518) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *exclufus à domino* (in the text, "not wholly excluded from the Lord"), said of Mars as lord of the revolution of the year; the author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: p. 518, antepenultimate line — for *exclufus à domino* read *exclufus à dominio*) corrects it to *à dominio*, "not wholly excluded from the dominion". The English now follows the errata ("excluded from the dominion"; it formerly read "from the Lord").

261. batch_222 / p.665 (printed 531) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source prints *Planetarum convenientiam, debilitatem .contrariorum vero fortitudinem* (the ending *-iam* clearly set). The antithesis *contrariorum vero fortitudinem* wants a genitive matching it in the first member — the debility of the planets that agree with the humour, against the strength of the contrary ones, as the Cardano citation that follows illustrates — but *convenientium* is not printed. The translation follows the print ("the agreement of the planets, the debility, but the strength of the contrary ones"); no [recte:], since the intended form is not on the page.

262. batch_224 / p.672 (printed 538) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints ... *fic poſt maturitatem & abſolutionem ſuo impetu fieri, ut ſub tali conſtitutione cœli prodeat, qualis fuit ea, quæ prius foetum efformavit*. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: p. 538 — for *ſuo impetu fieri* read *ſuo impetu ferri*) corrects *fieri* to *ferri*: the nature acquired by the foetus "is carried by its own impulse" so that it comes forth under a like constitution of heaven. The English now follows the errata ("it is carried by its own impulse"); it formerly rendered *fieri, ut* as "by its own impulse brings it about that the foetus comes forth".

263. batch_226 / p.676 (printed 542) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the table of the strengths of Mars the five items are printed 3, 3, 4, 2 and 5, which add to 17; the sum is printed *In ſumma 16*. Every figure was read firm (5× crop). The book builds on 16: the conclusion *Hinc 14 teſtimoniis felix cenſetur* (16 – 2) and the summary *Poſt ♂ 14* both follow it. Whether an item or the total is at fault cannot be fixed from the page, so there is no [recte:]; the text carries an [ed.:] note beside the printed 16.

264. batch_229 / p.687 (printed 553) — Category B — source compositor error

Rule 1 of the hyleg states that each hylegial house has 30 degrees of the equator, *quinque quidem ante initium domus, & 15 gradus proximos cuſpidem domus ſequentes* — five (in words) and 15 (in figures), which make 20. In our copy (BSB bsbi1218440) the figure is firmly 15 (the first sort a 1; the curved 2 of grad. 25 two lines below excludes 2). The second copy (BSB 10501686) reads 25 on a blind re-read, a leaning rather than a firm reading; 25 gives the 30 the sentence states. A press variant at this figure is therefore probable; the ink does

not show which state is earlier. Printed as in our copy, with an [ed.:] note in the text giving both.

265. batch_230 / p.688 (printed 554) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the etymology of *Alcochodon* the Hebrew is printed as four words. Word 2, glossed *virtutem*, is printed וו (vav with holam, het with patah), which does not form a Hebrew word for "strength" or "virtue" as it stands; word 4 is printed וו (dalet, full-height vav, final nun) beside word 3 וו, both glossed *judicavit*. Transcribed as printed; nothing supplied. (Word 1, כוכב, "star", was settled on the second copy.)

266. batch_231 / p.691 (printed 557) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints Aft fi Luna fit in II, non Luna quæ præeft ꝥ, nec Sol qui præeft 𐌺, fed ꝥ ... — "not the Moon, who rules ꝥ"; the page itself requires 𐌺. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 𐌺] in the text. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): the sort is ꝥ on its design (4×; the same sort as præeft ꝥ two lines above); the same paragraph states 𐌺 & 𐌺 exceptis, quibus luminaria præfunt and, in this sentence, Sol qui præeft 𐌺, so the page makes the Moon ruler of 𐌺; and the argument — the Moon in II passes next into the Moon's own sign (excepted), then the Sun's (excepted), then Mercury's 𐌺 — needs 𐌺.

267. batch_231 / p.691 (printed 557) — Category B — source compositor error

At mid-line on p. 691 our copy (BSB bsb11218440) reads Cum vero vitalis virtutis and the second copy (BSB 10501686) Cum vero de vitalis virtutis. The English follows the state with de. The difference was recorded in the second-copy pass as a press variant; the ink does not show which state is earlier.

268. batch_231 / p.692 (printed 558) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Below the second table of the example, the Fortitud. line gives values for seven planets (♄ 20, ♃ 7, ☿ 4, ☾ 0, ♀ 18, ♀ 10, ♃ 4), but the Debilit. line beneath it sets only six values, 0 5 5 10 0 9. Re-read as six values by the Stage-4 rerun; the missing value and its column cannot be fixed from the leaf, so the line is carried as printed and the values are not assigned to planets.

269. batch_231 / p.692 (printed 558) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The Moon's place in the first example is set 28 32 in the first table on p. 692 (confirmed on the second copy) and in 28 31' in the small table for the places of the Moon and Mercury lower on the same page. Both settings were re-read and confirmed; nothing in the book shows which is right, so both are given as printed.

270. batch_232 / p.694 (printed 560) — Category B — source compositor error

In the worked second example the source prints oppofiti loci 12 grad. 42' 𐌺 — "the opposite place, 12° 42' 𐌺"; the place opposite the Sun's 12° 42' 𐌺 lies in 𐌺. Preserved as printed, with [recte: 𐌺] in the text. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): the printed sort is the looped-tail 𐌺, legible, and the unlooped 𐌺 in line 11 of the same page excludes 𐌺 as the printed sort; line 11 names the same

opposite point 12 grad. 42 / $\mathbb{M}$, and the text calls it the place opposite the Sun's $12^{\circ} 42' \mathbb{S}$.

271. batch_232 / p.695 (printed 561) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The last clause of the first example reads *natum eadem loca editum effe* in *hanc lucem*; *eadem loca* is read whole (confirmed by the Stage-4 re-read) but does not construe with *editum effe* ("that the native was brought into this light the same places"). It is rendered literally. The book offers no proof of the intended word, so there is no [recte:].

272. batch_236 / p.706 (printed 572); catchword at the foot of p.705 (printed 571), batch_235 — Category B — source compositor error

The catchword at the foot of p. 705 (printed 571) reads $\mathbb{M}$ For- (an F with a crossbar and no bowl; an r with no ascender), but p. 706 opens $\mathbb{M}$ Pollicetur. The sign answers; the word does not. Both leaves were read for the join (Stage-4 re-read). A catchword mismatch in the print; nothing in the text depends on it, and no text is supplied.

273. batch_237 / p.709 (printed 575), fig-p709-2 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In this figure both lunar nodes are printed with the same sort, the descending-node sign $\mathbb{U}$: in the upper-left corner, lower triangle, $\mathbb{U} 28 26 \mathbb{K}$, and in the lower-right corner, right triangle, $\mathbb{U} 28 26$. The two nodes are by definition opposite, so one of them should be $\mathbb{O}$; the print does not show which. Each row was read de-rotated until its digits stood upright (rings on top = $\mathbb{U}$). Carried as printed, with an [ed.:] note in the text. (Note that here it is the descending design that is doubled, not the ascending design as in several other figures of this part.)

274. batch_237 / p.709 (printed 575), fig-p709-4 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In Melanchthon's figure both nodes are printed $\mathbb{U}$: upper-left corner, upper triangle, $\mathbb{U} 10 26$, and lower-right corner, lower triangle, $\mathbb{U} 10 26$. One should be $\mathbb{O}$; the print does not show which. Carried as printed, with an [ed.:] note in the text.

275. batch_237 / p.710 (printed 576) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the last paragraph of p. 710 the numbered series of testimonies is printed 1, 3, 4, 5, with no 2. The sort after *Signum* that might have been taken for a 2 is an italic v. (= vero), and the leaf's italic 2 is excluded on its design (Stage-4 re-read). The text carries [ed.: no item 2 is printed.]; nothing is renumbered.

276. batch_239 / p.716 (printed 582), with fig-p717-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

The text on p. 716 gives Ermolao Barbaro's birth as 20 die Maij, while the inscription of his figure (fig-p717-1) gives the day as 21. Both are printed and both read firm; the book gives no proof of which is right, so both stand as printed. (The text's *Hermolij Barbari*, the margin's *Hermolai Barbari* and the figure's *Hermolaus Barbarus* are spelling variants as printed.)

277. batch_239 / p.717 (printed 583), fig-p717-1 — Category B — source compositor error

At the left-middle position of figure 1 (Barbaro) our copy (BSB bsb11218440) prints a whole, clean Libra sort $\underline{\omega}$; the second copy (BSB 10501686) prints a whole sort of the Pisces design $\mathbb{H}$ at the same place. Both states are clean, so this is a difference between copies of the printing, not a misreading; the ink does not show which state is earlier. The text gives ours, with an [ed.:] note naming the other.

278. batch_240 / p.718 (printed 584), with fig-p719 — Category C — author/source anomaly

For the nativity of (George of) Trebizond the text on p. 718 gives the hour as Hor. 12, 30, and the inscription of his figure on p. 719 gives 12 20; the name is printed Trapezuntij in the text and Trapezontius in the figure. Two printings of the same data; nothing in the book shows which hour is right, so both stand as printed: the figure gives 12^h 20^m.

279. batch_243 / p.729 (printed 595) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the paragraph on the watery signs the text reads *Aerea quoque signa faciunt proditores*, & in his $\mathbb{M}$ excellit — "the airy signs also make traitors, and among them $\mathbb{M}$, excels". The sort is the tailed Scorpio design (the same as $\mathbb{M}$ *malorum morum* on the leaf, and unlike the looped $\mathbb{M}$), confirmed on re-read; but Scorpio is a watery sign, not an airy one. Rendered as printed; the book gives no proof of the sign intended, so there is no [recte:].

280. batch_244 / p.731 (printed 597) — Category B — source compositor error

The source prints *Nodi quoq; Ω & Ω his configurati ingenium faciunt*, setting the ascending-node sign twice where the sense wants both nodes. Both sorts were read at 6× as the ascending-node design, identical in orientation and cut, with no turned sort at either place. The author's own errata leaf (PDF p. 73: p. 597, line 27 — for Ω his read $\mathfrak{U}$ his) corrects the second to $\mathfrak{U}$. The text now carries the second sign as Ω [recte: $\mathfrak{U}$].

281. batch_244 / p.732 (printed 598) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source prints *Martem vero in $\mathbb{II}$ domicilio $\mathfrak{Q}$ in $\ast$ aspectu*, naming $\mathbb{II}$ as the domicile of Venus. The sort is a crisp Venus design with no horns (Stage-4 re-read). But the book itself makes $\mathbb{II}$ Mercury's: on p. 731 (in *domibus vel fuis $\mathbb{II}$ & $\mathbb{M}$*) Gemini and Virgo are Mercury's own houses, and the next sentence on p. 732 calls $\mathbb{M}$ *domicilio $\mathfrak{Q}$* . $\mathfrak{Q}$ was presumably meant; since the correct sort is not on the page and the evidence is not arithmetic, there is no [recte:] and the sign is rendered as printed.

282. batch_246 / p.736 (printed 602) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The source prints *$\mathfrak{O}$ instabilitatem, & comos facit* (attributed to Cardano). The five sorts c-o-m-o-s are crisp, and *comis* is excluded on the ink (the fourth sort is a round o); as printed the word does not construe. The construal is left to the editor: the text carries a [?] at the word.

283. batch_246 / p.737 (printed 603), fig-p737-4 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the figure of Brother Cornelius both node positions — upper-left corner, left-edge triangle, Ω 17 6, and lower-right corner, right-edge triangle, Ω 17 6 — print the ascending-node design. One should be $\mathfrak{U}$; the print does not show which. Recorded as printed.

284. batch_246 / p.737 (printed 603), fig-p737-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In Erasmus's figure the two node sorts, both settled, are $\mathfrak{U}$ 7 17 (lower-left corner, beside the $\mathfrak{M}$ cusp) and Ω 1 17 (upper-right corner, beside the $\mathfrak{Y}$ cusp). The signs oppose correctly, and the minutes agree, but the degrees differ (7 against 1), although the two nodes are by definition diametrically opposite and should stand at the same degree. Both figures are carried as printed; the book does not show which is at fault.

285. batch_246 / p.738 (printed 604) — Category B — source compositor error

In fixed-star aphorism 5 (Card. gen. 3, $\mathfrak{Y}$ $\mathfrak{S}$ [sort] & $\mathfrak{M}$), the third member of a list the text calls four signs is printed with the ascending-node sort — the Ω -form with both feet closed loops, identical to the Ω in *significatores* $\mathfrak{Y}$ $\mathfrak{D}$ Ω & $\mathfrak{U}$ lower on the same leaf. A node is not a sign, so a wrong sort stands here; the intended sign is not legible and the book gives no proof of it, so it is not emended. The text reads $\mathfrak{Y}$ $\mathfrak{S}$ Ω [?] and $\mathfrak{M}$.

286. batch_248 / p.742 (printed 608) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The run-in felicity rules on p. 742 are numbered 5, 6, 8: no 7 is printed between 3 Aph. 58. and 8 Cum (checked on a crop). Recorded as printed; nothing supplied.

287. batch_248 / p.743 (printed 609), fig-p743-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the first figure of p. 743 the node design with the curls at the foot (Ω) is printed at both node positions, upper right Ω 8 45 (in the II compartment) and lower left Ω 8 45. One should be $\mathfrak{U}$; the print does not show which. Recorded as printed.

288. batch_249 / p.746 (printed 612) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the rules on the constitution of Jupiter, which otherwise run 1–18, the number 6 is printed twice (before $\mathfrak{A}$ retrogradus and before $\mathfrak{U}$ cum Iove) and no 7 is printed. Both figures carry the ascending stroke and closed lower bowl of the 6 design; neither descends, which excludes the old-style 7 (3.5× crop, against the 6 of 6 Aph. 153 on the same line). Carried as printed; which rule was misnumbered is not a value the book proves.

289. batch_255 / p.764 (printed 630) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Unit 2 reads *Cæterum patrem & patrimonium significant hæc quatuor, docente Garcæo ...* — “these four” — and then numbers five signifiers (1 *Domus quarta ... 5 Dominatores horum locorum*); the margin note agrees with the five: *Significatores patris & patrimonii 5*. Confirmed on re-read.

Rendered as printed ("these four"); the text's own count is the anomaly, so no [recte:].

290. batch_261 / p.782 (printed 648) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Table 1 on p. 782 labels a row Dominus *fextæ* (with *h* fortis), where the italic list on p. 781, item 6, sets Dominus *feptimæ* *h* felix, quia fortis. Both are rendered as set (first-pass record; not re-tested by the rerun); which house is intended is not decided by the page.

291. batch_261 / p.783 (printed 649) — Category C — author/source anomaly

Unit 1 prints *cũ debilitatis fint* 8 (debilitatis, 11 sorts, confirmed on re-read). The count 8 is this example's total under Felix in table 2 on p. 782 (Felix 8, Infelix 7, Mediocris 13), and the text defines its classes as felix / infelix & debilis / mediocris (p. 781) and pairs fortitudinis vel debilitatis as opposites (p. 783); so the word names the wrong class for its count. The intended word (felicatis or fortitudinis) cannot be fixed, so there is no [recte:]; the text carries an [ed.:] note. Whether the slip is the author's or the printer's is not asserted.

292. batch_263 / p.787 (printed 653) — Category B — source compositor error

The abbreviated name of Firmicus Maternus (... lib. 6) is printed Maretn. in our copy (BSB bsb11218440): third sort r, fifth sort t, told apart on the same-line stem test. In the second copy (BSB 10501686) the fifth sort is an r and the third is open. The copies differ at one sort; whether by a press correction or by inking is not shown, and the ink does not show which state is earlier. The identification is not in doubt; the text gives the name in its house form with the printed abbreviation in brackets.

293. batch_263 / p.787 (printed 653) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The citation of Haly reads Haly part. cap. 1: no number is set after part. (the chapter figure 1 was read on the second copy). The part cannot be supplied from the page; the citation is given as printed.

294. batch_263 / p.788 (printed 654), fig-p788-1 — Category B — source compositor error

In the upper-right figure (Christoph Kotzen's blind daughter, 1578), the triangle next to the bottom in the lower-left corner prints ♯ 31 1. The degree 31 is impossible within a sign of 30 degrees (read at 4×: a flat-topped 3, then a 1, both clear). The chart's opposite cusp (upper-right corner, triangle next to the top) reads ☿ 13 1, which would make the value 13 with the figures transposed — but that is an inference from the cusp pair, not a reading, and no corrected form is legible at the locus. Carried as printed; no [recte:].

295. batch_263 / p.789 (printed 655) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the paragraph on wounds of the head (p. 789) the items are numbered 2 and 3; no item 1 is set (first-pass record; not re-tested). Recorded as printed; nothing supplied.

296. batch_264 / p.791 (printed 657) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The numbered items of the epilepsy section on p. 791 run 1 to 4, then an unnumbered sentence, then 3 to 10: the second run repeats 3 and 4. Recorded as set (first-pass record; not re-tested); nothing renumbered.

297. batch_265 / p.795 (printed 661); catchword at the foot of p.794 (printed 660) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The catchword at the foot of p. 794 reads Hinc, but p. 795 opens Huc (confirmed on re-read; both leaves read). A disagreement in the print; neither leaf is corrected from the other, and the text follows the page's own first word.

298. batch_268 / p.802 (printed 668) — Category B — source compositor error

The sentence lists the oriental houses as 10, 11, 12, 4, 5, 6 and the occidental as 9, 8, 12, 1, 2, 3, so the source sets the twelfth house twice and the seventh nowhere. Preserved as printed, with 12 [recte: 7] in the occidental list. Warrant: both lists are printed so in both copies (BSB bsb11218440 and BSB 10501686; two-copy read, the 1 and 2 distinct at 4×); the book's own partition of the twelve houses gives each house once, and the occidental quadrant runs 9, 8, 7, so this 12 stands for 7. The emendation was entered under the ruling that a [recte:] stands only on the book's own proof (2026-10-08). (The figures 12, 1, 2, 3 of that list are set visibly smaller; observation only.)

299. batch_270 / p.808 (printed 674), fig-p808-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the first figure of p. 808 the node sort is printed in the ascending-node design (Ω) at both node positions: Ω 16 27 in the lower compartment at bottom left and Ω 16 27 in the upper compartment at top right (Stage-4 re-read). One should be ∪; the print does not show which. Recorded as printed.

300. batch_270 / pp.808–810 (printed 674–676); page of the margin series to be confirmed from the batch file — Category C — author/source anomaly

A series of marginal numbers in this batch is set 1, 3, 2, 4: the margin sets 3 before 2 (read on the second copy, BSB 10501686). The text carries [ed.: the margin sets 3 before 2]; nothing renumbered.

301. batch_275 / p.825 (printed 691) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The combinations of Mercury on p. 825 are numbered 1 to 4; the combination ☿ cum ♀ that follows 6 Aph. 38 carries no numeral 5 (translator's record; not re-tested by the Stage-4 rerun). Recorded as set; nothing supplied.

302. batch_278 / p.833 (printed 699), with p.834 (printed 700) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The headings of this series of sections are numbered I (p. 832), Secundo, Tertio, and then, in Arabic, 4 Alia de Sole huc pertinentia. (p. 833; confirmed on re-read). The catchword at the foot of p. 833 reads V De, but the heading on p. 834 is set De Luna. with no V, while the next section is VI De medio cœli.; the Moon section therefore has its ordinal only in the catchword. All recorded as printed; nothing supplied in the English.

303. batch_286 / p.858 (printed 724), fig-p858-1 — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the figure of Bredenrodus both node sorts — upper-left corner, top-edge triangle (house II), Ω 2 32 $\mathfrak{H}$, and lower-right corner, bottom-edge triangle (house 5), Ω 2 32 $\mathfrak{M}$ — are printed in the ascending-node design, each upright on its own line (same-line orientation control). The signs and degrees oppose correctly; one sort should be $\mathfrak{U}$, and the print does not show which. Recorded as printed.

304. batch_286 / p.858 (printed 724), caption of fig-p858-2 — Category B — source compositor error

The caption announcing that Ioannes Regiomontanus, "the little eye of mathematics", is born gives the year as 1556 in our copy (BSB bsb11218440) and 1536 in the second copy (BSB 10501686), which also resets the last line of the caption (blind re-read of both copies). The text gives our reading, with an [ed.:] note naming the other; the ink does not show which state is earlier.

305. batch_292 / p.874 (printed 740) — Category C — author/source anomaly

The adjective is printed honerata (eight sorts, the fourth an e; confirmed on the Stage-4 re-read). As printed it does not construe; honorata ("honoured") and onerata ("burdened") are both possible. The text renders "honoured" and carries an [ed.:] note; no [recte:].

306. batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), left table row 8 — Category B — source compositor error

In the left table of p. 892, row 8 (Δ $\mathfrak{F}$ finifter) prints the longitude $27^\circ 33'$, the same figures as row 5 ($\mathfrak{O}$ $\mathfrak{A}$). Preserved as printed, with [recte: $6^\circ 40'$]. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): the row's oblique ascension $34^\circ 20'$ lies between rows 7 and 9 (the term of $\mathfrak{F}$ at $8^\circ 0' \mathfrak{D}$), so the longitude falls in $\mathfrak{D}$ below $8^\circ 0'$; and Mercury stands at $6^\circ 40'$ throughout this example (Δ $\mathfrak{F}$ dexter $6^\circ 40'$ $\mathfrak{M}$ on this leaf; $\mathfrak{O}$ $\mathfrak{F}$ $6^\circ 40'$ $\mathfrak{U}$, $\mathfrak{M}$ $\mathfrak{F}$ finifter $6^\circ 40'$ $\mathfrak{H}$ on p. 893).

307. batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), right table row 8 — Category B — source compositor error

In the right table of p. 892, row 8 (term of $\mathfrak{O}$ $25^\circ 0'$) prints the direction $30^\circ 18'$. Preserved as printed, with [recte: $31^\circ 18'$]. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): the column is computed as oblique ascension less the $98^\circ 37'$ stated in its heading, which closes on 17 of the table's 19 rows; the row's own ascension $129^\circ 55' - 98^\circ 37' = 31^\circ 18'$.

308. batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), right table row 12 — Category B — source compositor error

In the right table of p. 892, row 12 (term of $\mathfrak{Q}$ $7^\circ 0'$) prints the oblique ascension $142^\circ 11'$. Preserved as printed, with [recte: $147^\circ 11'$]. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): $98^\circ 37' +$ the row's direction $48^\circ 34' = 147^\circ 11'$, and the order of the longitudes places the value between $146^\circ 42'$ and $150^\circ 36'$. The 2 was read on a crop against the 7 of the same line.

309. batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758), left table row 7; p.893 (printed 759), left table rows 7 and 21 — Category B — source compositor error

Three printed values break the order of their columns, and the page does not fix the intended figure, so each carries an [ed.: so printed ...] note instead of a [recte:]: on p. 892, left table row 7 (term of ♀), the longitude $6^{\circ} 40' 8''$, whose oblique ascension $27^{\circ} 54'$ falls below row 8's $34^{\circ} 20'$ ($6^{\circ} 40' 8''$) and after row 6's $28^{\circ} 32' 9''$; on p. 893, left table, the horary times of row 7 (term of ♀ $20^{\circ} 0'$), $11^{\circ} 31'$, in an ascending column $11^{\circ} 7'$, $11^{\circ} 37'$, $11^{\circ} 31'$, $11^{\circ} 50'$ (expected between $11^{\circ} 37'$ and $11^{\circ} 50'$), and of row 21 (* ♀ finifter), $14^{\circ} 43'$, in $14^{\circ} 10'$, $14^{\circ} 46'$, $14^{\circ} 43'$, $15^{\circ} 0'$ (expected between $14^{\circ} 46'$ and $15^{\circ} 0'$).

310. batch_298 / p.892 (printed 758) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In paragraph 4 of p. 892 the words à fe invicem distant are set twice in succession on one line. Rendered twice in place, with an [ed.:] note in the text.

311. batch_298 / p.893 (printed 759), left table rows 6 and 15 — Category C — author/source anomaly

Two directions in the left table of p. 893, both read firm, differ from what the book's own proportional method gives: row 6 (□ h finifter) prints $30^{\circ} 24'$, where the method gives $30^{\circ} 34'$; row 15 (cusp of the 9th house) prints $51^{\circ} 31'$, where it gives $51^{\circ} 51'$. Each stands in order in its column, and the difference is shown only at the level of the method, not proved on the page; both are left as printed.

312. batch_300 / p.899 (printed 765) — Category B — source compositor error

The page sets abjectis 22 where its own operation requires 12: 6 is added to 8, twelve are cast away, and 2 signs are left. Preserved as printed, with 22 [recte: 12]. Warrant (Stage-4 re-read): both sorts read as 2 against the 2 of signa 2 on the same line (2× crop), so the figure is not a misreading; the error is proved by the page's own arithmetic.

313. batch_301 / p.901 (printed 767); catchword at the foot of p.900 (printed 766), batch_300 — Category C — author/source anomaly

The catchword at the foot of p. 900 is thema., but p. 901 opens with an interpolated table and its running text resumes below it with postea; thema is not printed on p. 901 (tested: not a partial word, a contraction, or part of the first word). The sense (primum ipsum on p. 900, then postea) indicates that the word was set only as the catchword. The English supplies it once, on the p. 900 side, as "[the chart itself]".

314. batch_305 / p.914 (printed 780) — Category C — author/source anomaly

In the worked revolution of 23 April 1609 the prose and the figure on p. 914 disagree at three places, each read from the ink before any comparison: (a) the apparent time is given as 4 Hor. 35 / 35 // in line 10 but the time elapsed from noon as 4 Hor. 34 /, 35 // in line 13, while the figure's central panel sets 4 35 35; (b) the prose puts the cusp of the twelfth house at 21 with the Leo sign, while the figure's compartment sets 21 with the Virgo design; (c) the prose gives the radical Sun as 12 gr. 42 /, while the figure sets 2 42 beside

NOVAE MOTUUM COELESTIUM ...

the Sun sort. All are carried as printed; prose and figure are not used to correct each other.